

Holtec Center, 555 Lincoln Drive West, Mariton, NJ 08053 Telephone (856) 797- 0900 Fax (856) 797 - 0909

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL

 $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{z})$, and $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{z})$

 ~ 100

tt Chapter or section number.

 \mathbf{R}

Page 1 of 2

and a construction of the contract of the contract of the construction $\mathcal{L}_\mathbf{r}$

HOLTEC INTERNATIONAL

Notes:

- **1.** This document has been subjected to review, verification and approval process set forth in the Holtec Quality Assurance Procedures Manual. Password controlled signatures of Holtec personnel who participated in the preparation, review, and QA validation of this document are saved in the N-drive of the company's network. The Validation Identifier Record (VIR) number is a random number that is generated by the computer after the specific revision of this document has undergone the required review and approval process, and the appropriate Holtec personnel have recorded their password-controlled electronic concurrence to the document.
- 2. A revision to this document will be ordered by the Project Manager and carried out if any of its contents is materially affected during evolution of this project. The determination as to the need for revision will be made by the Project Manager with input from others, as deemed necessary by him.
- 3. Revisions to this document may be made by adding supplements to the document and replacing the "Table of Contents", this page and the "Revision Log".

 $\ddot{}$

 \bar{I}

 \sim

 ~ 10

 $\sim 10^7$

 \overline{a}

 $\frac{1}{2}$

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Figures

 $\sim 10^{-11}$

.

 $\ddot{}$

 $\hat{\vec{z}}$

Figure 2.1 1: MPC-68 In-Cell Pressure Drop Curve

Figure 3.1: Schematic of Westinghouse 17x17 OFA Vantage Fuel Assembly

Figure 3.2: Planar Section of 1/8-Symmetric FLUENT Model of Westinghouse 17x17 OFA/Vantage Fuel Assembly

Figure 3.3: Pressure Drop Versus Superficial Velocity

List of Appendices

Appendix A: Approved Computer Program List

List of Attachments

Attachment 1: GE-12/14 Bundle Drawings

CHAPTER 1.0: INTRODUCTION

Density difference driven flow of helium along the length of the stored fuel in the storage cells of Holtec MPCs is an important contributor to the rejection of heat generated by the spent fuel. The thermosiphon action in a Holtec MPC is pictorially illustrated in Figure I.1.

As would be expected, the rate of helium circulation is strongly influenced by the in-cell resistance to the flow of helium. The object of this technical report is to quantify the parameters needed to characterize the resistance of helium flow in the porous media model in FLUENT [1.1] for thermal analysis of the HI-STORM and HI-STAR systems. To define the porous media parameters, detailed three-dimensional CFD models of the Commercial Spent Fuel (CSF) assemblies are prepared on FLUENT. Separate analyses are performed on a limiting BWR CSF and a PWR CSF.

The flow loss characteristics of CSF manufactured and used in commercial reactors are principally a function of the rod array size. The larger array fuels have the most number of fuel rods arrayed on a smaller pitch. Thus it is heuristically obvious that the largest array fuel within each class (BWR and PWR) are hydraulically limiting. Accordingly, within each limiting array class one commonly used CSF is evaluated in this report. The reference fuel types are: indicated in bold below:

(a) BWR Fuel Limiting array: IOx 10 Fuel Type: GE-12/14

(b) PWR Fuel Limiting array: 17x17 Fuel Type: Westinghousc 17x17 (OFA)

In the case of the BWR fuel, the presence of the fuel "channel" (which is actually a square tube) creates two zones of axial flow in the storage cell. In addition to the in-channel (thru-fuel) axial flow, there is a parallel flow path in the "square annulus" between the channel and the storage

Report HI-2043285 **I** and Texas and Texas

cells. The two flows will combine in the storage cell space above the channel. The CFD model for the BWR fuel is constructed with an appropriate recognition of the presence of the fuel channel. In the PWR case, the flow scenario is less involved. This is because PWR fuel is a channel-free design having a single helium flow path in its in-cell storage configuration

In Chapter 2, the flow loss parameters for thru-channel flow and storage-cell flow for BWR fuel are obtained as a function of flow velocity based on channel opening and cell opening areas. The thru-channel flow parameters are suitable for use in detailed 3-D MPC models wherein the channeled fuel region (rodded area) and the square annulus flow regions are explicitly modeled. The in-cell flow parameters are intended for use in axisymmetric MPC models¹.

In Chapter 3, the corresponding storage cell pressure loss parameters for PWR fuel are obtained as a function of flow velocity. Because PWR fuel does not feature a flow channel, a single set of pressure drop versus flow data is used to determine pressure loss parameters suitable for detailed 3-D or axisymmetric MPC models.

1.1 About This Document

This work product has been labeled a *safety-significant* document in Holtec's QA System. In order to gain acceptance as a *safety significant* document in the company's quality assurance system, this document undergoes a prescribed review and acceptance process that requires the preparer and reviewer(s) of the document to answer a comprehensive list of questions crafted to ensure that the document has been purged of all errors of any material significance. A record of the review and verification activities is maintained in electronic form within the company's network to enable future retrieval and recapitulation of the programmatic validation process leading to the acceptance and release of this document under the company's QA system. Among the numerous requirements that a document of this genre must fulfill to muster approval within the company's QA program are:

Report HI-2043285 2 Holtec Project 5014

¹ For axisymmetric models the in-cell porous media parameters require mapping from cell opening to cell pitch based area. The mapping is defined in Section 2.4.

- The preparer(s) and reviewer(s) are technically qualified to perform their activities per the applicable Holtec Quality Procedure (HQP).
- The input information utilized in the work effort must be drawn from referencable sources. Any assumed input data is so identified.
- All significant assumptions, as applicable, are stated.
- The analysis methodology, if utilized, is consistent with the physics of the problem.
- Any computer code and its specific versions that may be used in this work has been formally admitted for use within the company's QA system.
- The format and content of the document is in accordance with the applicable Holtec quality procedure.
- The material content of this document is understandable to a reader with the requisite academic training and experience in the underlying technical disciplines.

Once a safety significant document produced under the company's QA System completes its review and certification cycle, it should be free of any materially significant error and should not require a revision unless its scope of treatment needs to be altered. Except for regulatory interface documents (i.e., those that are submitted to the NRC in support of a license amendment request), revisions to Holtec *safety-significant* documents to amend grammar, to improve diction, or to add trivial calculations are made only if such editorial changes are warranted to prevent erroneous conclusions from being inferred by the reader. In other words, the focus in the preparation of this document is to ensure accuracy of the technical content rather than the cosmetics of presentation.

In accordance with the foregoing, this report has been prepared pursuant to the provisions of Holtec Quality Procedures HQP 3.0 and 3.2, which require that all safety significant analyses be

fully documented such that the analyses can be reproduced at *any time in the fuiture* by a specialist trained in the discipline(s) involved. Because of its function as a repository of all analyses performed on the subject of its scope, this document will require a revision only if an error is discovered in the computations or the equipment design is modified. Additional analyses in the future may be added as numbered supplements to this Package. Each time a supplement is added or the existing material is revised, the revision status of this Package is advanced to the next number and the Table of Contents is amended. Analysis reports arc Holtec proprietary documents. They are shared with a client only under strict controls on their use and dissemination. This report is saved as a Permanent Record under the company's QA System.

CHAPTER 2.0: BWR FUEL RESISTANCE

In this chapter, the upflow resistance of a limiting BWR fuel assembly (GE-12/14 1Oxl0) located in an MPC-68 storage cell is obtained. For this purpose, a 3-D CFD model of a GE-12/14 IOxl0 fuel assembly is constructed and a pressure drop curve $\Delta P_o(V)$ as a function of helium superficial velocity V is obtained. The pressure drop curve is obtained for reference conditions defined below:

Next, the pressure drop curve is fitted to a porous media model of the flow region consistent with the representation in FLUENT [1.1]:

$$
\frac{\Delta P_{pm}}{L} = D\mu V + C\rho \frac{V^2}{2}
$$

where:

 ΔP_{pm} is porous media pressure drop

V is superficial fluid velocity

L is length of porous media

 μ , ρ are fluid properties (viscosity and density respectively)

D, C are flow resistance parameters (viscous resistance and inertial resistance coefficients respectively)

D and C are manually adjusted to ensure the following:

- (i) The porous media pressure drop bounds the reference pressure drop curve in the lower velocity range.
- (ii) ΔP_{nm} departs from ΔP_{o} with an increasing margin in the higher velocity range.

The second requirement ensures that the pressure drop model remains conservative for helium velocities outside the range of the pressure drop curve.

Report HI-2043285 5 Holtec Project 5014

2.1 CFD Modeling Data

In Figure 2.1 an elevation view of a channeled GE-12/14 fuel assembly in an MPC-68 storage cell is illustrated. Under fuel storage conditions (decay heat generation in the fuel assembly and pressurized helium filling the open spaces in the MPC) helium enters the storage cell from bottom openings (Bottom Plenum) and flows upwards through two parallel paths, labeled (1) and (2). Flow path (1) is the in-channel helium flow entering the fuel assembly from a flow hole in the lower fitting and flow path (2) is the square annulus flow. The two flows merge in an essentially open space above the upper fitting and exit the storage cell from top openings (Top Plenum).

To characterize the storage cell upward flow resistance the storage cell length "L" between top and bottom openings (See Figure 2.1) is modeled on the 3-D FLUENT computer program. It is obvious that for parallel flows the net mass flow in the storage cell (M_{cell}) is a sum of the inchannel flow (M_1) and annulus flow (M_2) . The flows are characterized by constructing two 3-D CFD models, namely, a model of the rodded area within the channel (flow path (1)) and a model of the channel-to-cell area (flow path (2)). The pertinent fuel assembly data assembled from proprietary sources in Holtec' possession (see Attachment 1) is provided below:

Report HI-2043285 **6 11-2043285 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 13.1**

The MPC-68 storage cell dimensional data [2.3] is summarized below:

The helium properties at reference conditions (P_R and T_R defined earlier in this chapter) are summarized below:

Viscosity (µ): $2.86x10^{-5}$ Kg/m-s [2.1] Density (ρ): $P_R M/[R(T_R+460)]$

where: M is molecular weight of helium (4) R is Ideal-Gas Constant $(0.7302 \text{ atm-fl}^3/\text{lbmol}^2 \text{R}$ [2.2]) Using the equation above, p computes as 0.0421 lb/ft³ (0.675 Kg/m³)

2.2 In-Channel Model

In Figure 2.2, a planar layout of the GE-12/14 fuel assembly is shown. The assembly layout includes two large water rods in the central portion of a regularly spaced fuel.rods array. The layout is diagonally symmetric (Symmetry lines aa' and bb' shown in Figure 2.2). The water rods have an open interior space and an array of flow holes near the bottom and top to facilitate

Report HI-2043285 7 Holtec Project 5014

fluid flow. The bottom and top ends of the water rods are capped. In Figure 2.3 a dimensioned sketch of the water rods is shown.

Using the assembly layout of Figure 2.2 and fuel assembly data from Section 2.1 a quarter symmetric model of the GE-12/14 fuel assembly is constructed. The fuel assembly model includes the water rods as an empty pipe with top and bottom portions modeled as porous media to include the flow resistance of the flow holes and the bottom flow constrictions (reduced diameter pipe and orifice). For this purpose the water rod is modeled in three sections as illustrated in Figure 2.3. Detailed 3-D FLUENT models of the bottom (Section I) and top (Section II) are constructed to characterize their flow resistances. The mid-section is explicitly included in the quarter symmetric fuel assembly model. Isometric views of the water rod models (Section I and Section II) are shown in Figures 2.4 and 2.5. A planar section of the fuel assembly model is shown in Figure 2.6. For conservatism, the fuel assembly models include the following assumptions:

- a) The channel is assumed to extend to the top of the fuel handle.
- b) The bottom fitting flow transition is modeled as a sudden expansion.

The water rod models are employed in constructing a pressure drop curve as a function of in-rod velocity for the top and bottom sections. Next the curves are fitted to a porous media pressure drop model. The porous media models define the input parameters α and C for Sections I and II of the water rods in the in-channel fuel assembly model. The in-channel model is employed in constructing the pressure drop curve for flow path (1) depicted in Figure 2.1. The computer runs and post-processing of results are provided in Section 2.4.

2.3 Annulus Model

The annulus region is essentially a tall flow gap between the channel and storage cell walls. For conservatism, the annulus length is overstated by assuming the channel to extend to the top of the fuel handle (See assumption a) in the previous Section). The annulus flow model is illustrated

in Figure 2.7. The model is employed in the pressure drop curve for flow path (2) depicted in Figure 2.1. The computer runs and post-processing of results are provided in the next section.

2.4 Flow Resistance Computations

As discussed in this chapter, 3-D FLUENT models are constructed to characterize the flow resistance of an MPC-68 storage cell occupied by a limiting BWR fuel assembly (GE-12/14). The models address the resistance of two parallel flow paths through the storage cell, namely, inchannel flow and square annulus flow (See Figure 2.1). To model the in-channel flow a quarter symmetric model of a GE-12/14 fuel assembly is constructed as shown in Figure 2.6. The model includes the hollow water rods.

The water rods are provided with an array of flow holes at the bottom and top (Figure 2.3). The flow holes resistance is addressed in two 3-D sub-models of the water rod bottom and top sections shown in Figures 2.4 and 2.5. These two sub-models are employed in constructing pressure drop curves for the top and bottom sections of the water rod. For this purpose an array of FLUENT solutions are obtained for a discrete set of pressure differentials that are applied to the models inlet and outlet boundaries. From these solutions, the helium mass flow rates are obtained and water rod in-tube velocities computed. The FLUENT results for the water rod top and bottom sections are provided in Tables 2.1 and 2.2. These results are fitted to a porous media pressure drop model and the flow resistance parameters D and C obtained and reported in Table 2.5. The FLUENT pressure drop results and fitted correlations are graphed in Figures 2.8 and 2.9 for the water rod top and bottom sections respectively. The plots show that the porous media pressure drop closely approaches the FLUENT solution from above in the lower range of velocities and departs with an increasing margin in the higher range of velocities.

The water rods flow hole resistance parameters are then input to the in-channel flow model and an array of FLUENT solutions are obtained for a discrete set of pressure differentials (ΔP_o) applied to the inlet (GE-12/14 bottom fitting hole) and outlet (model top) boundaries. From these solutions, the helium mass flow rates $(M₁)$ are obtained and helium superficial velocities (V) based on channel inside area computed. The ΔP_0 vs. V results are then fitted to a porous media

Report HI-2043285 9 Holtec Project 5014

pressure drop model and the flow resistance parameters D and C obtained. The fitted parameters are reported in Table 2.5 and pressure drop results are graphed in Figure 2.10. The plot shows that the porous media pressure drop closely approaches the FLUENT solution from above in the lower range of velocities and departs with an increasing margin in the higher range of velocities.

For obtaining the in-cell flow resistance, it is necessary to characterize the contribution of the annulus flow (i.e. path (2) helium mass flow shown in Figure 2.1). For this purpose the annulus flow model is employed to obtain an array of FLUENT solutions for a discrete set of pressure differentials (ΔP_o) applied to the model inlet (bottom) and outlet (top) boundaries. The differential pressures applied to this model are identical to those employed for the parallel flow path (1) in-channel model. From these solutions, the helium mass flow rates (M_2) are obtained. As the in-channel and annulus flows are arrayed in parallel, it follows that the in cell mass flow (M) is the sum of M_1 and M_2 . From the in-cell mass flow rates the superficial helium velocities (V) based on cell inside area are computed. The ΔP_o vs. V results are then fitted to a porous media pressure drop model and the flow resistance parameters D and C obtained. The fitted parameters are reported in Table 2.5 and pressure drop results are graphed in Figure 2.11. The plot shows that the porous media pressure drop closely approaches the FLUENT solution from above in the lower range of velocities and departs with an increasing margin in the higher range of velocities.

2.5 Usage Guide for Global Modeling

To address a variety of approaches to HI-STORM global modeling, the flow resistance parameters are made available in several formats. These formats are defined below:

(a) Detailed In-Cell 3-D Model

This model includes a 3-D construction of the fuel basket cell walls. Each fuel storage cell space includes a square fuel channel. The channel-to-cell space is modeled as a fluid gap. For these models, the channel interior space between the MPC bottom and top plenums should be modeled as a porous media region to which the in-channel flow resistance parameters reported in Table 2.5 are applied.

(b) Homogeneous In-Cell 3-D Model

This model includes a 3-D construction of the fuel basket cell walls. The storage cell space is modeled as a continuum with equivalent flow resistance characteristics. For these models, the storage cell interior space between the MPC bottom and top plenums is modeled as a porous media region to which the in-cell flow resistance parameters reported in Table 2.5 are applied.

(c) Homogeneous Basket Model

This model renders the fuel basket cells as a 2-D axisymmetric or a 3-D cylindrical continuum having effective flow resistance properties. For such models, the in-cell flow resistance parameters are mapped from cell square opening area to cell square pitch area. The mapping relationships are defined below:

$$
D' = D\left(\frac{A'}{A}\right)
$$

$$
C' = C\left(\frac{A'}{A}\right)^2
$$

where, D' and C' are flow resistance parameters mapped to square pitch area A', and C and D are in-cell flow resistance parameters based on square opening area A. Using the MPC-68 cell opening and pitch data (Section 2.1) the mapped parameters for homogeneous basket models are computed and reported in Table 2.6.

2.6 List of Computer Files

Geometry Pre-processor (Gambit) Files

.

CFD Solver (FLUENT) Files

 $\overline{}$

Report HI-2043285 12 12 Holtec Project 5014

 $\ddot{}$

 $\hat{}$

Miscellaneous Calculations (EXCEL) Files

Directory of G:\Projects\5014\REPORTS\HI-2043285\BWR\mis 10/22/2004 02:56 PM 16,896 Cell.xls

Report HI-2043285 13 Holtec Project 5014

 \bar{z}

 $\ddot{}$

Report HI-2043285 14 Holtec Project 5014

 $\ddot{}$

Table 2.1: Water Rod Top Flow Resistance Runs

Area (A): 0.6648 in² (4.288x10⁻⁴ m²)

Reference helium density (p): 0.675 kg/m^3

Table 2.2: Water Rod Bottom Flow Resistance Runs

Area (A): 0.6648 in² (4.288x10⁻⁴ m²)

Reference helium density (p): 0.675 kg/m³

Report HI-2043285 16 Holtec Project 5014

Table 2.3: GE-12/14 In-Channel Flow Resistance Runs

Channel Opening: 5.278 in

Area (A): 27.857 in² (0.017972 m²)

Reference helium density (p): 0.675 kg/m^3

Table 2.4: MPC-68 In-Cell Flow Resistance Runs

Cell Opening: 6.053 in

Area (A): 36.639 in² (0.023638 m²)

Reference helium density (p): 0.675 kg/m^3

| Flow Model | Velocity Basis | $D \, [\text{m}^2]$ | $C [m-1]$ |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Water Rod Top Section | Water rod inside area | $7.5x10^4$ | 30 |
| Water Rod Bottom Section | Water rod inside area | $8.55x10^{5}$ | 715 |
| GE-12/14 In-Channel | Channel inside area | $7.36x10^5$ | 200 |
| MPC-68 In-Cell | Cell inside area | 5.25×10^{5} | 95 |

Table 2.5: GE-12/14 Flow Resistance Parameters

 \mathcal{L}

Table 2.6: Flow Resistance Parameters for Homogeneous Fuel Basket Models

 $\boldsymbol{\phi}$

CHAPTER 3.0: PWR **FUEL** RESISTANCE

In this chapter, the upflow resistance of a limiting PWR fuel assembly (Westinghouse $17x17$ OFA) located in an MPC-32 storage cell is obtained. For this purpose, a 3-D CFD model of the fuel assembly is constructed and a pressure drop curve $\Delta P_o(V)$ as a function of helium superficial velocity V is obtained. The pressure drop curve is obtained for the same reference conditions used in Chapter 2.0. The pressure drop curve is fitted to a porous media model of the flow region consistent with the representation in FLUENT, as described in Chapter 2.0. To ensure conservatively bounding pressure drop versus flow rate data arc obtained, flow through the guide tubes and instrumentation tube is completely neglected.

3.1 CFD Modeling Data

In Figure 3.1 an elevation view of a Westinghouse 17x17 OFA fuel assembly in an MPC-32 storage cell is presented. Under fuel storage conditions (decay heat generation in the fuel assembly and pressurized helium filling the open spaces in the MPG) helium enters the storage cell from bottom openings (not shown), flows upwards through the fuel assembly to the open space above the top nozzle and exits the storage cell from top openings into the top plenum.

To characterize the storage cell upward flow resistance the storage cell length "L" between top and bottom openings (See Figure 3.1) is modeled in three dimensions on the FLUENT computer program. The pertinent fuel assembly data assembled from both proprietary and open sources in Holtec's possession is provided below:

It is noted that the number of grids listed above is appropriate for Westinghouse 17x17 Vantage5 fuel assemblies and conservative for Westinghouse I7x17 OFA fuel assemblies. From a hydraulic resistance point of view, the only difference between these two assembly designs is the number of grids, so a conservatively bounding model was constructed.

The MPC-32 storage cell dimensional data [3.1] is summarized below:

The helium properties at reference conditions are the same as given in Section 2.1.

3.2 In-Cell Model

Using the fuel assembly data from Section 3.1, a one-eighth symmetric three-dimensional hydraulic model of the Westinghouse 17x17 OFA fuel assembly is constructed on the FLUENT code. A planar section view through the fuel rods / grids region of this model is shown in Figure 3.2. As shown in Figure 3.2, the bottom horizontal edge and the left diagonal edge are symmetry planes. The guide tubes and instrument tube are assumed to be completely closed to flow.

Report HI-2043285 22 Holtec Project 5014

Both the top of the bottom nozzle and the bottom of the top nozzle are constructed with thick, perforated sections. The perforations in the bottom nozzle are circular, while in the top nozzle the perforations are parallel slots. These two sections are included in the three-dimensional fuel assembly model as porous media, as direct geometry generation would likely be impossible. A source of empirically derived hydraulic resistance data for thick perforated plates [3.2] is used to determine the hydraulic resistance and corresponding inertial resistance factors for these items. The calculated inertial resistance factors are:

For conservatism, the fuel assembly model includes the following assumptions:

- a) The "feet" in the corners of the bottom nozzle are modeled as larger than actual. This conservatively lowers the area available for flow in the area occupied by these items.
- b) The bottom nozzle "skirt" is modeled as thicker than actual, conservatively decreasing the area available for flow within the skirt.
- c) The top nozzle "skirt" is modeled as thicker than actual, conservatively decreasing the area available for flow within the skirt.
- d) The "flange" at the top of the top nozzle (i.e., the piece engaged by the fuel handling tool) is modeled as thicker than actual, conservatively decreasing the area available for flow within the flange area.

3.3 Flow Resistance Computations

As discussed in this chapter, a three-dimensional FLUENT model is constructed to characterize the flow resistance of an MPC-32 storage cell occupied by a limiting PWR fuel assembly (Westinghouse 17x17 OFA). To model the flow through the cell and fuel assembly, a one-eighth symmetric model of a Westinghouse 17x17 OFA fuel assembly is constructed as shown in Figure 3.2.

Using this MPC cell / fuel assembly model, an array of FLUENT solutions are obtained for a discrete set of pressure differentials (ΔP_o) applied to the inlet (model bottom) and outlet (model top) boundaries. From these solutions, the helium mass flow rates (M) are obtained and helium superficial velocities (V) based on the MPC cell inside area computed. These values are reported in Table 3.1. The ΔP_0 vs. V results are then fitted to a porous media pressure drop model and the flow resistance parameters D and C obtained. The fitted parameters are reported in Table 3.2 and pressure drop results are graphed in Figure 3.3. The plot shows the excellent agreement between calculated pressure drop versus superficial velocity data and the fitted parameters.

3.4 Usage Guide for Global Modeling

To address a variety of approaches to HI-STORM global modeling, the flow resistance parameters are made available in two formats as defined below:

a) Detailed 3-D Model

This model includes a 3-D construction of the fuel basket cell walls. For these models, the cell interior space between the MPC bottom and top plenums would be modeled as a porous media region to which cell area based flow resistance parameters, reported in Table 3.2, are applied.

b) Homogeneous Basket Model

This model renders the fuel basket as a 2-D axisymmetric or a 3-D cylindrical continuum having effective flow resistance properties. For such models, the flow resistance parameters are mapped from a cell area basis to a pitch area basis. The mapping relationships are defined in Section 2.5. Using the MPC-32 cell opening and pitch data (Section 3.1) the mapped parameters for homogeneous basket models are computed and reported in Table 3.2.

3.5 List of Computer Files

Geometry Pre-processor (Gambit) Files

Directory of G:\Projects\5014\REPORTS\HI-2043285\PWR

CFD Solver (FLUENT) Files

Directory of G:\Projects\5014\REPORTS\HI-2043285\PWR

Miscellaneous Calculations (Mathcad and Excel) Files

Directory of G:\Projects\5014\REPORTS\HI-2043285\PWR

PROPRIETARY INFORMATION REMOVED $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$

Table 3.1: MPC-32 In-Cell Flow Resistance Runs

Cell Opening: 8.79 in

 $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$

Area (A): 77.264 in² (0.049848 m²)

Reference helium density (p): 0.675 kg/m^3

Report HI-2043285 26 and 2014 2014 2014 2014

Table 3.2: MPC-32 Flow Resistance Parameters

CHAPTER 4.0: REFERENCES'

- [1.1] "FLUENT 6.1 User's Guide", February 2003, Fluent Inc., 10 Cavendish Court, Lebanon NH-03766.
- [2.1] "Handbook of Heat Transfer", Rohsenow, W.M., and Hartnett, J.P., McGraw Hill Book Company, NY (1973).
- [2.2] "Engineering Thermodynamics", Balzhiser, R.E., and Samuels, M.R., Prentice Hall, Inc., (1977).
- [2.3] "MPC-68/68F/68FF Fuel Basket", Holtec Drawing 3928, Revision 5.
- [3.1] "MPC-32 Fuel Basket Assembly," Holtec Drawing 3927, Revision 6.
- [3.2] "Handbook of Hydraulic Resistance Coefficients of Local Resistance and Friction," I.E. Idel'Chik, NTIS Document AEC-TR-6630, 1960.

¹ The revision status of Holtec documents cited above is subject to updates. The document will be revised if a revision to any of the above references materially affects the instructions, results, analyses or conclusions contained in this document. Otherwise a revision to this document will not be made and the latest revision of the referenced Holtec documents shall be assumed to supercede the revision numbers cited above. The Holtec project manager bears the undivided responsibility to ensure that there is no intra-document conflict with respect to the information contained in any Holtec-generated on a safety related project. The latest document revision produced by Holtec International in a safety-significant project is readily available from the company's computerized databases.

FIGURE 1.1: THERMOSIPHON ACTION IN AN MPC-68 CANISTER

Report HI-2043285 Project 5014

FIGURE 2.1: OUTLINE OF A CHANNELED GE10x10 FUEL ASSEMBLY IN AN MPC-68 STORAGE CELL

Report HI-2043285 Project 5014

PROPRIETARY INFORMATION REMOVED

 $\frac{1}{4}$

FIGURE 2.2: PLANAR LAYOUT OF A GE-12/14 ASSEMBLY

Report HI-2043285 Project 5014

 $\frac{1}{k}$

FIGURE 2.3: DIMENSIONED SKETCH OF A GE12/14 WATER ROD

 $\overline{}$

Report HI-2043285 Project 5014

Report HI-2043285 Project 5014

PROPRIETARY INFORMATION REMOVED

FIGURE 2.4: WATER ROD BOTTOM SECTION FLOW MODEL

FIGURE 2.5: WATER ROD TOP SECTION FLOW MODEL

Report HI-2043285 Project 5014

FIGURE 2.6: PLANAR SECTION OF THE GE-12/14 QUARTER SYMMETRY MODEL

FIGURE 2.7: GE-12/14 CHANNEL-TO-CELL GAP FLOW MODEL

Report HI-2043285 Project 5014

FIGURE 2.8: WATER ROD TOP ZONE PRESSURE DROP CURVE

Report HI-2043285

 \sim .

PROPRIETARY INFORMATION REMOVED

Project 5014

FIGURE 2.9: WATER ROD BOTTOM ZONE PRESSURE DROP CURVE

Report HI-2043285 Project 5014

 $\ddot{}$

FIGURE 2.10: GE12/14 IN-CHANNEL PRESSURE DROP CURVE

Report HI-2043285 Project 5014

FIGURE 2.11: MPC-68 IN-CELL PRESSURE DROP CURVE

Figure 3.1: Schematic of Westinghouse 17x17 OFA/Vantage Fuel Assembly

Report HI-2043285 Holtec Project 5014

 \sqcap

HOLTEC PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

Figure 3.2: Planar Section of 1/8-Symmetric FLUENT Model of Westinghouse 17x17 OFA/Vantagc Fuel Assembly (View through rods, grid and nozzles not shown)

HOLTEC PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

Figure 3.3: Pressure Drop versus Superficial Velocity (Points are calculated using FLUENT, Line is curve fitted parameters)

HOLTEC APPROVED COMPUTER PROGRAM LIST

(Total No. of Pages = *5)*

HOLTEC APPROVED COMPUTER PROGRAM LIST REV. 73

Report HI-2043285

A-1
PROPRIETARY INFORMATION REMOVED

Project 5014

HOLTEC APPROVED COMPUTER PROGRAM LIST REV. 73

 $-$

 λ

 $\ddot{}$

Project 5014

HOLTEC APPROVED COMPUTER PROGRAM LIST REV. 73

 \mathcal{F}_{\bullet}

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\ddot{}$

Project 5014

 $\ddot{}$

 \sim

 $\sqrt{2}$, \sim \sim

 \sim \bar{L}

 $\overline{}$

Report HI-2043285

 λ

÷

Project 5014

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

ή.

NOTES: 1. XXXX = ALPHANUMERIC COMBINATION

2. GENERAL PURPOSES UTILITY CODES (MATHCAD, EXCEL, ETC.) MAYBE USED ANYTIME.

Report HI-2043285

Project 5014

 \sim

ATTACHMENT 1

GE-12/14 Bundle Drawings

CONFIDENTIAL & PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

THIRD PARTY PROPRIETARY DATA

DO NOT RELEASE TO OUTSIDE PARTIES

PROPRIETARY INFORMATION REMOVED

HI-2043285 Project 5014