

KEY TO CHANGES IN THE LSS PARTICIPANT COMMITMENTS

MAY 12, 1995

COMMITMENT	CHANGE
Introduction	Group 4 was eliminated. The intent of those commitments, which centered on DOE responsibilities for design, development, and implementation of the LSS, will be captured in the Memorandum of Understanding between DOE and NRC.
1.A	<p>P1* - Added reference to "exclusion criteria".</p> <p>P2 - Inserted "10 working days" as standard.</p> <p>* - P1 refers to paragraph 1 of the commitment</p>
1.B	<p>P1 - Established June 30, 1996, as the first submission date.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reduced the scope of the Plan to cover current year plus each of next two years, rather than 10 years. - Requires submission schedule to be broken down into six-month increments, to mirror twice yearly compliance certification by the LSSA. <p>P2 - Eliminated 10 year projection and simplified the standard to reduce burden on participants.</p>
1.C	P1 - Added language concerning "eliminating authorized exclusions" to clarify the point at which relevancy screening is considered to begin.
1.D	P1 - Rewrote this paragraph to simplify the audit trail requirements and lessen burden on participants. Participants need not track materials which are authorized exclusions under Section 2.1005 of the Rule.

KEY TO CHANGES IN THE LSS PARTICIPANT COMMITMENTS

MAY 12, 1995

COMMITMENT	CHANGE
1.E	P4 - The "Variance" was revised to more accurately reflect the program schedule and to recognize that the Material Submission Plan is an estimate that should become more accurate over time. - Completion of backlog submission was established as June 1, 2000, based upon a presumed mid-2001 license application submission date.
1.F	P2 - Revised 10 day standard to 20 days. P5 - Revised Threshold to accord with new 20 day standard by changing "workdays to acceptance". P6 - Removed sentence beginning "The threshold of not greater than 30 days....". P7 - Clarified the meaning of "Date accepted by LSSA QA Facility".
1.G	P1 - Changed "E-Mail" to "LSS Electronic Transmission Capability" to avoid using technological terms which are limiting. - Added the requirement for participants to include with their electronic transmission a textual representation of the header.
1.H	P2 - Revised the standard to reflect the authority of the Presiding Officer to set deadlines for exhibit submission.
2.A	P1 - Revised language to reflect LSSARP Header Work Group involvement in developing unitization guidance.

KEY TO CHANGES IN THE *LSS PARTICIPANT COMMITMENTS*

MAY 12, 1995

COMMITMENT	CHANGE
2.B	P1 - Added "NRC" to third sentence. P5 - Revised to indicate that participants will be notified of corrections made by the LSSA QA Facility. P6 - Revised to indicate that the LSSA QA Facility, not DOE, will perform validation testing.
2.C	P2 - Revised to eliminate technology-specific language.
2.D	P1 - Changed "ASCII Text" to "Electronic Text" to avoid using technological terms which are limiting. - Added "NRC" to first sentence. P3 - Added language relating to use of "best available commercial product for text conversion".
2.E	P1 - Changed "Technical Investigation Package" to "Technical Data Package" to conform to DOE protocol.
3.C	Changed reference from "LSSA guidance" to " <i>LSSA Guidance on the Format and Content of LSS Participant Compliance Program Plans</i> ".
Former 3.E	Removed this commitment (Cooperation with Advisory Review Process) as redundant.
3.J (former 3.K)	Simplified reporting by eliminating the requirement for participants to prepare listings of material they determined non-relevant.