

*BDB received
2/4/05*

From: "Helen Kopp" <kopphm@yahoo.com>
To: "NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov" <NorthAnna_ESP@nrc.gov>
Date: Tue, Jan 18, 2005 6:36 AM
Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project

12/10/04

January 16, 2005

691-R 71834

Alicia Williamson
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

306

Dear NRC,

In this current atmosphere of terror alerts and due to the failure to secure currently operating nuclear power plants, I find the desire to permit the building of a new nuke plant to be utter insanity. Furthermore, construction of such a plant is extremely expensive, and its output would add the the present mountain of nuclear waste of which we already have trouble disposing. I urge the NRC to deny issuing an Early Site Permit to the North Anna nuclear project based on the faulty premise that it will not adversely impact the environment. I am writing to ask the NRC to examine the "cradle to cradle" effects of building a new nuclear plant. From construction, to power generation, to disposing of and storing the spent fuel, the proposed nuclear site would be detrimental to the environment and local communities.

The construction of the plant - including truck traffic, smog and building waste, will be detrimental to the environment. Further, Lake Anna cannot physically support the addition of new reactors. The increased water use associated with the new reactor will cause the lake level to drop significantly. Lower water levels will adversely impact the lake and could lower property values. Lake temperature will be affected, probably killing the lifeforms that inhabit it.

Currently, there is no national policy on nuclear waste storage. Should the spent fuel rods from North Anna be kept on site, or should they be shipped to another site, I believe that they would pose a threat to the environment and local communities. Fuel rods have gone missing in the past years, and until the nation has a safe and secure means to store spent fuel, the rods pose a risk to the environment and in a worst-case scenario, could end up in the wrong hands.

I am opposed to new nuclear power. I would prefer that Dominion meet its power capacity needs using clean energy like wind or biomass. In reevaluating the ESP and the EIS, the NRC should urge Dominion to consider other alternatives to an expensive nuclear plant. Constructing new reactors would be bad for Virginia's environment, bad for taxpayers, and bad for residential and commercial ratepayers.

Thank you sincerely for your time.

Sincerely,

Helen Kopp
12521 Indian Hollow Rd
Grafton, OH 44044-9190
USA
kopphm@yahoo.com

ESF Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

E-RIDS = ADM-03

*add = J. Cushing (JXC-9)
A. Williamson (ARVI)*

Mail Envelope Properties (41EA6833.3D9 : 19 : 50137)

Subject: Please find an alternative to the North Anna nuclear project
Creation Date: Sun, Jan 16, 2005 8:12 AM
From: "Helen Kopp" <kopphm@yahoo.com>

Created By: kopphm@yahoo.com

Recipients

nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
NorthAnna_ESP

Post Office
owf4_po.OWFN_DO

Route
nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2396	Sunday, January 16, 2005 8:12 AM
Mime.822	3630	

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard