

From: "SCHEIDE, RICHARD H" <RSCHEID@entergy.com>
To: "Drew Holland (dgh1@nrc.gov)" <dgh1@nrc.gov>
Date: 5/27/04 2:28PM
Subject: CR 2-2003-1882

Drew,

The attached e-mail was an attachment to the referenced CR and was the only action taken. If you want the Cr, call me and let me know your fax number and I will forward it.

Dick

Mail Envelope Properties (40B63335.CD4 : 2 : 48340)

Subject: CR 2-2003-1882
Creation Date: 5/27/04 2:23PM
From: "SCHEIDE, RICHARD H" <RSCHEID@entergy.com>

Created By: RSCHEID@entergy.com

Recipients

nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
DGH1 (Drew Holland)

Post Office
owf4_po.OWFN_DO

Route
nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	191	05/27/04 02:23PM
Part.001	889	
MessageFrom.doc	41472	
Mime.822	59558	

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

DOCKET No. 50-368

Date: May 28, 2004

NOTE TO FILE ON DOCKET 50-368

**LICENSEE RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS FROM NRR
TO ANO-2 MANAGEMENT REGARDING TIA-2004-01
REQUIREMENTS INTENDED TO CONTROL THE DEGRADATION
OF CLASS 1E BATTERIES**

DURING MAY 2004, Management at ANO-2 responded to a number of questions that Project Manager, Drew Holland asked the licensee on behalf of Matthew McConnell and Saba Saba of EEIB in the Division of Engineering. A partial response to the questions by the licensee is provided by the attachment to this note to file.

The questions asked were as follows:

- 1) When did the initial equalizing charge take place for the cell that replaced cell 41 in 2D11?**
- 2) When did the 24 hour float charge begin?**
- 3) Provide a copy of the procedure used for discharge testing.**
- 4) Does the procedure of 3) above cover pre-installation discharge testing?**
- 5) Provide a copy of CR-ANO-2-2003-1882.**
- 6) How were the four cells selected from 2D12 ? What was the acceptance criteria for the four cells?**
- 7) Why were replacement cells not taken from the spare cell bank?**

Docket No. 50-368

MessageFrom: HOFFPAUIR, JAMES G
Sent: Thursday, December 18, 2003 12:43 PM
To: SMITH, J LARRY
Subject: FW: 2D-11 Cell 25 voltage below maintenance limit

-----Original Message-----

From: COLCLOUGH, RICHARD J
Sent: Monday, December 15, 2003 9:42 AM
To: MARTIN, RANDAL K; GRAY, MICHAEL R; BEAIRD, ROBERT K; HARTMAN, ANGELA M;
VESS, KENNETH L; HOFFPAUIR, JAMES G
Cc: WEBSTER, CARL R; TALBERT, KEVIN R; VAUGHN, RANDY D; BROWN, TIMOTHY E;
MCFARLAND, RUSSELL M
Subject: RE: 2D-11 Cell 25 voltage below maintenance limit

All,

The reading on 2D-11 cell 25 was 2.180 VDC as of 9:00 am Monday morning.

We will further monitor it as we perform the Weekly Test on Tuesday per Michael Gray.

Rick Colclough

-----Original Message-----

From: MARTIN, RANDAL K
Sent: Saturday, December 13, 2003 6:03 PM
To: GRAY, MICHAEL R; BEAIRD, ROBERT K; HARTMAN, ANGELA M; VESS, KENNETH L;
HOFFPAUIR, JAMES G
Cc: WEBSTER, CARL R; TALBERT, KEVIN R; VAUGHN, RANDY D; BROWN, TIMOTHY E;
COLCLOUGH, RICHARD J
Subject: 2D-11 Cell 25 voltage below maintenance limit

Folks,

We were performing the quarterly surveillance on 2D-11 this last Friday and found cell 25 below the maintenance limit of 2.17 VDC. I do not the history of this cell yet, but we will follow-up Monday.

We performed the 2D-11 quarterly to satisfy a concern by the NRC on whether we had taken specific gravities on the cells since the last equalize charge after cell 41 was replaced coming up out of 2R16.

CR 2-2003-1882

During the performance of proc. 2403.024 (2D-11 Quarterly Surveillance) W/O # 50571451-01, voltage on Cell # 25 was found to be at 2.166 VDC which is below the maintenance limit of 2.17 VDC. This condition report was initiated at the request of Jeff Rudder U-2 OPS for trending.

Thanks,

Randal Martin

Office: 479-858-4075

Pager: 479-890-0999