ASSESSMENT OF PROPOSED INFORMATION COLLECTION TO: INFORMATION MANAGEMENT COORDINATOR Carrie Brown, NMSS TITLE OF INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUIREMENT 10 CFR Part 36, "Licenses and Rediation Safety Requirements for Irradiators" (DRAFT OCP) THE FOLLOWING FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED IN EVALUATING THE PROPOSED INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUIREMENT. (If the response is not so, check "NO" and explain YES 1. The requirement is needed. (It is the best means to achieve a necessary regulatory objective.) ✓ 2. The requirement has practical utility, i.e., the NRC has the capability to use the information in a timely and useful fashion. ✓ 3. The schedule for imposing the requirement is reasonable. ✓ ✓ 4. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by the NRC. ✓ ✓ 6. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by other Government agencies. ✓ ✓ 7. The method used to estimate the burden is adequate. ✓ ✓ 8. The burden estimates are reasonable when compared with similar requirements previously submitted. ✓ ✓ 10. The recours retention period is sufficiently definitive and reasonable. ✓ ✓ 11. The requirement adequately identifies the records to be maintained and the information to be reported. ✓ ✓ 12. NRC administrative support requirements are sufficient to manage the information collection.						
Carrie Brown, NMSS 10 CFR Part 36, "Licenses and Radiation Safety Requirements for Irradiators" (DRAFT OCP) THE FOLLOWING FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED IN EVALUATING THE PROPOSED NFORMATION COLLECTION REQUIREMENT. (If the response is not so, check "NO" and explain YES 1. The requirement is needed. (It is the best means to achieve a necessary regulatory objective.) V 2. The requirement has practical utility, i.e., the NRC has the capability to use the information in a timely and useful fashion. V 3. The schedule for imposing the requirement is reasonable. V 4. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by the NRC. V 5. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by other Government agencies. V 7. The method used to estimate the burden is adequate. V 8. The burden estimates are reasonable when compared with similar requirements previously submitted. V 9. The recours retention period is sufficiently definitive and reasonable. V 10. The recours retention period is sufficiently definitive and reasonable. V 11. The requirement adequately identifies the records to be maintained and the information to be reported. V 12. NRC administrative support requirements are sufficient to manage the information collection. V		ASSESSMENT OF PROPOSE	D INFORMATION COLLECTION			
NFORMATION COLLECTION REQUIREMENT. (If the response is not so, check "NO" and explain YES 1. The requirement is needed. (It is the best means to achieve a necessary regulatory objective.) V 2. The requirement has practical utility, i.e., the NRC has the capability to use the information in a timely and useful fashion. V 3. The schedule for imposing the requirement is reasonable. V 4. The requirement selected is the least burdensome method of achieving a necessary regulator objective. V 5. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by the NRC. V 6. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by other Government agencies. V 7. The method used to estimate the burden is adequate. V 8. The burden estimates are reasonable when compared with similar requirements previously submitted. V 9. The methods proposed for collecting or keeping the information are consistent with sound record management practices. V 10. The requirement adequately identifies the records to be maintained and the information to be reported. V 12. NRC administrative support requirements are sufficient to manage the information collection. V	Carrie Brown, NMSS 10 CFR Part 36, "Licenses and Radiation Sa				afety	
1. The requirement is needed. (It is the best means to achieve a necessary regulatory objective.) ✓ 2. The requirement has practical utility, i.e., the NRC has the capability to use the information in a timely and useful fashion. ✓ 3. The schedule for imposing the requirement is reasonable. ✓ 4. The requirement selected is the least burdensome method of achieving a necessary regulator objective. ✓ 5. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by the NRC. ✓ 6. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by other Government agencies. ✓ 7. The method used to estimate the burden is adequate. ✓ 8. The burden estimates are reasonable when compared with similar requirements previously submitted. ✓ 9. The methods proposed for collecting or keeping the information are consistent with sound record management practices. ✓ 10. The requirement adequately identifies the records to be maintained and the information to be reported. ✓ 12. NRC administrative support requirements are sufficient to manage the information collection. ✓ 13. The information collection will not cause NRC to exceed its Information Collection Budget. ✓		FOLLOWING FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED IN EV ORMATION COLLECTION REQUIREMENT. (If the res	ALUATING THE PROPOSED	YES		
timely and useful fashion.V3. The schedule for imposing the requirement is reasonable.V4. The requirement selected is the least burdensome method of achieving a necessary regulator objective.V5. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by the NRC.V6. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by other Government agencies.V7. The method used to estimate the burden is adequate.V8. The burden estimates are reasonable when compared with similar requirements previously submitted.V9. The methods proposed for collecting or keeping the information are consistent with sound record management practices.V10. The requirement adequately identifies the records to be maintained and the information to be reported.V12. NRC administrative support requirements are sufficient to manage the information collection.V13. The information collection will not cause NRC to exceed its Information Collection Budget.V				V	ſ	
4. The requirement selected is the least burdensome method of achieving a necessary regulator objective. ✓ 5. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by the NRC. ✓ 6. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by other Government agencies. ✓ 7. The method used to estimate the burden is adequate. ✓ 8. The burden estimates are reasonable when compared with similar requirements previously submitted. ✓ 9. The methods proposed for collecting or keeping the information are consistent with sound record management practices. ✓ 10. The requirement adequately identifies the records to be maintained and the information to be reported. ✓ 12. NRC administrative support requirements are sufficient to manage the information collection. ✓ 13. The information collection will not cause NRC to exceed its Information Collection Budget. ✓	2.		the capability to use the information in a	1		
objective. V 5. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by the NRC. V 6. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by other Government agencies. V 7. The method used to estimate the burden is adequate. V 8. The burden estimates are reasonable when compared with similar requirements previously submitted. V 9. The methods proposed for collecting or keeping the information are consistent with sound record management practices. V 10. The records retention period is sufficiently definitive and reasonable. V 11. The requirement adequately identifies the records to be maintained and the information to be reported. V 12. NRC administrative support requirements are sufficient to manage the information collection. V 13. The information collection will not cause NRC to exceed its Information Collection Budget. V	3.	The schedule for imposing the requirement is reasonal	ble.	√		
 6. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by other Government √ 7. The method used to estimate the burden is adequate. 8. The burden estimates are reasonable when compared with similar requirements previously √ 9. The methods proposed for collecting or keeping the information are consistent with sound record √ 10. The records retention period is sufficiently definitive and reasonable. 11. The requirement adequately identifies the records to be maintained and the information to be √ 12. NRC administrative support requirements are sufficient to manage the information collection. 13. The information collection will not cause NRC to exceed its Information Collection Budget. 	4.		thod of achieving a necessary regulator	1		
agencies. ✓ 7. The method used to estimate the burden is adequate. ✓ 8. The burden estimates are reasonable when compared with similar requirements previously submitted. ✓ 9. The methods proposed for collecting or keeping the information are consistent with sound record management practices. ✓ 10. The records retention period is sufficiently definitive and reasonable. ✓ 11. The requirement adequately identifies the records to be maintained and the information to be reported. ✓ 12. NRC administrative support requirements are sufficient to manage the information collection. ✓ 13. The information collection will not cause NRC to exceed its Information Collection Budget. ✓	5.	The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirer	nents imposed by the NRC.	√		
8. The burden estimates are reasonable when compared with similar requirements previously submitted. ✓ 9. The methods proposed for collecting or keeping the information are consistent with sound record management practices. ✓ 10. The records retention period is sufficiently definitive and reasonable. ✓ 11. The requirement adequately identifies the records to be maintained and the information to be reported. ✓ 12. NRC administrative support requirements are sufficient to manage the information collection. ✓ 13. The information collection will not cause NRC to exceed its Information Collection Budget. ✓	6.		ments imposed by other Government	√		
submitted. V 9. The methods proposed for collecting or keeping the information are consistent with sound record management practices. V 10. The records retention period is sufficiently definitive and reasonable. V 11. The requirement adequately identifies the records to be maintained and the information to be reported. V 12. NRC administrative support requirements are sufficient to manage the information collection. V 13. The information collection will not cause NRC to exceed its Information Collection Budget. V	7.	The method used to estimate the burden is adequate.		√		
management practices. V 10. The records retention period is sufficiently definitive and reasonable. V 11. The requirement adequately identifies the records to be maintained and the information to be reported. V 12. NRC administrative support requirements are sufficient to manage the information collection. V 13. The information collection will not cause NRC to exceed its Information Collection Budget. V		submitted.		√		
11. The requirement adequately identifies the records to be maintained and the information to be reported. ✓ 12. NRC administrative support requirements are sufficient to manage the information collection. ✓ 13. The information collection will not cause NRC to exceed its Information Collection Budget. ✓	9.		ormation are consistent with sound record	1		
reported. V 12. NRC administrative support requirements are sufficient to manage the information collection. V 13. The information collection will not cause NRC to exceed its Information Collection Budget. V	10.	The records retention period is sufficiently definitive an	d reasonable.	√		
13. The information collection will not cause NRC to exceed its Information Collection Budget.	11.		e maintained and the information to be	v		
	12.	NRC administrative support requirements are sufficien	t to manage the information collection.	J		
REMARKS	13.	The information collection will not cause NRC to excee	d its Information Collection Budget.	√		
	Cathe Reco	D REVIEWER - (Assigned Analyst) erine Blakeney ords and FOIA/Privacy Services Branch/IRSD/OIS	, plate 02/0)1 /2005		