

Facility: SusQ. Unit 1 & 2 Date of Examination: 2/3-2/4/05

Examinations Developed by: Facility / NRC (circle one)

Target Date*	Task Description (Reference)	Chief Examiner's Initials
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	JM
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	JM
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c)	JM
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	JM
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 2)]	JM
-75	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1's, ES-401-1/2, ES-401-3, and ES-401-4 , as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d)	JM
-70	7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)	JM
-45	8. Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d)	JM
-30	9. Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.i; C.2.g; ES-202)	JM
-14	10. Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.i; C.2.i; ES-202)	JM
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	JM
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g)	JM
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	JM
-7	14. Final applications reviewed; examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 4; ES-204)	JM
-7	15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k)	N/A
-7	16. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)	JM

* Target dates are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee.

[] Applies only to examinations prepared by the NRC.

Facility: SSES		Date of Examination: 02/03/05			
Item	Task Description	Initials			
		a	b*	c#	
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2. S I M	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications and major transients.	RJB	KML	J	
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicant's audit test(s), and scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	RJB	KML	J	
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	RJB	KML	J	
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form. (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form. (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicant's audit test(s). (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form. (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form. (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified. (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	RJB	KML	J
		b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	RJB	KML	J
		c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	RJB	KML	J
d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.		RJB	KML	J	
e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.		RJB	KML	J	
f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	RJB	KML	J		
a. Author	Richard J. Brooks / <i>[Signature]</i>	Date: 11/15/04			
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Kenneth M. Roush / <i>[Signature]</i>	11/17/04			
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	John G. Conrad / <i>[Signature]</i>	11/22/04			
d. NRC Supervisor	Richard J. Conroy / <i>[Signature]</i>	2/2/05			
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c", chief examiner concurrence required.					

Memorandum

To: John Caruso
From: Rich Brooks
Date: 2/7/2005
Re: Exam Security Agreement

Attached please find the completed Examination Security Agreement (Form ES-201-3) for our recently completed retake exam. If you have any questions or require additional information, feel free to contact me @ 570-542-1891.



05 FEB -8 10:19

RECEIVED
REGION 1

1. Pre-Examination

Susquehanna

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 1/31/2005 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 1/31/2005. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. RICHARD E. CHIN	INSTRUCTOR/EXAM DEVELOPER	Richard E. Chin	10/27/04	Richard E. Chin	2/3/05	
2. GUY N WYNN	INSTRUCTOR/EXAM DEVELOPER	Guy N Wynn	10/27/04	Guy N Wynn	2/4/05	
3. RICHARD J. BROOKS	Exam Coordinator/Exam Developer	Richard J. Brooks	10/27/04	Richard J. Brooks	2/3/05	
4. OLENE E DODGE	SIM LEAD	Olene E Dodge	10/28/04	Olene E Dodge	2/4/05	
SCOTT J. DIEFFENBACHER	SCOTT J. DIEFFENBACHER	SCOTT J. DIEFFENBACHER				
6. SCOTT J. DIEFFENBACHER	Computer HW Analyst	Scott Dieffenbacher	10/28/04	Scott Dieffenbacher	2/4/05	
7. DON KRUPP	Simulator Engineer	Don Krupp	10/28/04	Don Krupp	2/4/05	
8. GREG FESSLER	Simulator HW Analyst	Greg Fessler	10/29/04	Greg Fessler	2/3/05	
9. CAROL A. BURKHART	Analyst / Db Admin	Carol A. Burkhardt	11-08-04	Carol A. Burkhardt	2/3-05	
10. CAROL A. BURKHART	ANALYST / Db Admin	Carol A. Burkhardt	11-08-04	Carol A. Burkhardt	2/3-05	
11. KENNETH M ROUSH	Manager- Nuclear Training/Reviews	Kenneth M Roush	11/17/04	Kenneth M Roush	2/4/05	
12. CARL YOUNG	Unit Supervisor	Carl Young	12/10/04	Carl Young	2/7/05	
13. GORDON SHELLENBERGER	PCO	Gordon Shellenberger	12/10/04	Gordon Shellenberger	2/7/05	
14. WILLIAM DYER	PCO	William Dyer	12/10/04	William Dyer	2/7/05	
15. A.T. ILIADIS	Shift Manager	Thomas Iliadis	12/10/04	Thomas Iliadis	2/7/05	

NOTES: Page 1 of 2

1. Pre-Examination

Susquehanna

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 1/31/05 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 1/31/2005. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. <u>Bruce R. Stitt</u>	<u>Unit Supervisor training program lead</u>	<u>B.R. Stitt</u>	<u>1/22/05</u>	<u>B.R. Stitt</u>	<u>2-4-05</u>	
2. <u>Mr Bower</u>	<u>PCO</u>	<u>mjbower</u>	<u>1-22-05</u>	<u>mjb</u>	<u>2-3-05</u>	
3. <u>John Snyder</u>	<u>us</u>	<u>John Snyder</u>	<u>1-22-05</u>	<u>John Snyder</u>	<u>2-3-05</u>	
4. <u>Robert Boesch</u>	<u>Supervisor - Operations Instruction</u>	<u>Robert Boesch</u>	<u>2/02/05</u>	<u>Robert Boesch</u>	<u>2/3/05</u>	
5. <u>STEPHEN HUFF</u>	<u>PCO</u>	<u>Stephen Huff</u>	<u>2/2/05</u>	<u>Stephen Huff</u>	<u>2/3/05</u>	
6. <u>Jim Charles Jr</u>	<u>PCO</u>	<u>Jim Charles Jr</u>	<u>2/2/05</u>	<u>Jim Charles Jr</u>	<u>2/3/05</u>	
7. <u>Rich Klinefelter</u>	<u>Unit Supv.</u>	<u>R. Klinefelter</u>	<u>2/2/05</u>	<u>R. Klinefelter</u>	<u>2/3/05</u>	
8. <u>Art Fitel</u>	<u>ADM</u>	<u>Art Fitel</u>	<u>2/3/05</u>	<u>Art Fitel</u>	<u>2/3/05</u>	
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						

NOTES: Page 2 of 2

Facility: **SSES**

Date of Examination: **02/03/05**

Operating Test Number:

1. GENERAL CRITERIA	Initials		
	a	b*	c#
a. The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	RJB	KMR	JL
b. There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	RJB	KMR	N/A JL
c. The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants= audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).	RJB	KMR	JL
d. Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	N/A	N/A	N/A
e. It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	RJB	KMR	JL

2. WALK-THROUGH (CATEGORY A & B) CRITERIA			
a. Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> initial conditions initiating cues references and tools, including associated procedures reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	N/A	N/A	N/A
b. Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	N/A	N/A	N/A

3. SIMULATOR CRITERIA			
a. The associated simulator operating tests' (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	RJB	KMR	JL*

	Printed Name / Signature	Date
a. Author	Richard J. Brooks / <i>[Signature]</i>	1/24/05
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	K M Roush / <i>[Signature]</i>	1/24/05
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	J.G. Caruso / <i>[Signature]</i>	1/26/05
d. NRC Supervisor	R.J. Conte / <i>[Signature]</i>	1/28/05

NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests.
 # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.

NOTE: FINAL EXAM VALIDATION WILL NOT BE COMPLETED ON SITE BY NRC EXAMINER NEIL WILLIAMS UNTIL WEEK OF EXAM FEB 23, 2005

Facility: **SSES** Date of Exam: **02/03/05** Scenario Numbers: **502A /503A/302A** Operating Test No.:

QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	<i>PJG</i>	<i>KMR</i>	<i>JL</i>
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	<i>PJG</i>	<i>KMR</i>	<i>JL</i>
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 	<i>PJG</i>	<i>KMR</i>	<i>JL</i>
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	<i>PJG</i>	<i>KMR</i>	<i>JL</i>
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	<i>PJG</i>	<i>KMR</i>	<i>JL</i>
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	<i>PJG</i>	<i>KMR</i>	<i>JL</i>
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	<i>N/A</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>N/A</i>
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	<i>PJG</i>	<i>KMR</i>	<i>JL</i>
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	<i>PJG</i>	<i>KMR</i>	<i>JL</i>
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	<i>PJG</i>	<i>KMR</i>	<i>JL</i>
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	<i>PJG</i>	<i>KMR</i>	<i>JL</i>
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	<i>PJG</i>	<i>KMR</i>	<i>JL</i>
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	<i>PJG</i>	<i>KMR</i>	<i>JL</i>
TARGET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.5.d)		Actual Attributes 502A/503A/302A		
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	6/9/6	<i>PJG</i>	<i>KMR</i>
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2/3/2	<i>PJG</i>	<i>KMR</i>
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	3/4/3	<i>PJG</i>	<i>KMR</i>
4.	Major transients (1-2)	3/3/3	<i>PJG</i>	<i>KMR</i>
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2/2/4	<i>PJG</i>	<i>KMR</i>
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	2/1/2	<i>PJG</i>	<i>KMR</i>
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	3/2/2	<i>PJG</i>	<i>KMR</i>

** Final Exam Validation will not be completed on site by NRC Examiner Herb Williams until week of Exam Feb 2-3, 2005*
 Susquehanna Facsimile *JL*

Facility SSES		Date of Exam 02/03/05						Operating Test No							
A P P L I C A N T	E V E N T T Y P E	Scenarios												T O T A L	M I N I M U M
		ILO-502A			ILO-503A			ILO-302 B/U			4				
		CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION			CREW POSITION				
		A T C	S R O	B O P	A T C	S R O	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P		
RO SRO-I SRO-U	RX	2	2		1	1								2	1*
	NOR		1	1,2				1	1					1	1*
	I/C	3,5	3,4, 5,7, 9	3,4, 5,7, 9	2,3, 4	2,3, 4,6, 7,9	6,7, 9	2,3, 4,5, 6	2,3, 4,5	4,5, 6				8	4*
	MAJ	6,8, 10	6,8, 10	6,8, 10	5,8	5,8, 10	5,8, 10	7,8, 9,10	7,8, 9	7,8, 9				5	2
	TS		3,4			1,4		3,6						2	2
RO SRO-I SRO-U	RX														1*
	NOR														1*
	I/C														4*
	MAJ														2
	TS														2
RO SRO-I SRO-U	RX														1*
	NOR														1*
	I/C														4*
	MAJ														2
	TS														2
RO SRO-I SRO-U	RX														1*
	NOR														1*
	I/C														4*
	MAJ														2
	TS														2

Instructions:

1. Circle the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must do one scenario, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position.
2. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or controlled abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per section C.2.a of Appendix D. *Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
3. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author: Richard J. Brooks

NRC Reviewer: John G. Casuso

Facility:	SSES			Date of Examination:	02/03/05				Operating Test No.:				
Competencies	APPLICANTS												
	RO/SRO-I/SRO-U			RO/SRO-I/SRO-U				RO/SRO-I/SRO-U					
	SCENARIO			SCENARIO				SCENARIO					
	502A SRO	503A ATC	302A Back-up	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
Interpret/Diagnosis Events and Conditions	1,2,3,4, 5,6,7,8, 9,10	1,2,3,4, 5,6,8,9, 10	1,2,3,4, 5,6,7,8, 9.										
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1,2,3,4, 5,6,7,8, 9,10	1,2,3,4, 5,6,8,9, 10	1,2,3,4, 5,6,7,8, 9.										
Operate Control Boards (2)		1,2,3,4, 5,8,9,10	1,2,3,4, 5,6,7,8, 9.										
Communicate and Interact	1,2,3,4, 5,6,7,8, 9,10	1,2,3,4, 5,6,7,8, 9,10	1,2,3,4, 5,6,7,8, 9.										
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	1,2,3,4, 5,6,7,8, 9,10		1,2,3,4, 5,6,7,8, 9.										
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	3,4		3,6										
Notes:													
(1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.													
(2) Optional for an SRO-U.													
(3) Only applicable to SROs.													

Instructions: ** NOTE: The Applicant will be shifted from the ATC position to BOB after the SRAM Actions are completed is the INTENTION AT THIS TIME.*

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author: Richard J. Brooks / *[Signature]*

NRC Reviewer: John G. Caruso / *[Signature]*