

| | | |
|--|---------------|----------------------------------|
| U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION | | Conversation Date: Various |
| TELEPHONE CONVERSATION RECORD | | Time: various |
| Mail Control No.: | License No.: | Docket No.: |
| 136211 | 29-30984-01 | 03036785 |
| Licensee/Applicant Participant(s): | Organization: | Telephone No.: |
| Dennis Quin (Consultant) and Scott Sproel | Conopco | 845-223-1960 and 201-894-2794 |
| Person(s) Calling: Farrah Gaskins | | |
| Subject: State License should be issued to. | | |
| <p>Summary:</p> <p>10:21am-- The license for Conopco has been issued as a NJ license because of their mailing address. The letter with the new license application states that the NJ location will close and they will relocate to a new facility in Connecticut. I called Dennis to find out if the mailing address will change to Connecticut b/c that means the license number will change. I called to give them the alternative of voiding this action and amending the current license to add the Connecticut facility and explain to them that this will give them two NJ licenses they will have to terminate later. I called Dennis and left a message on his voice mail.</p> <p>2/2/05 -- 11:04am: I spoke to Dennis Quinn. I explained to him the license address situation. He asked if it would be easier to add an do the license as an amendment rather than a whole new license. I told him I would speak with another health physicist and get back to him</p> <p>2/2/05 -- 2:16pm After speaking with Betsy about Dennis' question, I called Dennis back. I informed Him that an amendment to add the Trumbull, CT facility would be sufficient as long as they wanted both facilities to be tied to the same commitments. He said they would be. I informed him that if they do proceed with amending the license then they would have to void the new license action. He said he would talk it over with the corporate management and get back to me as to how they will handle it.</p> <p>2/3/05 -- 2:00pm Scott Sproel, of Unilever called regarding the discussion with Dennis Quinn. Scott explained that the other deficiencies that I asked Dennis about would be taken care of and sent to me soon. Scott also explained his concern about adding the Trumbull CT site to the existing license. He explained that the radioisotope usage is less on the existing license therefore financial assurance will be less. Scott explained that he would much rather prefer to proceed with the new license application. He mentioned that he put in a lot of work on the financial assurance with Sattar Lodhi. I told him I would speak with Sattar and call him again</p> | | |

on Monday.

2/8 1:48 Left a message on Scott's voice mail that I would continue the review as a new licence instead of an amendment.

| |
|---------------------------------------|
| Action Required/Taken: Place in ADAMS |
|---------------------------------------|

| |
|-----------------------------|
| Prepared By: Farrah Gaskins |
|-----------------------------|

| |
|------------------------|
| Date: February 8, 2005 |
|------------------------|