## **PUBLIC CITIZEN LITIGATION GROUP**

1600 20TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-1001

> (202) 588-1000 FAX: (202) 588-7795

SCOTT L. NELSON (202) 588-7724 SNELSON@CITIZEN.ORG

January 31, 2005

## By Hand Delivery

Mark J. Langer Clerk United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit E. Barrett Prettyman U.S. Courthouse 333 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001-2866

Re: Public Citizen, Inc. v. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, No. 04-1293

Dear Sir:

As required by the Court's order of November 4, 2004, enclosed please find the original and four copies of the parties' joint status report. Because the agency proceedings have now terminated and the parties have agreed to the dismissal of the petition for review, the parties have combined their status report with an agreement to dismiss the case under Rule 42(b).

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely yours.

Scott L. Nelsøn

cc: All counsel of record

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 04-1293

PUBLIC CITIZEN, INC.,

Petitioner.

٧.

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, et al.

Respondents.

## JOINT STATUS REPORT OF THE PARTIES AND DISMISSAL AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 42(b)

On October 12, 2004, the parties to this proceeding jointly moved to hold the case in abeyance pending action by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission on petitioner's pending request for a hearing on the lawfulness of the order that is the subject of the petition for review. This Court granted the motion on November 4, 2004, and directed the parties to file joint status reports at 90-day intervals and to file motions to govern future proceedings within 30 days of completion of the agency proceedings. This status report and dismissal agreement is filed in fulfillment of both obligations.

On January 19, 2005, the Commission issued an order rejecting petitioner's request for a hearing and stating the Commission's reasons for concluding that its

issuance of the challenged order without notice-and-comment rulemaking was lawful. The Commission's action terminated the agency proceedings.

The parties now hereby agree, pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate

Procedure 42(b), to the dismissal of this petition for review. The parties will bear
their own costs, and no fees are due to the Court.

SCOTT L. WELSON

D.C. Bar No. 413548

Public Citizen Litigation Group

1600 20th Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20009

(202) 588-1000

Counsel for Petitioner

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN F. CORDES

Solicitor

Office of the General Counsel

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

ARED K. HECK

Office of the General Counsel

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Washington, D.C. 20555

(301) 415-1623

KATHRYX E. KOVACS

Appellate Section

Environment and Natural

Resources Division

U.S. Department of Justice

P.O. Box 23795

Washington, D.C. 20026-3795

(202) 514-2855

Counsel for Respondents

Dated: January 31, 2005

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Jared K. Heck Mail Stop: 15D 21 One White Flint North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738

Kathryn E. Kovacs Appellate Section Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 23795 Washington, D.C. 20026-3795 (202) 514-2855

Scott L. Nelson