$\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \bullet \\ \bullet \end{array}$

 \ddot{z}

 \mathbf{i}

APPENDIX D

 \bar{z}

WCAP-1530, Revision 1 dated April 2001 "Surry Units 1 and 2 WOG Reactor Vessel 60-Year Evaluation Minigroup Heatup and Cooldown Limit Curves for Normal Operation"

 \bar{z}

WESTINGHOUSE NON-PROPRIETARY CLASS 3

WCAP-15130, Revision 1

Surry Units **1** and 2 WOG Reactor Vessel 60-Year Evaluation Minigroup Heatup and Cooldown Limit Curves For Normal Operation

J. **H. Ledger**

April 2001

Prepared by the Westinghouse Electric Company LLC for the WOG Reactor Vessel 60-Year Evaluation Minigroup

Approved:

C. H. Boyd, Manager Engineering and Materials Technology

Westinghouse Electric Company LLC P.O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355 ©2001 Westinghouse Electric Company LLC All Rights Reserved

PREFACE

This report has been technically reviewed and verified by:

T. *J.* Laubham ___

i

Revision 1:

An error was detected in the "OPERLIM" Computer Program that Westinghouse uses to generate pressuretemperature (PI) limit curves. This error potentially effects the heatup curves when the 1996 Appendix G Methodology is used in generating the PT curves. It has been determined that WCAP-15130 Rev. 0 was impacted by this error. Thus, this revision provides corrected curves from WCAP-15130 Rev. 0.

Note that only the heatup curves and associated data point tables have changed. The cooldown curves and data points remain valid and were not changed.

.WCAP-151.30 ii **WCAP-1 51 SO** ii

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared by Westinghouse as an account of work sponsored by the Westinghouse Owners Group (WOG). Neither the WOG, any member of the WOG, Westinghouse, nor any person acting on behalf of any of them:

(A) Makes any warranty or representation whatsoever, express or implied, (I) with respect to the use of any Information, apparatus, method, process, or similar item disclosed in this report, including merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, (II) that such use does not Infringe on or interfere with privately owned rights, including any party's intellectual property, or (11l) that this report is suitable to any particular user's circumstance; or

(B) Assumes responsibility for any damages or other liability whatsoever (including any consequential damages, even If the WOG or any WOG representative has been advised of the possibility of such damages) resulting from any selection or use of this report or any Information apparatus, method, process, or similar item disclosed in this report.

WCAP-15130 **1999 12 July 2010 12 July 2010**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

UST OF TABLES

III

40,60 and 100 "F/hr) Applicable to End of License Renewal (With Margins of 0F and O psi for Instrumentation Errors) ... 9-10

LiST OF FIGURES Figure 9-1: Surry Units 1 and 2 Reactor Coolant System Heatup Limitations (Heatup Rates of 20°F/hr) Applicable to End of License (With Margins of 0°F and 0 psi for Instrumentation Errors) 9-3 Figure 9-2: Surry Units 1 and 2 Reactor Coolant System Heatup Limitations (Heatup Rates of 40°F/hr)
Applicable to End of License (With Margins of O°F and 0 psi for Instrumentation Errors) 9-4 Figure 9-3: Surry Units 1 and 2 Reactor Coolant System Heatup Limitations (Heatup Rates of 60°F/hr) Applicable to End of License (With Margins of 0°F and 0 psi for Instrumentation Errors) 9-5 Figure 94: Surry Units I and 2 Reactor Coolant System Cooldown Limitations (Cooldown Rates of 0 ,20, 40, 60 and 100 °F/hr) Applicable to End of License (With Margins of 0°F and 0 psi for Instrumentation Errors)l. . **... 9.6** Applicable to End of License Renewal (With Margins of 0° F and 0 psi for Instrumentation Errors)... 9-7 Figure 9-6: Surry Units 1 and 2 Reactor Coolant System Heatup Limitations (Heatup Rates of 40°F/hr)
Applicable to End of License Renewal (With Margins of 0°F and 0 psi for Instrumentation Errors)... 9-8
Figure 9-7: Surry U Applicable to End of License Renewal (With Margins of 0°F and 0 psi for Instrumentation Errors)... 9-9 Figure 9-8: Surry Units I and 2 Reactor Coolant System Cooldown Limitations (Cooldown Rates of 0. 20,

Surry Units 1 and 2 Heatup and Cooldown Limit Curves

1. INTRODUCTION

Heatup and cooldown limit curves are calculated using the adjusted RT_{NOT} (reference temperature adjusted for irradiation effects) corresponding to the limiting beltline region material of the reactor vessel. The adjusted RT_{NDT} of the limiting material in the core region of the reactor vessel is determined by using the unirradiated reactor vessel material fracture toughness properties, estimating the radiation-induced ΔRT_{NOT} , and adding a margin term to accommodate uncertainties. The unirradiated RT_{NDT} is designated as the higher of either the drop weight nil-ductility transition temperature (NDTT) or the temperature at which the material exhibits at least 50 ft-lb of impact energy and 35-mil lateral expansion (normal to the major working direction) minus 60°F.

RT_{NDT} increases as the material is exposed to fast-neutron radiation. Therefore, to find the most limiting RT_{NDT} at any time period in the reactor's life, ΔRT_{NOT} due to the radiation exposure associated with that time period must be added to the unirradiated RT_{NOT} (IRT_{NDT}). The extent of the shift in RT_{NDT} is enhanced by certain chemical elements (such as copper and nickel) present in reactor vessel steels. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has published a method for predicting radiation embrittlement in Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, "Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel Materials^{® [1]}. Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, is used for the calculation of Adjusted Reference Temperature (ART) values (IRT_{NDT} + \triangle RT_{NDT} + margins for uncertainties) at the 114T and 3/4T locations, where T Is the thickness of the vessel at the bettline region measured from the clad/base metal interface. The most limiting ART values are used In the generation of heatup and cooldown pressure-temperature limit curves for normal operation.

2. PURPOSE

Virginia Power, as a member of the WOG Reactor Vessel 60-year Minigroup, has contracted Westinghouse to generate new heatup and cooldown curves applicable to Surry Units 1 and 2 for plant life extension. The heatup and cooldown curves are generated with margins of 0° F and 0 psi for instrumentation errors. The curves Include a hydrostatic leak test limit curve from 2485 to 2000 psig and pressure-temperature limits for the vessel flange regions per the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G^{t21}.

The purpose of this report is to present the calculations and the development of Surry Units 1 and 2 heatup and cooldown curves for plant life extension. This report documents the calculated adjusted reference temperature (ART) values, following the methods of Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision **2111,** for all the bettline materials and the development of the heatup and cooldown pressure-temperature limit curves for normal operation.

<u>WCAP-15130 3-1</u>

3. CRITERIA FOR ALLOWABLE PRESSURE-TEMPERATURE **RELATIONSHIPS**

3.1 End of Life Pressure *Temperature Umits*

 $\sim 10^{11}$ km s $^{-1}$

 \sim \sim

Appendix G to 10 CFR Part 50, "Fracture Toughness Requirements⁻¹²⁾ specifies fracture toughness requirements for ferritic materials of pressure-retaining components of the reactor coolant pressure boundary of light water nucdear power reactors to provide adequate margins of safety during any condition of normal operation, including anticipated operational occurrences and system hydrostatic tests, to which the pressure boundary may be subjected over its service lifetime. The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code forms the basis for these requirements. Section XI, Division 1, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components", Appendix $G^[3]$, contains the conservative methods of analysis.

The ASME approach for calculating the allowable limit curves for various heatup and cooldown rates specifies that the total stress intensity factor, K_t, for the combined thermal and pressure stresses at any time during heatup or cooldown cannot be greater than the reference stress intensity factor, $K_{\mathbf{h}}$, for the metal temperature at that time. $K_{\mathbf{h}}$ is obtained from the reference fracture toughness curve, defined in Appendix G of the ASME Code, Section XI. The K_h curve Is given by the following equation:

Second Construction Construction

 K_{la} = reference stress intensity factor as a function of the metal temperature T and the m etal reference nil-ductility temperature RT $_{\text{NOT}}$

Therefore, the governing equation for the heatup-cooldown analysis is defined in Appendix G of the ASME Code as follows: \mathbb{R}^d , \mathbb{R}^d , \mathbb{R}^d

At any time during the heatup or cooldown transient, K_{ta} is determined by the metal temperature at the tip of a postulated flaw at the 1/4T and 3/4T location, the appropriate value for RT_{NOT}, and the reference fracture toughness curve. The thermal stresses resulting from the temperature gradients through the vessel wall are calculated and then the corresponding (thermal) stress intensity factors, K_{k} , for the reference flaw are computed. From Equation 2, the pressure stress intensity factors are obtained and, from these, the allowable pressures are calculated.

For the calculation of the allowable pressure versus coolant temperature during cooldown, the reference flaw of Appendix G to the ASME Code Is assumed to exist at the inside of the vessel wall. During cooldown, the controlling location of the flaw is always at the inside of the wall because the thermal gradients produce tensile stresses at the inside, which Increase with increasing cooldown rates. Allowable pressure-temperature relations are generated for both steady-state and finite cooldown rate situations. From these relations, composite limit curves are constructed for each cooldown rate of Interest.

The use of the composite curve in the cooldown analysis is necessary because control of the cooldown procedure is based on the measurement of reactor coolant temperature, whereas the limiting pressure is actually dependent on the material temperature at the tip of the assumed flaw. During cooldown, the 1/4T vessel location is at a higher temperature than the fluid adjacent to the vessel inner diameter. This condition, of course, is not true for the steady-state situation. It follows that, at any given reactor coolant temperature, the DT (differential temperature) developed during cooldown results in a higher value $K_{\rm in}$ at the 1/4T location for finite cooldown rates than for steady-state operation. Furthermore, if conditions exist so that the increase in K_{ta} exceeds K_{di} , the calculated allowable pressure during cooldown is greater than the steady-state value.

The above procedures are needed because there is no direct control on temperature at the 114T location and, therefore, allowable pressures may unknowingly be violated if the rate of cooling is decreased at various intervals along a cooldown ramp. The use of the composite curve eliminates this problem and ensures conservative operation of the system for the entire cooldown period.

Three separate calculations are required to determine the limit curves for finite heatup rates. As is done in the cooldown analysis, allowable pressure-temperature relationships are developed for steady-state conditions as well as finite heatup rate conditions assuming the presence of a 1/4T defect at the inside of the wall. The heatup results in compressive stresses at the inside surface that alleviate the tensile stresses produced by internal pressure. The metal temperature at the crack tip lags the coolant temperature; therefore, the K_{ia} for the 1/4T crack during heatup is lower than the $K_{\mathbf{a}}$ for the 1/4T crack during steady-state conditions at the same coolant temperature. During heatup, especially at the end of the transient, conditions may exist so that the effects of compressive thermal stresses and lower $K_{\rm ls}$ values do not offset each other, and the pressure-temperature curve based on steady-state conditions no longer represents a lower bound of all similar curves for finite heatup rates when the 1/4T flaw is considered. Therefore, both cases have to be analyzed in order to ensure that at any coolant temperature the lower value of the allowable pressure calculated for steady-state and finite heatup rates is obtained.

The second portion of the heatup analysis concerns the calculation of the pressure-temperature limitations for the case in which a 114T flaw located at the *I14T* location from the outside surface is assumed. Unlike the situation at the vessel Inside surface, the thermal gradients established at the outside surface during heatup produce stresses which are tensile In nature and therefore tend to reinforce any pressure stresses present. These thermal stresses are dependent on both the rate of heatup and the time (or coolant temperature) along the heatup ramp. Since the thermal stresses at the outside are tensile and Increase with Increasing heatup rates, each' heatup rate must be analyzed on an individual basis. 化学数 医牙腔腔 医阿尔德氏试验检尿 \mathcal{L}^{max}

White Continued

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

Following the generation of pressure-temperature curves for both the steady state and finite heatup rate situations, the final limit curves are produced by constructing a composite curve based on a point-by-point comparison of the steady-state and finite heatup rate data. -At any given temperature, the allowable pressure is taken to be the lesser of the three values taken from the curves under consideration. The use of the composite curve is necessary to set conservative heatup limitations because It Is possible for conditions to exist wherein, over the course of the heatup ramp, the controlling condition switches from the Inside to the outside, and the pressure limit must at all times be based on analysis of the most critical criterion.

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G addresses the metal temperature of the closure head flange and vessel flange regions. This rule states that the metal temperature of the closure flange regions must exceed the material unirradiated RT_{NOT} by at least 120°F for normal operation when the pressure exceeds 20 percent of the preservice hydrostatic test pressure (3107 psig), which is 621 psig^{$[4]$} for the Surry Units 1 and 2 reactor vessel.

The limiting unirradiated RT $_{\text{MOT}}$ of 10°F occurs in the vessel flange of the Surry Units 1 and 2 reactor vessel, so the minimum allowable temperature of this region is 130'F at pressures greater than 621 psig with uncertainties of 0° F and 0 psi. This limit is reflected in the heatup and cooldown curves shown in Figures 9-1 to 9-8.

3.2 End of License Renewal Pressure Temperature Limits

For end of license renewal, the Surry Units 1 and 2 pressure temperature limits are developed using the ASME Code Section XI K_{ϵ} fracture toughness methodology.

 K_k is obtained from the reference fracture toughness curve and is given by the following equation:

$$
K_{\text{IC}} = 33.2 + 20.734e^{[0.02(\text{Tr}-\text{RT}_{\text{HOT}})]}
$$
 (3-3)

 ~ 10

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})$, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M})$

where,

 K_k = reference stress intensity factor as a function of the metal temperature T and the metal reference nil-ductility temperature RT_{NDT}

Therefore, the governing equation for the heatup-cooldown analysis is defined as follows:

$$
C \cdot K_{\text{lm}} + K_h < K_h \tag{3-4}
$$

where,

 K_{im} = stress intensity factor caused by membrane (pressure) stress

 $K_n =$ stress intensity factor caused by the thermal gradients

 K_{ic} = function of temperature relative to the RT_{NDT} of the material

 $C = 2.0$ for Level A and Level B service limits

 $C = 1.5$ for hydrostatic and leak test conditions during which the reactor core is not critical

The balance of the discussion for the end of license curves based on K_{la} fracture toughness methodology, in Section 3.1 above, remains applicable to end of license renewal pressure temperature limits.

4. CHEMISTRY FACTOR DETERMINATION

4.1 Surveillance Data Evaluation :

Table 4-1 contains the available surveillance data for Surry Units 1 and 2. Table 4-2 contains the representative data ultimately used to assess the material properties of the Surry Units 1 and 2 reactor vessel. The first state of the s $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\$ $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)$

 $\label{eq:2} \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{A}}.$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm{max}}$ and

Contract Contract Contract Contract

If the Irradiation environment (i.e., irradiation temperature and NSSS vendor) for one source is more similar to the Irradiation environment of the plant being assessed than other sources, the data from the source with the irradiation environment most similar to that of the plant being assessed should be used to assess the integrity of the vessel. Thus, If plant specific data are available, these data are considered the most applicable to the beItline material being evaluated.

SA-1585/SA-1650 Assesssment

 $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

Weld wire 72445 surveillance data Is not available from a Surry plant specific surveillance program. However, It Is available from the Point Beach Unit 1 (Westinghouse NSSS) surveillance program and from several BWOG integrated surveillance program capsules irradiated In Crystal River Unit 3 (B&W NSSS). The Irradiation environment of the Point Beach Unit 1 surveillance capsules more closely approximates the irradiation environment of Surry Units 1 and 2 because (a) Point Beach and Surry are both Westinghouse NSSS plants, and (b) the Irradiation temperatures of the Surry and Point Beach surveillance capsules are closer than the Irradiation temperatures of Surry and Crystal River. Therefore, the data derived from the Point Beach Unit 1 surveillance program is used to assess the integrity of the Surry Units 1 and 2 beftline material SA-1585.

SA1526 Assessment

Weld wire 299L44 surveillance data is available from the Surry Unit 1 plant specific surveillance program. In addition, weld wire'299L44 surveillance data Is available from BWOG integrated surveillance program surveillance capsules irradiated in Crystal River Unit 3. Among the BWOG integrated surveillance program capsules is a capsule which contains materials previously irradiated in Three Mile Island Unit 2 (i.e., TMi2-LG1, WF-25). The Irradiation environment of the Surry Unit 1 capsules most closely approximates the irradiation environment of the Surry Unit 1 reactor vessel beitline materials. Therefore, the data derived from the Surry Unit 1 plant specific surveillance program is used to assess the integrity of the Surry Unit 1 beitline material SA-1526.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\text{max}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\text{max}}) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\text{max}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\text{max}}) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\text{max}}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\text{max}})$

というように、この人気をとって、その気分が変化しているようになっ * .. ~ 200 and ~ 100 . A significant control of the second control of the second control of the second control of the second control of $\sim 10^{10}$ 2. 经总结收款 具有改变性的 ()。 4.1233 المتوقفات $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

الموالي والرائد المتعاطي والمتماز والتاري والمتمر ومعينها وستوقفه فيران متماز المراقب فللمواري والتموية والتراز المنار

4.2 Chemistry Factor Methodology:

The calculation of chemistry factor (CF) values for the Surry Units 1 and 2 reactor vessel beltline materials is performed in accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2⁽¹⁾ as follows:

The CF Is based on the Cu and Ni weight % of the material or it is based on the results of surveillance capsule test data. When the weight percent of copper and nickel is used to determine the CF, the CF is obtained from either Table 1 or Table 2 of Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2^{11} . The results of this method are listed in Table 4-1.

When surveillance capsule data is used to determine the CF, the CF is determined as follows:

$$
CF = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} [A_i \times f_i^{(0.28-0.1\log f)}]}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} [f_i^{(0.28-0.1\log f)}]^2}
$$
(4-1)

Where: n = The Number of Surveillance Data Points A_i = The Measured Value of \triangle RT_{NDT} $f_i =$ Fluence for each Surveillance Data Point

The results of the CF determination for the Suny Units 1 and 2 surveillance data are listed in Table 4-3.

42.1 Application of the Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2 Ratio Procedure for Beftline Material CF Determination

When credible surveillance data are used in the determination of the beltline material CF, correction for differences between the chemical compositions of surveillance weld specimens and the beltline material being evaluated is accomplished with the Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2^[1], Position 2.1 ratio procedure. The ratio procedure is not applicable to the plate material. It is not necessary to correct for differences between the chemical compositions of surveillance specimens and the beltline material being evaluated when the chemical compositions are essentially equal. When there are significant differences between the surveillance and beltline material chemical composition, the Regulatory Guide 1.99 Revision 2^{11} Position 2.1 ratio procedure is applied in the determination of the beltline material chemistry factor.

Per Table 4-2, for the Unit 1 Intermediate to Lower Shell Circumferential Weld and the Unit 2 Lower Shell Longitudinal Weld, the copper and nickel concentrations of the surveillance weld metal is not the same as the corresponding beitline material. Therefore, the ratio procedure of Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2^[1], Position 2.1 is applied to this surveillance weld metal.

WCAP-15130 4-3

Similarly, for the Unit 1 Lower Shell Longitudinal Weld, the copper and nickel concentrations of the surveillance weld metal Is not the same as the Unit 1 Lower Shell Longitudinal Weld SA-1526. Therefore the ratio procedure is applied to this surveillance weld metal, as well.

The copper and nickel weight concentrations of the Surny Unit 2 surveillance weld metal is the same for the Unit 2 Intermediate to Lower Shell Circumferential Weld R3008. Therefore, the ratio procedure of Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2^[1], Position 2.1 will not be applied to this surveillance weld metal (ie. Ratio $= 1.0$ for Unit 2 Intermediate to Lower Shell Circumferential Weld R3008).

4.2.2 Irradiation Temperature Effects on Surveillance Data for Determination of the Beltline
'' Meterial CF **Material CF** $\sim 10^{-11}$

Application of the selected surveillance data to the beiltine material requires normalization of measured transition temperature shift values to the mean Irradiation temperature of the betline material. A correction of 1.0°F is applied to measured values of transition temperature shift for each degree of irradiation temperature difference between surveillance specimens and beltline materials. This correction factor is documented in EPRI report NP-6114 ^[10] and ASTM report STP-1046^[11] and is cited in the November 12, 1997 NRC/industry meeting minutes ^[7].

a ang kabupatèn Sulawang Kabupatèn Bandaré Sulawa.
Sulawa sa Sulawa Su

When applying the selected surveillance data to the beitline material, the temperature correction is applied to each measured value of transition temperature shift for which the surveillance capsule Irradlatlon temperature is higher than'the betliine material irradiation temperature. The temperature correction is not performed when the surveillance capsule irradiation temperature is lower than the beltline material irradiation temperature. Correction for irradiation temperature is not necessary when the surveillance specimens are Irradiated in the plant which Is being evaluated.

 $\mathcal{L} = \{ \mathcal{L} \mid \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and } \mathcal{L} \text{ is a finite number of } \mathcal{L} \text{ and } \mathcal{L} \text{ is a finite number of } \mathcal{L} \text{ and } \mathcal{L} \text{ is a finite number of } \mathcal{L} \text{ and } \mathcal{L} \text{ is a finite number of } \mathcal{L} \text{ and } \mathcal{L} \text{ is a finite number of } \mathcal{L} \text{ and } \mathcal{L} \text{ is a finite number of } \mathcal{L} \text{ and } \mathcal{L} \text{ is a finite number of } \mathcal{L} \text{ and } \math$

CONSTRUCTION

, and the set of the se

 $\mathcal{M}_{\rm{max}}$ and ng me

Surry Units 1 and 2 Heatup and Cooldown Limit Curves

NOTES:
(a) Fluence values are in units of 10¹⁹ r/cm², E > 1.0 MeV

WCAP416130 ---- --- ...-.- - . .. ':1 ' " ' t ---.' 4

 $\frac{1}{2}$

It is the set of the se \sim \sim

Notes:

., , .. ;. . . , . ., , .~ , . I .. , . , w . . , (a) The chemistry factors shown in Table 4-2 are based on Position 1.1 using the best estimate chemistry for the beftline or surveillance material

 $\sim 10^{11}$ km $^{-1}$

 $\overline{}$ $\Delta\sim 10^4$

 \overline{t}

 $\epsilon=1.17$

 \sim \sim \sim

Notes:

(a) The chemistry factors shown in Table 4-2 are based on Position 1.1 using the best estimate chemistry for the beltline or surveillance material.

and a series

لحال الأساب

 ζ_i

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}$, where $\sim 10^{11}$ \mathcal{L}_{max} الوارد الدائري عا

Notes:

 ω and ω .

(a) Fluence values are in units of 10^{19} n/cm², E > 1.0 MeV.

 \sim

أحدار الرواضي والمتراوية

(b) \triangle RT_{NDT} are measured values.

والأفراد والمتعاون والمستردان

(c) Entright are measured values.
(c) Chemistry Factor before application of the Regulatory Guide 1.99, Rev. 2¹¹⁾ Position 2.1 ratio procedure.

 \sim \sim \sim

Surry Units 1 and 2 Heatup and Cooldown Limit Curves

 \mathbf{r}

4.3 Surveillance program credibility evaluation:

4.2.1 Credibility Criterion 1:

Criterion 1: The materials in the surveillance capsules must be those which are controlling materials with regard to radiation embrittlement.

The Surry Units 1 and 2 reactor vessels consists of the following beltline region materials:

- a) Nozzle Shell Forging,
- b) Intermediate Shell Forgings,
- c) Lower Shell Forgings,
- d) Intermediate to Lower Shell Circumferential Weld
- e) Intermediate Shell Longitudinal Welds
- f) Lower Shell Longitudinal Welds

Criterion I was applied at the time that the Surry surveillance program was designed and licensed. Therefore, the materials selected for use in the Surry Units 1 and 2 surveillance program are those judged to be most likely controlling with regard to radiation embrittlement according to the accepted methodology at the time the surveillance program was developed. Based on engineering judgment, the Surry Units 1 and 2 surveillance program meets the intent of this criteria.

The Surry Units 1 and 2 reactor vessel materials, from which the controlling materials are selected, are presented below.

Weld Metal:

The weld metal for Unit 1 includes the following:

WCAP-15130 4-9

The weld metal for Unit 2 includes the following:

Forgings:

The forgings for Unit 1 Include the following:

The forgings for Unit 2 Include the following:

Surry Units 1 and 2 Heatup and Cooldown Limit Curves

4.2.2 Credibility Cnterion 2;

Criterion 2: Scatter in the plots of Charpy energy versus temperature for the irradiated and unirradiated conditions must be small enough to permit the determination of the 30 ft-lb temperature unambiguously.

Virginia Power reviewed the surveillance capsule analysis reports which support the chemistry factor calculations and determined that this criterion is **met.**

4.2.3 Credibility Criterion 3:

Crfterlon 3: Where there are two or more sets of surveillance data from one reactor, the scatter of \triangle RT_{NDT} values must be less than 28°F for welds and 17°F for base metal. Even if the range in the capsule fluences is large (two or more orders of magnitude), the scatter may not exceed *twice* those values.

The least squares method, as described in Regulatory Guide 1.99 Position 2.1, Revision 2¹¹, as clarified in the November 12, 1997 meeting minutes m , is utilized in determining a best-fit line for this data to determine If this criteria is met.

NOTES:

 \sim

 \mathcal{X} .

- (a) The Chemistry Factor used for the best fit ART_{NOT} is calculated in accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.99. Rev. 2. Position 2.1.
- (b) Inputs to Fluence Factor calculations are in units of 10^{10} n/cm², E > 1.0 MeV.
- (c) The scatter of ART_{NDT} values about a best-fit line drawn, as described in Regulatory Guide 1.99, Rev. 2. Position 2.1, should be less than 17F for bass metal. As shown above, the scatter of all data -points is less than 17°F. Therefore, credibility criterion 3 is met. Since this surveillance data is credible, a σ , margin of 8.5°F is used when predicting the Surry Units 1 and 2 beitline plate material properties for these materials.
(d) For forgings, the credibility determination requires normalization of measured transition temperature
- shift values to the mean irradiation temperature of surveillance specimens. A correction of 1.0°F is applied to measured values of transition temperature shift for each degree of Irradiation temperature difference between survelliance specimens and beitline materials. This correction factor is documented in EPRI report NP-6114 $\frac{100}{10}$ and ASTM report STP-1046 $\frac{101}{100}$ and is cited in the November 12, 1997 NRC/Industry meeting minutes¹⁷. A temperature correction is not applied to measured values of transition temperature shift for the credibility determination if applicable surveillance data are Irradiated in a single reactor (i.e., are irradiated at a similar temperature). For the credibility - determination, a chemistry correction Is not 'applied to measured values of transition temperature shift for the credibility determination if applicable surveillance data are obtained from a single source (i.e., are machined from the same block of materal).

 $\sigma_{\rm{max}}=0.1$

经过的 **Contract** ~ 100 km s $^{-1}$ i Aristo Adam Serbia.
Personalis personalis personalis personalis personalis personalis personalis personalis personalis personalis
Personalis personalis personalis personalis personalis personalis personalis personalis pe $\sigma_{\rm c}$ χ An Mor 线圈电池

Surry Units 1 and 2 Heatup and Cooldown Umit Curves

NOTES:

- (a) The Chemistry Factor used for the best fit ΔRT_{NOT} is calculated in accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.99, Rev. 2. Position 2.1, using measured values of transition temperature shift that are nonmalized to the mean chemical composition of the surveillance materials by application of the Position 2.1 ratio procedure.
- (b) Inputs to Fluence Factor calculations are in units of 10^{19} n/cm^2 , E > 1.0 MeV.
- (c) The scatter of Δ RT_{NDT} values about a best-fit line drawn, as described in Regulatory Guide 1.99, Rev. 2. Position 2.1, is less than 28°F for the Intermediate to Lower Shell Circumferential Welds SA-1585 and R3008. Therefore, credibility criterion 3 is met for these two surveillance weld materials. Since this surveillance weld data is credible, a $\sigma_{\rm s}$ margin of 14°F is used when predicting the Surry Units 1 and 2 bettline weld material properties for these materials. The scatter of ARTnor values about a bestfit line for the Unit 1 Lower Shell Longitudinal Weld SA-1526 exceeds 28 °F. Other combinations of surveillance data applicable to SA-1526 on Table 4-1 have also been determined to be non-credible. Therefore, for the Unit 1 Lower Shell Longitudinal Weld SA-1526, a σ , margin of 28°F is used when predicting the weld mateial properties of this material. The Position 1.1 Chemistry Factor, based on the beltline material chemical composition, is determined to be conservative in accordance with the guidelines presented in the November 12, 1997 meeting minutes 17 .
- (d) The credibility determination requires normalization of measured transition temperature shift values to the mean irradiation temperature of surveillance specimens. A correction of 1.0°F is applied to measured values of transition temperature shift lor each degree of irradiation temperature difference between surveillance specimens and beitline materials. This cometion factor is documented In EPRI report NP-6114 110 and ASTM report STP-1046 111 and is cited in the November 12, 1997 NRC/industry meeting minutes^m. A temperature correction is not applied to measured values of transition temperature shift for the credibility determination if applicable surveillance data are Irradiated in a single reactor (i.e., are irradiated at a similar temperature). For the credibility determination, a chemistry correction is not applied to measured values of transition temperature shift if applicable surveillance data are obtained from a single source (i.e., machined from the same block of material).

.WCAP-15130 \sim 4-13 <u>WCAP-15130 4-13</u>

4.2.4 Credibility Criterion 4:

Criterion 4: The irradiation temperature of the Charpy specimens in the capsule must equal the vessel wall temperature at the cladding/base metal interface within $+/-25^{\circ}$ F.

The surveillance capsule analysis reports which support the chemistry factor calculations demonstrate that this criterion is met.

42.5 Credibility Criterion 5:

Criterion 5: The surveillance data for the correlation monitor material in the capsule, if .presen4 must tal within the scatter band of the data base for the material.

The surveillance capsule analysis reports which support the chemistry factor calculations demonstrate that this criterion is met.

42.6 Results and Conclusions of the Credibility Evaluation

Results of the credibility evaluation Indicate that, except for the Surry Unit 1 weld material SA-1526, the surveillance materials meet all of the credibility criteria discussed above. For weld material SA-1526, the scatter of measured ΔRT_{NOT} values about the best fit ΔRT_{NOT} trend line exceeds 28°F. Thus, the SA-1526 surveillance data are not credible. The Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2^[1], Position 1.1 CF for SA-1526 based on the beitline material chemistry composition is evaluated and determined to be conservative In accordance with the guidance presented in the November 12, 1997 meeting minutes \overline{p} . Therefore, the Position 1.1 CF is used to evaluate the material condition of the SA-1526 beltline material.

5. UNIRRADIATED PROPERT

5.1 Initial RT_{NDT} of Beltline Materials

Table 5-1 below contains a description of the beltline materials and their initial RT_{NDT} values.

5.2 *Detemnlnation of o,:*

For initial RT_{NDT} values not measured, the standard deviations for initial RT_{NDT} values for Surry Units 1 and 2 beltline materials are determined by statistical assessment of available measured values (i.e., set equal to the standard deviation of the estimate of the unirradiated RT_{NDT}). A σ_i value of 0° F is applied to measured values of initial RT_{NDT} .

5.3 *Boft-up* Temperature:

The reactor vessel may be bolted up at temperatures greater than the initial RT_{NDT} of the material stressed by the boltup (i.e., the vessel flange). The most limiting initial HT_{NDT} value is 100F on the vessel flange. However, a minimum RCS temperature limit of *6OFis* imposed to ensure that the RCS temperatures are sufficiently high to prevent damage to the closure head/ vessel flange during the removal or installation of the reactor vessel head bolts.

in a strong state of the state of the state of the

.

J.

<u>WCAP-15130 5-3</u>

6. REACTOR VESSEL GEOMETRIC & SYSTEM PARAMETERS

The applicable reactor vessel physical dimensions and operating conditions, along with other system parameters, are shown in Table 6-1.

WCAP-15130 <u>WOAP-15130 ALLEN ANDERS ANDERS ANDERS ANDERS AND ALLEN AND ALLEN AND ALLEN AND ALLEN AND ALLEN AND ALLEN AND A</u>

7. FLUENCE FACTOR DETERMINATION

7.1 Peak Clad Base Metal Interface Fluence for each Beltline Material:

 \mathcal{L}

and a state of the

Tables 7-8 through 7-15 present the best estimate peak fluences for the various materials in the Surry Units 1 and 2 reactor vessels. The cumulative core burnup values (EFPY) at which the pressure/temperature limit curves are calculated are:

Unit 1: ., and a set of \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{A} $Current EOL = 29.6 EFPY$ $\text{Renowal EOL} = 47.6 \text{ EFPY}$ Unit 2: $Current EOL = 30.1 EFP$ $= 48.1$ EFPY Renewal EOL I I . - . . $\boldsymbol{\beta}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

WCAP-15130

Surry Units 1 and 2 Heatup and Cooldown Umit Curves

--. WCAP-151 30 - -- ------ ' ' 7-5 - WCAP-15130 - -- --

47.6 4.70

<u>757 - مستخدم م</u>

. WCAP-15130 - I -- 7-7 -- CP- 513 7-

Surry Units 1 and 2 Heatup and Cooldown Umit Curves

Table 7-8: Best-Estimate Peak Fluence (10¹⁹
n/cm², E > 1.0 MeV) at the Pressure Vessel
Base Metal/Clad Interface of the Surry Unit 2
Upper and Lower Shell Longitudinal Welds Unit 2 EFPY Unit 2 Fluence 1.2 .0339 1.9 .0572 2.6 .0821 Δ 3.8 .116 4.9 .146 6.2 .180 7.4 5.03% 211 8.4 .238 **TA** 9.7 .266 10.9 .292 12.4 .323 13.9 .354 30.1 .697 48.1 -1.08

 7.0

ΣD.

÷, $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ \mathbb{R}^3 bing c

 $\gamma^{\rm t}$ PRESS CONTROL $\overline{}$ $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{F}}$. **可能的**, 2000年 $\Delta \sim 10^{-1}$

Surry Units 1 and 2 Heatup and Cooldown Limit Curves \blacksquare 7.2 Fluence Methodology Used:

The methodology used for determining the reactor vesesl neutron fluences is that which is documented In the Virginia Power Topical Report VEP-NAF-3, 'Reactor Vessel Fluence Analysis Methodology^{" [8]}. This topical report has been submitted to the NRC for review $[9]$, but has not been approved as of this writing.

7.3 Uncertainty in Fluence Evaluation:

The fluence estimates have been demonstrated to be within 20% of the true value.

7.4 Fluence Values for Beitline Materials at Locations Other than the Inner Surface

The neutron fluence at any depth in the vessel wall is calculated as follows:

$$
f = f_{\text{surf}} \cdot e^{-0.24 \text{ (x)}} \text{ , } 10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2 \text{ (E} > 1.0 \text{ MeV}) \tag{7-1}
$$

where: $f_{\text{surf}} =$ Vessel inner wall surface fluence, 10¹⁹ n/cm² (E > 1.0 MeV) $x =$ is the depth into the vessel wall from the inner surface, inches

7.5 Fluence Factor and how it is Determined:

Fluence factors at the 1/4T and 3/4T locations are determined using the method described in Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2^[1], as follows:

III

WCAP-15130 8-1

(あいぶんしゃ せだしのうち しょくしょうきょうかい a. CALCULATION OF ADJUSTED REFERENCE TEMPERATURE

 $\lambda \neq 1$, λ . In this case, we can consider the constant of the constant 帯 いっとい

8.1 Methodology,:

From Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2^{11} , the adjusted reference temperature (ART) for each material in the beltline region is given by the following expression:

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\mathbf{1} \right) \mathbf{1} \right) \left(\mathbf{1} \right) \mathbf{1} \left(\mathbf{1} \right) \mathbf{1}$

$$
ART = Initial RTNOT + \Delta RTNOT + Margin
$$
 (R-1)

化细胞反射 精力的 计前方 经租

8.1.1 Initial RT_{MDT}

Initial RT_{NOT} is the reference temperature for the unirradiated material as defined in paragraph NB-2331 of Section III of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code^[4]. If measured values of initial RT_{ADT} for the material in question are not available, generic mean values for that class of material may be used if there are sufficient test results to establish a mean and standard deviation for the class. Initial RT_{NOT} values are documented in Table 5-1.

the matched cost

8.1.2 \triangle RT_{NDT}

int a ration, to a

 Δ RT_{NDT} is the mean value of the adjustment in reference temperature caused by irradiation and is calculated as the product of the chemistry factor, CF, and the fluence factor determined per \leq Equation 7-2, as follows: $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}$ is the contribution of the $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}$ $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{q})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{q})$ are $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{q})$. The set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{q})$

 $\Delta RT_{NOT} = CF * f^{(0.28-0.10\log f)}$ **STATE STRAIN STATE**

 $\label{eq:2.1} \left\langle \left\langle \mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right\rangle \right\rangle =\left\langle \mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right\rangle \left\langle \mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right\rangle =\left\langle \mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right\rangle \left\langle \mathbf{r}^{\prime}\$

To calculate ΔRT_{NOT} at any depth (e.g., at 1/4T or 3/4T), the attenuated fluence at the specific depth must be determined based on Equation 7-1. The resultant fluence is then placed in Equation 8-2 in order to calculate the ΔRT_{NOT} at the specific depth. วรมรีรแกรีกรา $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

8.1.3 Margin used in Adjusted Reference Temperature Calculation:

 $\mathbf{r}_i \in \mathbb{C}$, the first product \mathcal{T}_i and \mathcal{T}_i , \mathcal{T}_i , \mathcal{T}_i , and the contribution of \mathcal{T}_i , \mathcal{T}_i , \mathcal{T}_i The margin term used in determining the adjusted RT_{NOT} is calculated using the margin term equation from Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision $2^{(1)}$, Position 2.1 as follows:

$$
12.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m} = 12.6 \times 10^{3} \text{ m} = 2\sqrt{\sigma/^{2} + \sigma_{s}^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
12.5 \times 10^{3} \text{ m} = 12.6 \times 10^{3} \text{ m} = 2\sqrt{\sigma/^{2} + \sigma_{s}^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
12.5 \times 10^{3} \text{ m} = 12.6 \times 10^{3} \text{ m} = 12
$$

where each of the terms is described below.

Surry Units 1 and 2 Heatup and Cooldown Umit Curves

Standard Deviation for ΔRT_{NOT} Margin Term, σ _x:

 σ_{λ} is the standard deviation of the estimate of the shift in the initial RT_{NDT} determined in accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2^[1]. Specific values of σ_{λ} are as follows:

For plates and forgings:

 $\sigma_{\rm s}$ = 17 when surveillance capsule data is not used

 $\sigma_{\rm s}$ = 8.5 when credible surveillance capsule data is used

For welds:

 $\sigma_{\rm s}$ = 28 when surveillance capsule data is not used

 $\sigma_{\rm A}=$ 14 when credible surveillance capsule data is used

NOTE: σ need not exceed 0.5° Δ RT_{NDT} per Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2.

Standard Deviation for Initial RT_{NOT} Margin Term, σ_i :

When a heat-specific measured value of the initial RT_{NOT} is not available, then σ_i is the standard deviation of the initial RT_{NOT} determined in accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision $2^{(1)}$. When a heat-specific measured value of the initial RT_{NOT} is available, σ_i is assumed to be zero. When σ_i is taken to be zero when a heat-specific measured value of initial RT_{NOT} is available, the total margin term, based on Equation 4 of Regulatory Guide 1.99 Rev. 2, is as follows:

- Position 1.1: Lesser of ΔRT_{NOT} or 56°F for Welds Lesser of Δ RT_{NDT} or 34°F for Base Metal
- Position 2.1: Lesser of Δ RT_{NOT} or 28°F for Welds Lesser of ART_{NDT} or 17°F for Base Metal

8.1.2 Summary of the Margin Terms and Adjusted Reference Temperature Calculations:

Using the methodology described above, the initial \overline{HY}_{NDT} , $\Delta \overline{HY}_{NDT}$ and margins used in the ART calculations for each of the Surry Units 1 and 2 reactor vessel materials are shown in Tables 8-1 through 8-4. Tables 8-1 and 8-2 are applicable to the end of license. Tables 8-3 and 8-4 are applicable to the end of license renewal period.

 \cdot WCAP-15130

 $\frac{8.3}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}$

ماء المتحدة

 $\ddot{}$

 \sim

 $\alpha = \alpha \omega$. ÷,

 $\Delta\omega$, we can

 \ddotsc

Notes:

(a) Fluences in units of $(10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2, E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$

Surry Units 1 and 2 Heatup and Cooldown Limit Curves

Notes:
(a)Fluences in units of (10¹⁹ n/cm², E > 1.0 MeV)

 $\label{eq:1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt$ Table 8-2: Calculation of the ART Values for the 3/4T Location at End of License $Materal$ RG 1.99 CF Fluence ΔRT_{NOT} Margin IRT $_{NOT}$ ART- \blacksquare \blacksquare I_ \blacks Surry Unit 1 (29.6 EFPY) \pm \cdot : Nozzle Shell Forging Position 76.1 0.070 26.5 34.0 40.0 100.5
122V109VA1 1.1 1.1 122V109VA1 \sim σ Intermediate Shell Position 73.5 0.802 68.9 34.0 10.0 112.9 \therefore C4326-1 \therefore 1.1 $\ddot{}$ $\sqrt{2}$ Intermediate Shell Position 73.5 0.802 68.9 34.0 0 102.9 \sim C4326-2 1.1 ੱਟ ਵਿ $\mathcal{L} = \{1,2,3\}$ $\lambda \sim 10^4$ Lower Shell 4415-1 Position 85.0 0.802 79.7 17.0 20.0 116.7 ..
منتجل والداري الأمريكي 2.1 $T^{\rm max}$ $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}$ \sim 1.1 Lower Shell 4415-2 Position 73.0 0.802 68.5 34.0 0 102.5 $\left\{ \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{I}}}, \cdots, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{I}}}} \right\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ λ $\ddot{\ddot{\mathrm{r}}}$ $\sqrt{2}$ Nozzle to Interrediate Position 152.0 0.070 53.0 68.8 0 121.8 Shell Circumferential 1.1 \mathcal{L}_{max} Weld J726/25017 $\frac{1}{2}$ \mathcal{A} . ÷ Intermediate to Lower Position 138.0 0.727 125.7 48.3 -5 169.0 Shell Circumferential 2.1 ... t
Geografi ~ 10 km $^{-1}$ \mathbf{r} Weld (ID 40%) \mathcal{L} $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ ĿÌ. $\sim 10^6$ \sim \sim SA-1585/2445 $\,$ $\,$ Intermediate to Lower Position 138.0 0.727 125.7 48.3 -5 169.0 Shell Circumferential $\begin{bmatrix} 2.1 \end{bmatrix}$ Weld (ID 60%) \mathbf{r} $\ddot{\cdot}$ \mathcal{G}_\bullet SA-1650(72445 Intermediate Shell Position 143.9 0.136 69.3 68.5 -5 132.8 Longitudinal Welds $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $1.38 **L4**$ SA-1494/3T1554 Lower Shell Position 143.9 0.123 66.0 68.5 -5 129.5 SA-1494/8T1554 $\ddot{\cdot}$ in Au Lower Shell \vert position \vert 220.6 \vert 0.123 101.2 \vert 69.5 \vert 7 Longitudinal Weld L2 :SA-1 5261299L44 1.1 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ المحافظة المتعويل \sim \sim ولأناس

Notes:

 22.233360 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A})$

 $\label{eq:2} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x})$

(a) Fluences in units of $(10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2, E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$

Surry Units 1 and 2 Heatup and Cooldown Limit Curves

8-5

i-I -

WCAP-15130 8-6

Notes:

(a) Fluences in units of $(10^{12} \text{ n/cm}^2, E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$

 $\overline{}$

Notes:

(a) Fluences in units of $(10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2, E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$

 $\ell \in \mathcal{M}$ for

 $\omega_{\rm{S}} = \omega_{\rm{S}}$

Surry Units 1 and 2 Heatup and Cooldown Limit Curves

 $8 - 7$

Notes

(a) Fluences in units of $(10^{19} \text{ n/cm}^2, E > 1.0 \text{ MeV})$

8-9

÷,

 $\ddot{}$

 \mathcal{I} .

1979)
1970

Surry Units 1 and 2 Heatup and Cooldown Limit Curves

Notes:
(a) Fluences in units of (10¹⁹ n/cm², E > 1.0 MeV)

ILB -

 $\frac{1}{2}$

The Unit 1 Lower Shell Longitudinal Weld L2, SA-1526/299L44 is the limiting material at the 114T location. The Intermediate to Lower Shell Circumferential Welds, SA-1585172445 and SA-1650/72445, In Surry Unit 1 are the limiting materials at the 314T location.

9. HEATUP AND COOLDOWN PRESSURE-TEMPERATURE LIMIT CURVES - - --------

 \tilde{q}_1 is a consequent of \tilde{q}_2

我们不是。

 $\sigma_{\rm c}$

Calcumbrate the Calcumbrian of the 9.1 Introduction and Methodology: **19.1 Introduction and Methodology:** $\int d^4x \, dx \, dx \, dx \, dx = 0$

 $\sqrt{2}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$, where $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$

Pressure-temperature limit curves for normal heatup and cooldown of the primary reactor coolant system have been calculated for the pressure and temperature in the reactor vessel betilne region using the methods discussed in Section 3 and 8 of this report. Figures 9-1 to 9-8 define all of the above limits for ensuring prevention of nonductile failure for the WOG Reactor Vessel 60-Year Evaluation Minigroup reactor vessel. The pressure temperature limit data points are tabulated In Tables 9-1 to 94.

Figures 9-1 to 9-3 present the end of license heatup curves with heatup rates of 20, 40 and 60°F/hour (a heatup rate of 0°F/hour is defined by the steady state cooldown curve) and with margins of 0°F and 0 psi for possible instrumentation errors. Figure 9-4 presents the end of license cooldown curves with cooldown rates of 0, 20, 40, 60 and 100 \degree F/hour and margins of $0\degree$ F and 0 psi for possible Instrumentation errors. The data points for the end of license heatup and cooldown pressure temperature limits are presented In Tables 9-1 and 9-2.

Figures 9-5 to 9-7 present the end of license renewal heatup curves with heatup rates of 20,40 and 60°F/hour (a heatup rate of 0°F/hour is defined by the steady state cooldown curve) and with margins of 0^oF and 0 psi for possible instrumentation errors. Figure 9-8 presents the end of license renewal cooldown curves with cooldown rates of 0, 20, 40, 60 and 100°F/hour and margins of 0°F and 0 psi for possible instrumentation errors. The data points for the end of license renewal heatup and cooldown pressure temperature limits are presented in Tables 9-3 and 9-4.

The reactor must not be made critical until pressure-temperature combinations are to the right of the criticality limit line shown in Figures 9-1 to 9-3 and Figures 9-5 to 9-7 (for the specific heatup rate and licensing period being utilized). The straight-line portion of the criticality limit is at the minimum permissible temperature for the 2485 psig inservice hydrostatic test as required by Appendix G to 10 CFR Part 50. The governing equations for the hydrostatic test is defined in Appendix G to Section XI of the ASME Code^[3] as follows:

where,

 K_{lm} is the stress intensity factor covered by membrane (pressure) stress K_{is} = 26.78 + 1.233 e ^{10.0145} (T - RTNDT + 160)] $K_k = 33.2 + 20.734 e^{[0.02 (\text{Tr/RTNOT})]}$ T is the minimum permissible metal temperature, and

 RT_{NDT} is the metal reference nil-ductility temperature

The criticality limit curve specifies pressure-temperature limits for core operation to provide additional margin during actual power production as specified in Reference 2. The

and the state of the

$WCAP-15130$ 9-2

pressure-temperature limits for core operation (except for low power physics tests) are that the reactor vessel must be at a temperature equal to or higher than the minimum temperature required for the inservice hydrostatic test, and at least 40°F higher than the minimum permissible temperature in the corresponding pressure-temperature curve for heatup and cooldown calculated as described in Section 3 of this report. The vertical line drawn from these points on the pressure-temperature curve, intersecting a curve 40°F higher than the pressure-temperature limit curve, constitutes the limit for core operation for the reactor vessel.

9.2 Instrumentation Error Margins (ff they are to be applied and how they are determined):

Ш

MATERIAL PROPERTY BASIS

 ~ 10 km $^{-2}$

 ~ 10

LIMITING MATERIAL: LOWER SHELL LONGITUDINAL WELD (1/4T) INTERMEDIATE TO LOWER SHELL CIRC. WELDS (3/4T) V_{4T}, 215.7°F

الأرابية والمتحاربين

34Т, 169.0°F

LIMITING ART VALUES AT EOL:

وأراحي فلأنتجز والمتعاد والمتحاد والمستعادة

Surry Units 1 and 2 Heatup and Cooldown Limit Curves

 $\omega = \omega^2$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d^2 \mathbf{y}_i}{\mathbf{y}_i} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d^2 \mathbf{y}_i}{\mathbf{y}_i} \frac{d^2 \mathbf{y}_i}{\mathbf{y}_i}$ $9 - 3$

 $\Delta\omega = \omega_{\rm{eff}} = 0.12$

 $\sim 120\%$

 -17.873

MATERIAL PROPERTY BASIS

LIMITING MATERIAL: LOWER SHELL LONGITUDINAL WELD (1/4T) INTERMEDIATE TO LOWER SHELL CIRC. WELDS (3/4T) LIMITING ART VALUES AT EOL: $\frac{1}{4}$, 215.7°F **3/4T,** 169.0F

MATERIAL PROPERTY BASIS

$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ LIMITING MATERIAL: LOWER SHELL LONGITUDINAL WELD (1/4T) INTERMEDIATE TO LOWER SHELL CIRC. WELDS (3/4T) -774144 , 215.7°F LIMITING ART VALUES AT EOL: 3⁄4T. 169.0°F

FIGURE 9-3: Surry Units 1 and 2 Reactor Coolant System Heatup Limitations (Heatup Rate of 60°F/hr) Applicable to End of License (With Margins of 0°F and 0 psi for Instrumentation Errors)

MATERIAL PROPERTY BASIS

Figure 9-4: Surry Units 1 and 2 Reactor Coolant System Cooldown Limitations (Cooldown Rates of 0, 20, 40, 60 and 100 °F/hr) Applicable to End of License (With Margins of 0°F and 0 psi for Instrumentation Errors)

MATERIAL PROPERTY BASIS

LIMITING MATERIAL: LOWER SHELL LONGITUDINAL WELD (1/4T) INTERMEDIATE TO LOWER SHELL CIRC. WELDS (3/4T) 14T, 238.2°F LIMITING ART VALUES AT EOL: 34T, 183.9°F

 $9 - 7$.

FIGURE 9-5: Surry Units 1 and 2 Reactor Coolant System Heatup Limitations (Heatup Rates of 20°F/hr) Applicable to End of License Renewal (With Margins of 0°Fand 0 psi for Instrumentation Errors)

MATERIAL PROPERTY BASIS

LIMITING MATERIAL: LOWER SHELL LONGITUDINAL WELD (1/4T) INTERMEDIATE TO LOWER SHELL CIRC. WELDS (3/4T) LIMITING ART VALUES AT EOL: **¹ /4T,** 238.2°F 34T, 183.9°F

FIGURE 9-6: Surry Units 1 and 2 Reactor Coolant System Heatup Limitations (Heatup Rate of 40°F/hr) Applicable to End of License Renewal (With Margins of 0°F and 0 psi for Instrumentation Errors)

 Q_0

WCAP-15130

MATERIAL PROPERTY BASIS

LIMITING MATERIAL: LOWER SHELL LONGITUDINAL WELD (1/4T) INTERMEDIATE TO LOWER SHELL CIRC. WELDS (3/4T) LIMITING ART VALUES AT EOLR: 14T.238.2°F ¥T, 183.9°F

MATERIAL PROPERTY BASIS

LIMITING MATERIAL: LOWER SHELL LONGITUDINAL WELD (1/4T) INTERMEDIATE TO LOWER SHELL CIRC. WELDS (3/4T) LIMITING ART VALUES AT EOLR: 1/4T, 238.2°F 3/4T, 183.9°F

Figure 9-8: Surry Units 1 and 2 Reactor Coolant System Cooldown Limitations (Cooldown Rates of 0, 20, 40, 60 and 100 °F/hr) Applicable to End of License Renewal (With Margins of 0°F and 0 psi for Instrumentation Errors)

 $WCAP-15130$ 9-11

TABLE 9-1: **WOG** Reactor Vessel 60-Year Evaluation Minigroup Heatup Data at End of License with Margins of **0°F** and 0 psi for Instrumentation Errors (Includes Vessel Flange Requirements of 130°F and 621 psig per 10CFR50) $\ddot{}$ $\epsilon = \epsilon_1$

Surry Units I and 2 Heatup and Cooldown Limit Curves

I..

Table 9-1, Continued

Table 9-2: WOG Reactor Vessel 60-year Evaluation Minigroup Cooldown Data at End of License with Margins of 0°F and 0 psi for Instrumentation Errors (Includes Vessel Flange
Requirements of 130°F and 621 psig per 10CFR50)

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

Congress

 ~ 10 km s $^{-1}$

 \mathbb{R}^2

 \mathbb{Z}_2^*

(20 DEG-F / HR COOLDONN) $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}^n}$ in \mathbb{Z}^n

ina.
Here

 \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} . The \mathcal{L}_{max}

Table 9-2, Continued

(40 DEG-F / HR COOLDOWN)

60 *DEG-F* / MR CXOLDOWN)

(100 DEG-F/HR *COOLDOWN*)

WCAP-15130 9-1

 \sim $^{\circ}$

TABLE 9-3: WOG Reactor Vessel 60-Year Evaluation Minigroup Heatup Data at End of License Renewal with Margins of **⁰ °F** and 0 psi for Instrumentation Errors (Includes Vessel Flange Requirements of 130°F and 621 psig per 10CFR50) . In the set of the s

HEATUP RATE(S) (DEG. F/HR.) = 40.0

 $\omega_{\rm{max}}$ and $\omega_{\rm{max}}$

 \sim .

Table 9-3, Continued

I **iii**

 \mathbb{R}^2

Table 9-4: WOG Reactor Vessel 60-year Evaluation Minigroup Cooldown Data at End of License Renewal with Margins of 0°F and 0 psi for Instrumentation Errors (Includes Vessel Flange Requirements of 130°F and 621 psig per 10C

 $9 - 17$

(40 DEG-F / HR COOLDOWN)

Table 9-4, Continued

1 60 DEG-F I KR COOLDOWN)

$(100$ DEG-F/HR COOLDOWN)

10. Enable Temperature Calculation '

10.1 ASME *Code Case* **N-5i4** Mehodology-

ASME Code Case N-514 requires that the LTOP or COMS system be in operation at coolant temperatures less than 200°F or at coolant temperatures less than a temperature corresponding to a reactor vessel metal temperature less than $RT_{\text{WOT}} + 50^{\circ}F$, whichever is greater. RT_{NDT} is the highest adjusted reference temperature (ART) for the limiting beltline material at a distance one fourth of the vessel section thickness from the vessel Inside surface (i.e., clad/base metal interface), as determined by Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2¹¹

10.2 Enable Temperature Calculation:

10.2.1 End of Ucense Enable Temperature

 $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{$ The highest calculated 114T ART for the Suny Units 1 and 2 reactor vessel beltline region at the end of license EFPY is 215.7°F. $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}})$, $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}})$

 \mathcal{L}

From the OPERLIM computer code output for the N. Anna Units I and 2 end of license pressure temperature limit curves without margins the maximum DT_{metal} is:

いっとりょう 小母 ももう ころ

Cooldown Rate (Steady-State Cooldown): max (DT_{metal}) at $1/4T = 0$ °F

Heatup Rate of 60° F/Hr. max (DT_{metal}) at $1/4T = 36.1$ °F

Minimum Enable Temperature (ENBT) $= RT_{NDT} + 50 + max (DT_{metal})$, ${}^{\circ}F$

 $=$ (215.7 + 50 + 36.1) °F $= 301.8$ °F

The minimum required enable temperature for the Surry Units I and 2 Reactor Vessel are conservatively chosen to be 305°F for the end of license pressure temperature limits.

10.22 End of Ucense Renewal Enable Temperature Calculation

The highest calculated 1/4T ART for the Surry Units 1 and 2 reactor vessel beltline region at the end of license renewal EFPY is 238.2F.

From the OPERLIM computer code output for the Suny Units 1 and 2 end of license renewal pressure temperature limit curves without margins, the maximum DT_{metal} is:

Cooldown Rate (Steady-State Cooldown): $max (DT_{mean})$ at $1/4T = 0$ °F

Heatup Rate of 60°F/Hr: max (DT_{median}) at $1/4T = 36.1$ °F

The minimum required enable temperature for the Surry Units 1 and 2 Reactor Vessel are conservatively chosen to be 325°F for end of license renewal EFPY.

WCAP-15130 11-1

11. REFERENCES

- 1 Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2, 'Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel Materials', U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, May, 1988.
- 2 10 CFR Part 50.61, 'Fracture Toughness Requirements for Protection Against Pressurized Thermal Shock Events', Federal Register, Volume 60, No. 243, dated December 19, 1995.
- 3 1989 ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel (B&PV) Code, Section Xl, Appendix G, *Fracture Toughness Criteria for Protection Against Fallure'.
- 4 1989 Section III, Division 1 of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Paragraph NB-2331, "Material for Vessels".
- 5 WCAP-14040-NP-A, Revision 2, *Methodology used to Develop Cold Overpressure Mitigating System Setpoints and RCS Heatup and Cooldown Umit Curves-, J. D. Andrachek, et al., January 1996.
- 6 Virginia Power Calculation Number SM-1008, Reactor Vessel Calculations and Data to Support RV Aging Management Report and NRC RAI on Generic Letter 92-01 Supplement 1, Surry and Surrry Units 1 and 2.
- 7 Meeting Summary for November 12, 1997 Meeting with Owners Group Representatives and NEI Regarding Review of Responses to Generic Letter 92-01, Revision 1, Supplement 1 Responses,' Memorandum to E.J. Sullivan from K.R. Wichman, Materials and Chemical Engineering Branch, dated November 19, 1997"
- 8 Virginia Power Topical Report VEP-NAF-3 "Reactor Vessel Fluence Methodology".
- 9 Letter from Virginia Power to USNRC Serial Number 98-252, June 18. 1998.
- 10 Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) report NP-6114.
- 11 American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) report STP-1046.
Serial No. 04-755 Docket Nos. 50-280, 281 $\mathbb T$

APPENDIX E

Westinghouse Letter VPA-03-193 dated October 9. 2003 "Dominion Generation, Surry Units 1 and 2, Thermal Stress Intensity Factors and Vessel Wall Temperatures for PT Curves from WCAP-15130, Revision 1"

Mr. Robert Margolis Dominion Generation .Innsbrook Technical Center 5000 Dominion Boulevard Glen Allen, VA 23060

Westinghouse Electric Company Nuclear Services P.O. Box 355 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15230.0355 USA

Direct tel: 412-374-6345 Direct fax: 412-374-3257 e-mall: Ricel wr@westinghouse.com

Our ref: VPA-03-193

October 9, 2003

DOMINION GENERATION SURRY UNITS **1** AND 2

Thermal Stress Intensity Factors and Vessel WaD Temperatures for PT Curves from WCAP-15130, Revision I

Dear Mr. Margolis:

Reference: (1) WCAP-15130, Revision 1, "Surry Units 1 and 2 WOG Reactor Vessel 60-Year Evaluation Minigroup Heatup and Cooldown Limit Curves for Normal Operation," April 2001.

Per your request, Westinghouse has extracted the thermal stress intensity factors for the end-of-licenserenewal PT Limit curves from Reference 1 above. In addition, the vessel wall temperatures ('4 & 3/4 thickness only) were also obtained. All this information is present in Tables 1 and 2 of Attachment 1. As a note, for proprietary concerns, the requested information was obtained for just the maximum heatup and cooldown rates and therefore is non-proprietary. Based on past experiences, this should be sufficient to satisfy any NRC questions.

Please contact Mr. Tom Laubham at (412) 374-6788 or me on (412) 374-6345 if you have any questions regarding this information.

Very truly yours,

WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC COMPANY

W. R. Rice Customer Projects Manager

Cc: J. Harrell

Page 2 Our ref: VPA-03-19 October 9, 200

bcc: W. R. Rice S. M. DiTommaso T. Laubham VRA File

Reference:

Page 3 Ourref: VPA-03-193 October 9,2003

ATIACHMENT **1**

TABLE 1 Kit Values for 60°F/hr Heatup Curve (EOLR)

Page 4 Our ref: VPA-03-193 October 9,2003

Vessel Radius **to** the **'/4T** and **3¾4T** Locations are as follows:

- $1/4T$ Radius = 81.130" &
- $3/4T$ Radius = 85.170 "

Page *5* Our ref: VPA-03-19 October 9, 200

l.

Kit Values for 100°F/hr Cooldown Curve (EOLR)		
Water	Vessel Temperature @	100°F/hr Cooldown
Temp.	1/4T Location for	1/4T Thermal Stress
(PF)	100°F/hr Cooldown	Intensity Factor
	(°F)	(KSI SQ. RT. IN.)
From Temp. = 250°F to 310°F the 100°F/hr. the Cooldown Curve i		
limited by the lower rates or SS.		
245	269.20	14.8707
.240	264.13	14.8127
235	259.05	14.7538
230	253.97	14.6953
225	248.90	14.6360
220	243.82	14.5771
215	238.74	14.5176
210	233.67	14.4585
205	228.59	14.3988
200	223.51	14.3396
195	218.43	14.2799
190	213.36	14.2206
185	208.28	14.1609
180	203.20	14.1017
175	198.12	14.0420
170	193.05	13.9829
165	187.97	13.9233
160	182.89	13.8643
155	177.81	13.8049
150	172.74	13.7460
145	167.66	13.6868
140	162.58	13.6281
135	157.50	13.5690
130	152.43	13.5105
125	147.35	13.4517
120	142.27	13.3934
115	137.20	13.3349
110	132.12	13.2768
105	127.04	13.2185
100	121.97	13.1607
95	116.89	13.1026
90	111.82	13.0450
85	106.74	12.9872
80	101.66	12.9299

TABLE 2

Official Record Electronically Approved in EDMS **2000 A BNFL Group cornpany**

 $\ddot{}$

Page Our ref: VPA-03-19 October 9, 200

ù.

 $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$

Official Record Electronically Approved in EDMS 2000 **A BNFL Group company**

Serial No. 04-755 Docket Nos. 50-280, 281 $\bar{1}^+$

ATTACHMENT 2

 \cdot

 \mathcal{L}

 \mathcal{A}

Mark-up of TS Pages

Surry Power Station Units 1 and 2 Virginia Electric and Power Company (Dominion)

 λ

Heatup and cooldown limit curves are calculated using the most limiting value of the 47.6 nil-ductility reference temperature, RT_{NDT} , at the end of 28.8 Effective Full Power Years (EFPY) and $\frac{29.4}{P}$ EFPY for Units 1 and 2, respectively. The most limiting value of RT_{NDT} **Z38.** Z $(228.4^{\circ}F)$ occurs at the 1/4-T, 0° azimuthal location in the Unit 1 intermediate-to-lower shell circumferential weld. The limiting RT_{NDT} at the 1/4-T location in the core region is greater than the RT_{NDT} of the limiting unirradiated material. This ensures that all components in the Reactor Coolant System will be operated conservatively in accordance with applicable Code requirements.

The reactor vessel materials have been tested to determine their initial RT_{NDT} ; the results are presented in UFSAR Section 4.1. Reactor operation and resultant fast neutron (E greater than 1 MEV) irradiation can cause an increase in the RT_{NDT} . Therefore, an adjusted reference temperature, based upon the copper and nickel content of the material and the fluence was calculated in accordance with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2 "Effects of Residual Elements on Predicted Radiation Damage to Reactor Vessel Materials." The heatup and cooldown limit curves of Figures 3.1-1 **4'7~ (O** and 3.1-2 include predicted adjustments for this shift in RT_{NDT} at the end of 28.8 EFPY 481
and 29.4 EFPY for Units 1 and 2, respectively (as well as adjustments for location of the pressure sensing instrument).

Surveillance capsules will be removed in accordance with the requirements of ASTM E185-82 and 10 CFR 50, Appendix H. The surveillance specimen withdrawal schedule is shown in the UFSAR. The heatup and cooldown curves must be recalculated when the ΔRT_{NDT} determined from the surveillance capsule exceeds the calculated ΔRT_{NDT} for the *427C* equivalent capsule radiation exposure, or when the service period exceeds 28.8 EFPY or 48./
29.4 EFPY for Units 1 and 2, respectively, prior to a scheduled refueling outage.

TS 3.1-10 $-12-28-95$

...

Allowable pressure-temperature relationships for various heatup and cooldown rates are calculated using methods derived from Appendix G in Section III of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code as required by Appendix G to 10 CFR Part 50.

The general method for calculating heatup and cooldown limit curves is based upon the principles of the linear elastic-fracture mechanics (LEFM) technology. In the calculation procedures a semi-elliptical surface defect with a depth of one-quarter of the wall thickness, T, and a length of one and one half T is assumed to exist at the inside of the vessel wall as well as at the outside of the vessel wall. The dimensions of this postulated crack, referred to in Appendix G of ASME Section III as the reference flaw, amply exceed the current. capabilities of inservice inspection techniques. Therefore, the reactor operation limit curves developed for this reference crack are conservative and provide sufficient safety margins for protection against non-ductile failure. To assure that the radiation embrittlement effects are accounted for in the calculation of the limit curves, the most limiting value of the nil ductility reference temperature, RT_{NDT} , is used and this includes the radiation-induced shift, Δ RT_{NDT}, corresponding to the end of the period for which heatup and cooldown curves are generated.

The ASME approach for calculating the allowable limit curves for various heatup and cooldown rates specifies that the total stress intensity factor, K,, for the combined thermal and pressure stresses at any time during heatup or cooldown cannot be greater than the refereqce stress intensity factor, K_{HR} for the metal temperature at that time. K_{HR} is obtained from the reference fracture toughness curve, defined in Appendix G-to the ASME Code. The K_HR curve is given by the equation:

 \star K_{IR} = 26.78 + 1.223 exp (0.0145(T-RT_{NDT} + 160)] (1) where K_{HR} is the reference stress intensity factor as a function of the metal temperature T and the metal nil ductility reference temperature RT_{NDT} . Thus, the governing equation for the heatup-cooldown analysis is defined in Appendix G of the ASME Code as follows:

 $CK_{IM} + K_{II} \leq K_{HR \text{+ } IC}$ (2)

where, K_{IM} is the stress intensity factor caused by membrance (pressure) stress.

Amendment Nos. 207 and 202
 $K_{1c} = 33.2 + 20.734 \exp[0.02(T-RT_{NDT})]$

₫

₹

 K_H is the stress intensity factor caused by the thermal gradients

١r Kin is provided by the code as a function of temperature relative to The RT_{NDT} of the material.

 $C = 2.0$ for level A and B service limits, and

 $C = 1.5$ for inservice hydrostatic and leak test operations.

At any time during the heatup or cooldown transient K_{HP} is determined by the metal temperature at the tip of the postulated flaw. The appropriate value for $RTNDT$, and the reference fracture toughness curve. The thermal stresses resulting from temperature gradients through the vessel wall are calculated and then the corresponding thermal stress intensity factor, K_{1t} , for the reference flaw is computed. From Equation (2) the pressure stress intensity factors are obtained and, from these, the allowable pressures are calculated.

The heatup limit curve, Figure 3.1-1, is a composite curve which was prepared by determining the most conservative case, with either the inside or outside wall controlling, for any heatup rate up to 60° F per hour. The cooldown limit curves of Figure 3.1-2 are composite curves which were prepared based upon the same type analysis with the exception that the controlling location is always the inside wall where the cooldown thermal gradients tend to produce tensile stresses while producing compressive stresses at the outside wall. The cooldown limit curves are valid for cooldown rates up to 100°F/hr. The heatup and cooldown curves were prepared based upon the most limiting yaue of the predicted adjusted reference temperature at the end of 28.8 EFPY and $28 - 4$ EFPY for Units 1 and 2, respectively. The adjusted reference temperature was calculated using materials properties data from the B&W Owners Group Master Integrated Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program (MIRVSP) documented in the most recent revision to BAW-1543 and reactor vessel neutron fluence data obtained from plant-specific analyses.

Amendment Nos. 207 and 207

 $-12 - 28 - 95 -$

(3) During the initial 72 hours, maintain a bubble in the pressurizer with a maximum narrow range level of 33%,

or

or

- (4) Maintain two Power Operated Reflet Valves (PORV) OPERABLE with a lift setting of \leq 390 psig and verify each $\{ \}$ PORV block valve is open at least once per 72 hours,
- (5) The RCS shall be vented through one open PORV or an equivalent size opening as specified below:
	- (a) with the RCS vented through an unlocked open vent path, verify the path is open at least once per 12 hours, or
	- (b) with the RCS vented through a locked open vent path verify the path is open at least once per 31 days.
- 2. The requirements of Specification 3.1.G.1.c.(4) may be modified as follows:
	- a One PORV may be inoperable in INTERMEDIATE SHUTDOWN with the RCS average temperature $> 200^{\circ}$ F but $< 350^{\circ}$ F for a period not to exceed 7 days. If the inoperable PORV is not restored to OPERABLE status within 7 days, then completely depressurize the RCS and vent through one open PORV or an equivalent size opening within the next 8 hours.
	- b One PORV may be inoperable in COLD SHUTDOWN or REFUELING SHUTDOWN with the reactor vessel head bolted for a period not to exceed 24 hours. If the inoperable PORV is not restored to OPERABLE status within 24 hours then completely depressurize the RCS and vent through one open PORV or an equivalent size opening within 8 hours.

Amendment Nos. 207-and-207-

Figure $3.1-1$ $+2 - 28 - 95$

Replace w/new Fig 3.1-1

Surry Units 1 and 2 Reador Coolant System Heatup Limitations (Heatup Rates up to 60 F/hr) Applicable for the First 28.8 EFPY for Surry Unit 1 and the First 29.4 EFPY for Surry Unit 2

Amendment Nos. - 207 and 207.

Surry Units 1 and 2 **Reactor Coolant System Heatup Limitations**

.

Figure 3.1-1: Surry Units 1 and 2 Reactor Coolant System Heatup Limitations (Heatup Rates up to 60°F/hr) Applicable for the first 47.6 EFPY for Unit 1 and 48.1 EFPY for Unit 2 (Including Margins for Instrumentation Errors)

Amendment Nos. 207 and 207

Surry Units 1 and 2 **Reactor Coolant System Cooldown Limitations**

Figure 3.1-2: Surry Units 1 and 2 Reactor Coolant System Cooldown Limitations (Cooldown Rates up to 100°F/hr) Applicable for the first 47.6 EFPY for Unit 1 and 48.1 EFPY for Unit 2 (Including Margins for Instrumentation Errors)

ATTACHMENT 3

 $-\rightarrow$

 \bar{z}

Proposed TS Pages

Surry Power Station Units 1 and 2 Virginia Electric and Power Company (Dominion)

 l

Heatup and cooldown limit curves are calculated using the most limiting value of the nil-ductility reference temperature, RT_{NDT} , at the end of 47.6 Effective Full Power Years (EFPY) and 48.1 EFPY for Units 1 and 2, respectively. The most limiting value of RT_{NDT} (238.2'F) occurs at the 1/4-T, **00** azimuthal location in the Unit I intermediate-to-lower shell circumferential weld. The limiting RT_{NDT} at the 1/4-T location in the core region is greater than the RT_{NDT} of the limiting unirradiated material. This ensures that all components in the Reactor Coolant System will be operated conservatively in accordance with applicable Code requirements.

The reactor vessel materials have been tested to determine their initial RT_{NDT} ; the results are presented in UFSAR Section 4.1. Reactor operation and resultant fast neutron (E greater than 1 MEV) irradiation can cause an increase in the RT_{NDT} . Therefore, an adjusted reference temperature, based upon the copper and nickel content of the material and the fluence was calculated in accordance with the recommendations of Regulatory Guide 1.99, Revision 2 "Effects of Residual Elements on Predicted Radiation Damage to Reactor Vessel Materials." The heatup and cooldown limit curves of Figures 3.1-1 and 3.1-2 include predicted adjustments for this shift in RT_{NDT} at the end of 47.6 EFPY and 48.1 EFPY for Units 1 and 2, respectively (as well as adjustments for location of the pressure sensing instrument).

Surveillance capsules will be removed in accordance with the requirements of ASTM E185-82 and 10 CFR 50, Appendix H. The surveillance specimen withdrawal schedule is shown in the UFSAR. The heatup and cooldown curves must be recalculated when the ΔRT_{NDT} determined from the surveillance capsule exceeds the calculated ΔRT_{NDT} for the equivalent capsule radiation exposure, or when the service period exceeds 47.6 EFPY or 48.1 EFPY for Units 1 and 2, respectively, prior to a scheduled refueling outage.

ţ

Allowable pressure-temperature relationships for various heatup and cooldown rates are calculated using methods derived from Appendix G in Section III of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code as required by Appendix G to 10 CFR Part 50.

The general method for calculating heatup and cooldown limit curves is based upon the principles of the linear elastic fracture mechanics (LEFM) technology. In the calculation procedures a semi-elliptical surface defect with a depth of one-quarter of the wall thickness, T, and a length of one and one half T is assumed to exist at the inside of the vessel wall as well as at the outside of the vessel wall. The dimensions of this postulated crack, referred to in Appendix G of ASME Section III as the reference flaw, amply exceed the current capabilities of inservice inspection techniques. Therefore, the reactor operation limit curves developed for this reference crack are conservative and provide sufficient safety margins for protection against non-ductile failure. To assure that the radiation embrittlement effects are accounted for in the calculation of the limit curves, the most limiting value of the nil ductility reference temperature, RT_{NDT} , is used and this includes the radiation-induced shift, ΔRT_{NDT} , corresponding to the end of the period for which heatup and cooldown curves are generated.

The ASME approach for calculating the allowable limit curves for various heatup and cooldown rates specifies that the total stress intensity factor, K_I , for the combined thermal and pressure stresses at any time during heatup or cooldown cannot be greater than the reference stress intensity factor, K_{IC} , for the metal temperature at that time. K_{IC} is obtained from the reference fracture toughness curve, defined in Section XI to the ASME Code. The K_{IC} curve is given by the equation:

$$
K_{\rm IC} = 33.2 + 20.734 \exp\left[0.02(T - RT_{\rm NDT})\right]
$$
 (1)

where K_{IC} is the reference stress intensity factor as a function of the metal temperature T and the metal nil ductility reference temperature RT_{NDT} . Thus, the governing equation for the heatup-cooldown analysis is defined in Appendix G of the ASME Code as follows:

$$
C K_{IM} + K_{It} \leq K_{IC}
$$
 (2)

where, K_{IM} is the stress intensity factor caused by membrance (pressure) stress.

 \mathbf{I}

 $\overline{}$

 $\overline{}$

 K_{It} is the stress intensity factor caused by the thermal gradients

 K_{IC} is provided by the code as a function of temperature relative to the RT_{NDT} of the material.

 $C = 2.0$ for level A and B service limits, and

 $C = 1.5$ for inservice hydrostatic and leak test operations.

At any time during the heatup or cooldown transient, K_{IC} is determined by the metal temperature at the tip of the postulated flaw, the appropriate value for RT_{NDT} , and the reference fracture toughness curve. The thermal stresses resulting from temperature gradients through the vessel wall are calculated and then the corresponding thermal stress intensity factor, K_{It} , for the reference flaw is computed. From Equation (2) the pressure stress intensity factors are obtained and, from these, the allowable pressures are calculated.

The heatup limit curve, Figure 3.1-1, is a composite curve which was prepared by determining the most conservative case, with either the inside or outside wall controlling, for any heatup rate up to 60° F per hour. The cooldown limit curves of Figure 3.1-2 are composite curves which were prepared based upon the same type analysis with the exception that the controlling location is always the inside wall where the cooldown thermal gradients tend to produce tensile stresses while producing compressive stresses at the outside wall. The cooldown limit curves are valid for cooldown rates up to 100°F/hr. The heatup and cooldown curves were prepared based upon the most limiting value of the predicted adjusted reference temperature at the end of 47.6 EFPY and 48.1 EFPY for Units 1 and 2, respectively. The adjusted reference temperature was calculated using materials properties data from the B&W Owners Group Master Integrated Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program (MIRVSP) documented in the most recent revision to BAW-1543 and reactor vessel neutron fluence data obtained from plant-specific analyses.

 l

- (3) During the initial 72 hours, maintain a bubble in the pressurizer with a maximum narrow range level of 33%,
- or
- (4) Maintain two Power Operated Relief Valves (PORV) OPERABLE with a lift setting of < 395 psig and verify each PORV block valve is open at least once per 72 hours,
- or
- (5) The RCS shall be vented through one open PORV or an equivalent size opening as specified below:
	- (a) with the RCS vented through an unlocked open vent path, verify the path is open at least once per 12 hours, or
	- (b) with the RCS vented through a locked open vent path verify the path is open at least once per 31 days.
- 2. The requirements of Specification 3.1.G.1.c.(4) may be modified as follows:
	- a One PORV may be inoperable in INTERMEDIATE SHUTDOWN with the RCS average temperature > 200'F but < 350'F for a period not to exceed 7 days. If the inoperable PORV is not restored to OPERABLE status within 7 days, then completely depressurize the RCS and vent through one open PORV or an equivalent size opening within the next 8 hours.
	- b One PORV may be inoperable in COLD SHUTDOWN or REFUELING SHUTDOWN with the reactor vessel head bolted for a period not to exceed 24 hours. If the inoperable PORV is not restored to OPERABLE status within 24 hours then completely depressurize the RCS and vent through one open PORV or an equivalent size opening within 8 hours.

Surry Units 1 and 2 **Reactor Coolant System Heatup Limitations**

Figure 3.1-1: Surry Units 1 and 2 Reactor Coolant System Heatup Limitations (Heatup Rates up to 60°F/hr) Applicable for the first 47.6 EFPY for Unit 1 and 48.1 EFPY for Unit 2 (Including Margins for Instrumentation Errors)

Surry Units 1 and 2 **Reactor Coolant System Cooldown Limitations**

Figure 3.1-2: Surry Units 1 and 2 Reactor Coolant System Cooldown Limitations (Cooldown Rates up to 100°F/hr) Applicable for the first 47.6 EFPY for Unit 1 and 48.1 EFPY for Unit 2 (Including Margins for Instrumentation Errors)

 $\frac{1}{2}$

ATTACHMENT 4

Regulatory Basis And Request For Exemption

 \sim

 $\sim 10^7$

Surry Power Station Units 1 and 2 Virginia Electric and Power Company (Dominion)

REGULATORY BASIS AND REQUEST FOR **EXEMPTION**

Virginia Electric and Power Company (Dominion) requests modification of the Surry Units 1 and 2 reactor vessel beltline material initial properties basis for the Linde 80 weld heat materials to reflect Topical Report BAW-2308 Revision 1 (Reference 1). The proposed material initial properties basis utilize ASME Code Case N-629, which supports use of a conservative but less restrictive model for the determination of initial material properties. The proposed material initial properties basis could be used in the future by Dominion to make various plant safety improvements (e.g., reduced probability of undesired PORV lifts during reactor coolant pump startups). Please note that the acceptance of this exemption is not required for approval of the proposed Technical Specifications change requested in this submittal as the proposed TS change is supported by the current material properties basis.

In support of the proposed alternate material properties basis for Surry Units 1 and 2, exemptions are hereby being requested to 10 CFR 50.61, and 10 CFR 50 Appendix G, which specifically refer to ASME Code paragraph NB-2331 as the method for determination of initial (i.e., unirradiated) RT_{NDT} . 10 CFR 50.12 states that the Commission may grant an exemption from requirements contained in 10 CFR 50 provided that: 1) the exemption is authorized by law, 2) the exemption will not result in an undue risk to public health and safety, 3) the exemption is consistent with the common defense and security, and 4) special circumstances, as defined in 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2) are present. The requested exemption to allow the use of BAW-2308 Revision 1 as the basis for the Linde 80 weld heat material initial properties at Surry Units 1 and 2 satisfy these requirements as described below.

1. The requested exemption is authorized by law.

No law exists which precludes the activities covered by this exemption request. 10 CFR 50.60(b) allows the use of alternatives to 10 CFR 50, Appendix G when an exemption is granted by the Commission under 10 CFR 50.12. In addition, 10 CFR 50.61 permits other methods for use in determining the initial material properties provided such methods are approved by the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

2. The requested exemption does not present an undue risk to the public health and safety.

The proposed material initial properties basis utilizes Reference 1 and ASME Code Case N-629, which supports use of a conservative but less restrictive model for the determination of initial material properties. In addition, Reference 1 contains additional conservatisms to ensure that use of the proposed initial material properties basis does not increase the probability of occurrence or the consequences of an accident at Surry Units 1 and 2, and will not create the possibility for a new or different type of accident that could pose a risk to public health and safety. In addition, Dominion will employ NRC approved methods for any future application of the margin arising from the proposed initial material properties

 $\begin{array}{c} \rule{0pt}{2ex} \rule{0pt}{$

ł

 ϵ

basis (e.g., revised RCS P/T Limits, LTOPS PORV setpoints, etc). Such applications would be submitted for NRC review and approval.

3. The requested exemption will not endanger the common defense and security.

The use of the proposed initial material properties from Reference 1 will not adversely affect the operation of Surry Power Station or endanger the common defense and security.

4. Special circumstances are present which necessitate the request for an exemption to the regulations of 10 CFR 50.44 and 10 CFR 50.46.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2), the NRC will not consider granting an exemption to the regulations unless special circumstances are present. The requested exemptions meet the special circumstances of paragraph (a)(2)(ii) in that application of these regulations in this particular circumstance is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the regulations.

The underlying purpose of 10 CFR 50.61 and 10 CFR 50 Appendix G is to protect the integrity of the reactor coolant pressure boundary. Application of paragraph NB-2331 of ASME Section III in the determination of initial material properties was conservatively developed based on the level of knowledge existing in 1974 concerning reactor pressure vessel materials and the estimated effects of operation. Since 1974, the level of knowledge about these topics has been greatly expanded. This increased knowledge permits relaxation of the ASME III NB-2331 requirements via application of Reference 1, while maintaining the underlying purpose of the ASME Code and the NRC regulations to ensure an acceptable margin of safety.

Therefore, the intent of 10 CFR 50.61 and 10 CFR 50 Appendix G (i.e., protection of the integrity of the reactor coolant pressure boundary) will continue to be satisfied for the proposed change in reactor vessel material initial properties basis. Issuance of an exemption from the criteria of these regulations for the use of Reference 1 in Surry Units 1 and 2 will not compromise the safe operation of the reactors.

Reference 1: BAW-2308, "Initial RTN-T of Linde 80 Weld Materials," Revision 1, dated August 2003.