Louisiana Energy Services, L.P.
("LES")

Exhibits
NIRS/PC Contention EC-4

Impacts of Waste Storage

January 7, 2005

4.13.3 Waste Disposal Plans

4.13.3.1 Radioactive and Mixed Waste Disposal Plans

Solid radioactive wastes are produced in a number of plant activities and require a variety of methods for treatment and disposal. These wastes, as well as the generation and handling systems, are described in detail in ER Section 3.12, Waste Management.

All radioactive and mixed wastes will be disposed of at offsite, licensed facilities. The impacts on the environment due to these offsite facilities are not addressed in this report. Table 4.13-1, Possible Radioactive Waste Processing/Disposal Facilities, summarizes the facilities that may be used to process or dispose of NEF radioactive or mixed waste.

Radioactive waste will be shipped to any of the three listed radioactive waste processing / disposal sites. Other offsite processing or disposal facilities may be used if appropriately licensed to accept NEF waste types. Depleted UF_6 will most likely be shipped to one of the UF_6 Conversion Facilities subsequent to temporary onsite storage. The remaining mixed waste will either be pretreated in its collection container onsite prior to offsite disposal, or shipped directly to a mixed waste processor for ultimate disposal.

The Barnwell site, located in Barnwell, South Carolina, is a low-level radioactive waste disposal facility licensed in an agreement state in association with 10 CFR 61, (CFR, 2003r). This facility is licensed to accept NEF low-level waste either directly from the NEF site or as processed waste from offsite waste processing vendors. The disposal site is approximately 2,320 km (1,441 mi) from the NEF.

The Clive site, located in South Clive, Utah, is owned and operated privately by Envirocare of Utah. This low-level waste disposal site is also licensed in an agreement state in association with 10 CFR 61 (CFR, 2003r), and 40 CFR 264 (CFR, 2003v). Currently, the license allows acceptance of Class A waste only. In addition to accepting radioactive waste, the Clive facility may accept some mixed wastes. This facility is licensed to accept NEF low-level waste either directly from the NEF site or as processed waste from offsite waste processing vendors. The disposal site is approximately 1,636 km (1,016 mi) from the NEF.

Waste processors such as GTS Duratek, primarily located in Oak Ridge, Tennessee, have the ability to volume reduce most Class A low level wastes. GTS Duratek also has the capability to process contaminated oils and some mixed wastes. The NEF may send wastes that are candidates for volume reduction, recycling, or treatment to the GTS Duratek facilities. Other processing vendors may be used to process NEF waste depending on future availability. The processing facilities are approximately 1,993 km (1,238 mi).

With regard to depleted UF_6 disposal, DOE has recently contracted for the construction and operation of depleted UF_6 conversion facilities in Paducah, Kentucky, and Portsmouth, Ohio. This action was taken following the earlier enactment of Section 3113 of the USEC Privatization Act, which requires the Secretary of Energy to "accept" for disposal depleted UF_6 generated by an NRC-licensed facility such as the NEF, and related subsequent legislation. DOE facilities for conversion and ultimate offsite disposal of LES generated depleted UF_6 is one of the options available for the disposition of depleted UF_6. Such disposal will be accomplished either by sale of converted depleted UF_6 for reuse or by shipment of the depleted UF_6 to a licensed disposal

LES Exhibit 14

facility for burial. As described later in this chapter, other options are available for depleted UF_6 disposal. The environmental impact of a UF_6 conversion facility was previously evaluated generically for the Claiborne Enrichment Center (CEC) and is documented in Section 4.2.2.8 of the NRC Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) (NRC, 1994a). After scaling to account for the increased capacity of the NEF compared to the CEC, this evaluation remains valid for NEF. In addition, the Department of Energy has recently issued FEISs (DOE, 2004a; DOE, 2004b) for the UF_6 conversion facilities to be constructed and operated at Paducah, KY and Portsmouth, OH. These FEISs consider the construction, operation, maintenance, and decontamination and decommissioning of the conversion facilities and are also valid evaluations for the NEF.

4.13.3.1.1 Uranium Byproduct Cylinder (UBC) Storage

The NEF yields a depleted UF_6 stream that will be temporarily stored onsite in containers before transfer to the conversion facility and subsequent reuse or disposal. The storage containers are referred to as Uranium Byproduct Cylinders (UBC). The storage location is designated the UBC Storage Pad. The UBC Storage Pad will have minimal environmental impacts.

The NEF's preferred option for disposition of the UBCs includes temporary onsite storage of cylinders. See ER Section 4.13.3.1.3. There will be no disposal onsite. The NEF will pursue economically viable disposal paths for the UBCs as soon as they become available. In addition, the NEF will look to private deconversion facilities to render the UF_6 into U_3O_8.

LES is committed to the following storage and disposition of UBCs on the NEF site (LES, 2003b):

- Only temporary onsite storage will be utilized.
- No long-term storage beyond the life of the plant.
- Aggressively pursue economically viable disposal paths.
- Setting up a financial surety bonding mechanism to assure adequate funding is in place to dispose of all UBCs.

Since UBCs will be stored for a time on the pad, the potential impact of this preferred option is the remote possibility of stormwater runoff from the UBC Storage Pad becoming contaminated with UF_6 or its derivatives. Cylinders placed on the UBC Storage Pad normally have no surface contamination due to restrictions placed on surface contamination levels by plant operating procedures . Because of the remote possibility of contamination, the runoff water will be directed to an onsite lined retention basin, designed to minimize ground infiltration. The site soil characteristics greatly minimize the migration of materials into the soil over the life of the plant. However, the basin is sampled under the site's environmental monitoring plan. The sources of the potential water runoff contamination (albeit unlikely) would be either residual contamination on the cylinders from routine handling, or accidental releases of UF_6 and its derivatives resulting from a leaking cylinder or cylinder valve (caused by corrosion, transportation or handling accidents, or other factors). Operational evidence suggests that breaches in cylinders and the resulting leaks are "self-sealing." (See ER Section 4.13.3.1.2.)

The chemical and physical properties of UF_6 can pose potential health risks, and the material is handled accordingly. Uranium and its decay products emit low-levels of alpha, beta, gamma and neutron radiation. If UF_6 is released to the atmosphere, it reacts with water vapor in the air