

From: "Lee Cheney" <lee_cheney@leaco.net>
 To: <nrcprep@nrc.gov>
 Date: Thu, Jan 6, 2005 7:07 PM
 Subject: Fw: Question for LES hearings about LES clean up costs

1/7/05
 ROB received
 cy

> Dear Mr. Johnson;
 > Thank you for your reply below to my original question. I would also like
 > to
 > have the LES DEIS explain in detail by whom, and how the LES cleanup costs
 > would be paid if the insurance company (or companies) that are providing
 > the
 > LES cleanup bonds go bankrupt (as many insurance companies have and
 > continue
 > to do) or for any other reason are unable to pay for the LES cleanup
 > costs.
 > Lee Cheney
 >
 > ----- Original Message -----
 > From: "Timothy Johnson" <TCJ@nrc.gov>
 > To: <lee_cheney@leaco.net>
 > Sent: Thursday, January 06, 2005 12:24 PM
 > Subject: Re: Question for LES hearings about LES clean up costs
 >
 >
 > As is stated in 10 CFR 40.36(d) and 70.25(e), decommissioning cost
 > estimates
 > must be adjusted at intervals not to exceed 3 years. The periodic
 > adjustments would account for inflation, changes in the costs of goods and
 > services (e.g., waste disposal), changes in facility conditions or
 > operations, and changes in expected decommissioning procedures.
 >
 > The following is a link to the guidance document we use in reviewing
 > decommissioning funding plans:
 >
 >
 > <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr1757/v3/sr1757v3.pdf>
 >
 > The periodic updates to the decommissioning funding plan will ensure that
 > there is sufficient funds to decommission the facility throughout its
 > lifetime.
 > >>> "Lee Cheney" <lee_cheney@leaco.net> 01/05/05 05:57PM >>>
 > Please give the questions below full evaluation and analysis at the LES
 > hearings:
 >
 > Evidently, according the reply below that the NRC sent to Phillip, there
 > is
 > no risk to the taxpayers for LES cleanup. The only problem is, as I
 > understand it, the LES cleanup bonds are only about 1/10th (and if
 > inflation
 > keeps going maybe only 1/100th) of what the cost will be if the cost of
 > other taxpayer funded clean up costs are used as a guide. Who is going to
 > GUARANTEE that the LES bonds will be SUFFICIENT to cover the cleanup costs
 > 30 years from now and that there will be zero cost to the taxpayers 30
 > years
 > from now????
 > Lee

9/17/04
 69 FR 56104
 (239)

E-R105 = ADM-03

SUP Review Complete

Template = ADM-013

Add A. Bradford (AHBI)

T. Johnson (TCJ)

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: PHILLIP BARR

> To:

> Sent: Wednesday, January 05, 2005 1:33 PM

> Subject: Re: Question for Timothy Johnson of the NRC about the Louisiana

> Energy services plant in lea county

>

>

> This is the answer I received from the NRC. If all this government
> involvement has allowed 77,000 toxic sites in this country, and more added
> to the list each year, whats to keep LES from becoming another site that
> has to be cleaned up at government expense?

> Notice no answer from Mr. Johnson on the projected number of toxic cleanup
> sites that might be on a list about the time that LES goes off line.

> If this LES plant was such a good deal, why was it run out of two
> states?

> Government was involved in an uranium enrichment plant in Paducah
> kentucky, and our own Governor Richardson apologized to the workers there
> for what that plant did to them.

> Try to get anyone with the Nrc to guarantee this industry wont make
> people sick.

> Are you listening Governor? Ron Curry?

>

> Phillip Barr

> Lea County

>

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: Timothy Johnson

> To: pharb2@msn.com

> Sent: Wednesday, January 05, 2005 11:19 AM

> Subject: Re: Question for Timothy Johnson of the NRC about the Louisiana

> Energy services plant

>

>

> I assume the list of sites requiring cleanup you mention is the list the
> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency keeps of potential Superfund sites.

It

> is our objective to ensure that NRC's sites never get on the Superfund
list

> and require taxpayers to fund the decommissioning of licensed sites. We
do

> this through our decommissioning financial assurance requirements (see 10
> CFR 40.36 and 70.25). In the event that the licensee is unable to carry
out

> decommissioning through bankruptcy or other reason, the financial
assurance

> provisions provide the funding for decommissioning and NRC would ensure
that

> the proper site remediation takes place. For uranium enrichment
facilities,

> applicants must provide a decommissioning funding plan consisting of a
> site-specific cost estimate for decommissioning and a financial
instrument,

> such as a surety bond, letter of credit, etc. The regulations provide

> additional information on the types of instruments that may be used and

the
> requirements for these instruments. LES has chosen to use a surety bond
for
> its financial mechanism.

>

> >>> "PHILLIP BARR" <pharb2@msn.com> 01/04/05 09:39AM >>>

> I understand there are 77,000 toxic waste sites in this country that need

> to be cleaned up with more added to the list each year.

> Mr. Johnson, what is the estimated number of cleanup sites that would be
on

> a cleanup list and ahead of LES at the end of the thirty year lifespan of

> the LES plant?

> I request the nrc find this data and submit it to the state congress.

>

>

> Phillip Barr

> lea county.

>

>

>

>

>

>

Mail Envelope Properties (41DDD2B5.6FC : 24 : 55036)

Subject: Fw: Question for LES hearings about LES clean up costs
Creation Date: Thu, Jan 6, 2005 7:11 PM
From: "Lee Cheney" <lee_cheney@leaco.net>

Created By: lee_cheney@leaco.net

Recipients

nrc.gov
twf2_po.TWFN_DO
NRCREP

Post Office
twf2_po.TWFN_DO

Route
nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	4953	Thursday, January 6, 2005 7:11 PM
Mime.822	5987	

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard