

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION		Conversation Date: 11/15/2004	
TELEPHONE CONVERSATION RECORD		Time: 10:00	
Mail Control No.: N/A	License No.:	Docket No.:	
Inspection No.: N/A	General	999-90001	
Licensee/Applicant Participant(s):	Organization:	Telephone No.:	
John Becker	C. W. Thomas, Inc.	(215) 335-0200	
Person(s) Calling: Ron Rolph			
Subject: 30 Day Written Report			
<p>Summary:</p> <p>The licensee sent a fax letter dated September 8,2004 for the 30 day written report of a lost Po-210 static eliminator. This device is licensed under a general license. The 30 day written report did not fully address the requirements in 10CFR20.2201(b). Specifically, the requirements in paragraphs (b) (iv), (b) (v), and (b) (vi) were not addressed.</p> <p>I spoke with John Becker and he said that he would provide this information after contacting the manufacturer and obtaining assistance.</p>			
Action Required/Taken: Document			
Prepared By: Ron Rolph		Date: 11/16/04	

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION		Conversation Date: 11/15/2004	
TELEPHONE CONVERSATION RECORD		Time: 10:00	
Mail Control No.: N/A	License No.:	Docket No.:	
Inspection No.: N/A	General	999-90001	
Licensee/Applicant Participant(s):	Organization:	Telephone No.:	
John Becker	C. W. Thomas, Inc.	(215) 335-0200	
Person(s) Calling: Ron Rolph			
Subject: 30 Day Written Report			
<p>Summary:</p> <p>The licensee sent a fax letter dated September 8,2004 for the 30 day written report of a lost Po-210 static eliminator. This device is licensed under a general license. The 30 day written report did not fully address the requirements in 10CFR20.2201(b). Specifically, the requirements in paragraphs (b) (iv), (b) (v), and (b) (vi) were not addressed.</p> <p>I spoke with John Becker and he said that he would provide this information after contacting the manufacturer and obtaining assistance.</p>			
Action Required/Taken: Document			
Prepared By: Ron Rolph		Date: 11/16/04	