

December 20, 2004

LICENSEE: Nuclear Management Company, LLC

FACILITY: Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF TELEPHONE CONFERENCE HELD ON DECEMBER 17, 2004, BETWEEN THE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION AND NUCLEAR MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC, CONCERNING DRAFT REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION PERTAINING TO THE POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2, LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff (the staff) and representatives of Nuclear Management Company, LLC (NMC) held a telephone conference on December 17, 2004, to discuss and clarify the staff's draft requests for additional information (D-RAIs) concerning the Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, license renewal application. The conference call was useful in clarifying the intent of the staff's D-RAIs.

Enclosure 1 provides a listing of the meeting participants. Enclosure 2 contains a listing of the D-RAIs discussed with the applicant, including a brief description on the status of the items.

The applicant had an opportunity to comment on this summary.

/RA/

Michael J. Morgan, Project Manager
License Renewal Section A
License Renewal and Environmental Impacts Program
Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-266 and 50-301

Enclosures: As stated

cc w/encls: See next page

December 20, 2004

LICENSEE: Nuclear Management Company, LLC
FACILITY: Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2
SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF TELEPHONE CONFERENCE HELD ON DECEMBER 17, 2004, BETWEEN THE U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION AND NUCLEAR MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC, CONCERNING DRAFT REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION PERTAINING TO THE POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2, LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff (the staff) and representatives of Nuclear Management Company, LLC (NMC) held a telephone conference on December 17, 2004, to discuss and clarify the staff's draft requests for additional information (D-RAIs) concerning the Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, license renewal application. The conference call was useful in clarifying the intent of the staff's D-RAIs.

Enclosure 1 provides a listing of the meeting participants. Enclosure 2 contains a listing of the D-RAIs discussed with the applicant, including a brief description on the status of the items.

The applicant had an opportunity to comment on this summary.

/RA/

Michael J. Morgan, Project Manager
License Renewal Section A
License Renewal and Environmental Impacts Program
Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-266 and 50-301

Enclosures: As stated

cc w/encls: See next page

DISTRIBUTION: See next page

ADAMS Accession No.: **ML050060357**

DOCUMENT NAME: E:\Filenet\ML050060357.wpd

OFFICE	PM:RLEP	SC:RLEP
NAME	MMorgan	SLee
DATE	12/22/04	12/22/04

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

cc:

Jonathan Rogoff, Esq.
Vice President, Counsel & Secretary
Nuclear Management Company, LLC
700 First Street
Hudson, WI 54016

Mr. Frederick D. Kuester
President and Chief Executive Officer
We Generation
231 West Michigan Street
Milwaukee, WI 53201

James Connolly
Manager, Regulatory Affairs
Point Beach Nuclear Plant
Nuclear Management Company, LLC
6610 Nuclear Road
Two Rivers, WI 54241

Mr. Ken Duveneck
Town Chairman
Town of Two Creeks
13017 State Highway 42
Mishicot, WI 54228

Chairman
Public Service Commission
of Wisconsin
P.O. Box 7854
Madison, WI 53707-7854

Regional Administrator, Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
801 Warrenville Road
Lisle, IL 60532-4351

Resident Inspector's Office
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
6612 Nuclear Road
Two Rivers, WI 54241

Mr. Jeffrey Kitsembel
Electric Division
Public Service Commission of Wisconsin
P.O. Box 7854
Madison, WI 53707-7854

David Weaver
Nuclear Asset Manager
Wisconsin Electric Power Company
231 West Michigan Street
Milwaukee, WI 53201

John Paul Cowan
Executive Vice President & Chief Nuclear
Officer
Nuclear Management Company, LLC
700 First Street
Hudson, WI 54016

Douglas E. Cooper
Senior Vice President - Group Operations
Palisades Nuclear Plant
Nuclear Management Company, LLC
27780 Blue Star Memorial Highway
Covert, MI 49043

Fred Emerson
Nuclear Energy Institute
1776 I Street, NW., Suite 400
Washington, DC 20006-3708

Roger A. Newton
3623 Nagawicka Shores Drive
Hartland, WI 53029

James E. Knorr
License Renewal Project
Nuclear Management Company, LLC
6610 Nuclear Road
Point Beach Nuclear Plant
Two Rivers, WI 54241

DISTRIBUTION: Note to Licensee: Nuclear Management Co., LLC, Re: Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, Dated: December 20, 2004

Adams accession no.: **ML050060357**

HARD COPY

RLEP RF
Project Manager

E-MAIL:

RidsNrrDrip
RidsNrrDe
G. Bagchi
K. Manoly
W. Bateman
J. Calvo
R. Jenkins
P. Shemanski
J. Fair
RidsNrrDssa
RidsNrrDipm
D. Thatcher
R. Pettis
G. Galletti
C. Li
M. Itzkowitz (RidsOgcMailCenter)
R. Weisman
M. Mayfield
A. Murphy
S. Smith (srs3)
S. Duraiswamy
Y. L. (Renee) Li
RLEP Staff

P. Lougheed, RIII
J. Strasma, RIII
A. Stone, RIII
H. Chernoff
W. Ruland
C. Marco
L. Raghavan
T. Mensah
OPA

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS FOR TELEPHONE CONFERENCE
TO DISCUSS THE POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2
LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION

DECEMBER 17, 2004

Participants

Affiliations

B. Fromm	Nuclear Management Company, LLC (NMC)
J. Knorr	NMC
J. Thorgersen	NMC
T. Mielke	NMC
J. Rajan	Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)
M. Morgan	NRC
V. Rodriguez	NRC

DRAFT REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (D-RAI)
POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2
LICENSE RENEWAL APPLICATION

December 17, 2004

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff (the staff) and representatives of Nuclear Management Company, LLC (NMC) held a telephone conference call on December 17, 2004, to discuss and clarify the staff's draft requests for additional information (D-RAIs) concerning the Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, license renewal application (LRA). The following D-RAIs were discussed during the telephone conference call.

3.3 Aging Management of Auxiliary Systems

D-RAI 3.3-1

In LRA Tables 3.3.2-3, 3.3.2-7, and 3.3.2-9, heat exchangers and heater/coolers with heat transfer as the intended function are identified with only internal environments and associated aging effects. The external environment is listed as N/A. The applicant is requested to explain why the external environment and associated aging effects are not identified for these components. The applicant is also requested to address the similar question for the heat exchangers and heater/coolers in Tables 3.3.2-2, 3.3.2-3, 3.3.2-4, 3.3.2-5, 3.3.2-7, and 3.3.2-9 which have a pressure boundary as their intended function.

Discussion: The applicant indicated that the question is clear. This D-RAI will be sent as a formal RAI.

D-RAI 3.3-2

LRA Table 3.3.2-7 identifies no aging effects for neoprene expansion joints with an internal environment of air and wetted gas less than 140EF. Also, LRA Table 3.3.2-13 identifies the aging effects change in material properties and cracking for neoprene expansion joints with an internal environment of indoor with no air condition but does not identify an aging management program for these aging effects. For similar neoprene components in a warm, moist environments, the GALL report identifies the aging effects hardening and loss of strength and recommends a plant specific AMP to manage these aging effects. The applicant is requested to provide justification why no aging effects were identified for the internals of the expansion joints in Table 3.3.2-7 and why no aging management program is identified to manage the aging effects for the expansion joints in Table 3.3.2-13.

Discussion: The applicant indicated that the question is clear. This D-RAI will be sent as a formal RAI.

D-RAI 3.3-3

LRA Table 3.3.2-14 identifies the loss of material as an aging effect for carbon steel piping and fittings, and valve bodies in a raw water drainage environment. The applicant identifies the One-Time Inspection Program, LRA Section B2.1.13, to manage this aging effect. NUREG-

1801, XI.M32 recommends one-time inspections as an appropriate aging management program where either an aging effect is not expected to occur but there is insufficient data to completely rule it out, or an aging effect is expected to progress very slowly. In cases where an aging effect is likely to occur, NUREG-1801 recommends periodic inspections. The staff does not consider a one-time inspection appropriate to manage the loss of material for carbon steel components in a raw water environment. The applicant is requested to justify use of a one-time inspection program to manage the loss of material for carbon steel components in a raw water environment.

Discussion: The applicant indicated that the question is clear. This D-RAI will be sent as a formal RAI.

D-RAI 3.3-4

LRA Table 3.3.2-7 identifies no aging effects for carbon and low alloy steel tanks in a concrete environment. Staff notes that concrete has a high pH which is a natural inhibitor for steel; however, concrete contaminated with chlorides or concrete in contact with acidic water is subject to loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion. The applicant is requested to describe the basis for concluding that no aging effects occur in this environment. Also state the specific tanks, the location of the tanks including the external environment, describe how the concrete interfaces with the tanks, and discuss if chlorides or acidic water can be present in this environment.

Discussion: The applicant indicated that the question is clear. This D-RAI will be sent as a formal RAI.

D-RAI 3.3-5

LRA Tables 3.3.2-7 and 3.3.2-9 identify the Periodic Surveillance and Preventive Maintenance (PSPM) program to manage the loss of heat transfer due to fouling for heat exchangers in oil, fuel oil, outdoor, and wetted air and gas environments. The monitoring and trending element in the applicant's PSPM states that inspections, examination, testing, and component replacement activities are performed on a specified frequency based on operating experience or other requirements. The applicant stated that the results of these surveillance and preventive maintenance activities are documented, and subject to review and approval. NUREG-1800, Section A.1.2.3.5 recommends that monitoring and trending activities be described, and they should provide predictability of the extent of degradation and thus effect timely corrective actions. Plant specific and/or industry operating experience may be considered in evaluating the appropriateness of the technique and frequency. The applicant is requested to describe the inspection technique and frequency. The inspection frequency should be justified by plant operating experience and should be adequate to detect the aging effects such that the intended function will be maintained during the period of extended operation.

Discussion: The applicant indicated that the question is clear. This D-RAI will be sent as a formal RAI.

D-RAI 3.3-6

Loss of preload is an aging effect for closure bolting in high temperature or high pressure systems. NUREG-1801, XI.M18, "Bolting Integrity" program provides aging management inspections for this aging effect. LRA section 3.3 for the auxiliary systems does not identify loss of preload as an aging effect for closure bolting. The applicant is requested to discuss why the loss of preload was not identified as an aging effects for auxiliary systems closure bolting and the inspections in NUREG-1801, XI.M18 were not credited for managing this aging effect. This RAI is also applicable for closure bolting in the ESF and SPCS.

Discussion: The applicant indicated that the question is clear. This D-RAI will be sent as a formal RAI

4.3.13 Crane Load Cycle Limit

D-RAI 4.3.13-1

LRA Section 4.3.13, "Crane Load Cycle Limit," states that the load limit of the containment polar, auxiliary building, and turbine hall cranes are designed in accordance with CMAA-70 Class "A" service for 20,000 to 200,000 load cycles, and based on conservative usage assumptions, the cranes are expected to make 50,000 partial load lifts and less than 5,000 at or near rated load lift for the period of extended operation. The applicant is requested to provide the basis for concluding that 50,000 partial load lifts and less than 5,000 at or near rated load lift are in accordance with design of 20,000 to 200,000 load cycles.

Discussion: The applicant indicated that the question is clear. This D-RAI will be sent as a formal RAI.