

From: John Hannon
To: Eva Brown; Richard Dudley) MR
Date: 4/10/04 1:07PM
Subject: Re: Narrative of the Manual Actions Story for attachment to the Markey response

Thank you Dick for providing a well-researched historical perspective for use in the response letter. It does a very good job explaining NRC intentions. However, I would point out that the industry has taken exception to our emphasis on Thermolag as the precipitator, and differs with our position on implementation guidance for compliance with III.G. in general. At the risk of increasing the length, perhaps we could just add a sentence to acknowledge that the disagreement exists.

John N. Hannon
Branch Chief
Plant Systems Branch
NRR

>>> Richard Dudley 04/09/04 04:18PM >>>

Attached is the complete story on how we got where we are on Manual Actions. It thoroughly explains the basis for our actions on this topic and has been reviewed by the fire protection staff. As Enclosure 1 to the Markey response, it will provide a far better explanation of our position than is possible just by answering the questions. You have indicated your view that it should be shortened to a page and a half. While I agree that it could be shorted somewhat (especially the Browns Ferry fire part), I believe that too much of the complicated story will be lost by condensing it to an arbitrary length of 1.5 pages. I provide it for your use.

Dick Dudley
301-415-1116

CC: Alex Klein; Catherine Haney; David Diec; Edwin Hackett; Eileen McKenna; Joe Birmingham; Phil Qualls; Ray Gallucci; Sunil Weerakkody; Suzanne Black

N-700