political designation of the second

From:

Ray Gallucci

To:

Sunil Weerakkody /

Date:

Mon, Feb 9, 2004 1:03 PM

Subject:

Re: Involving Regions in OpManAx; and NEI

Before we have a meeting, we need to agree on the wording of the criteria and the direction of the rulemaking - I'll know more about the first item after the Wednesday meeting. So far as direction goes, I'm continuing under the current plan to limit the rulemaking to III.G.2, without any relaxation on detection or suppression.

>>> Sunil Weerakkody 02/09/04 11:30AM >>>

Men

Ray:

If NEI wants a meeting, plan a meeting (Dave should take the lead on this. Right Dave??). However, it should be an open public meeting to all stake holders.

Sunil

>>> Ray Gallucci 02/09/04 10:08AM >>>

MM

Dave Diec called me today and asked if we were still planning to involve the Regions in the rulemaking. I wasn't sure what he was referring to, but he said this related to an option you were considering a while back. If there's something I'm missing here, let me know. So far, the Regions have not commented on the criteria (at least not recently), but just the Key Points and Q&A website.

NEI also called Dave today and asked if we were planning to meet with them regarding their comments. My reaction is there should be no meetings with any one particular stakeholder, just a meeting after we agree internally on the interim enforcement and the criteria that is open to all stakeholders. The NEI comments should not be given the appearance of greater weight than others.

CC:

David Diec

4 18 18