COMMISSION BRIEFING SLIDES/EXHIBITS

BRIEFING ON STATUS OF DAVIS-BESSE LESSONS LEARNED

STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF DAVIS-BESSE LESSONS LEARNED TASK FORCE RECOMMENDATIONS

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research (RES)

Agenda

- Overview
- Stress Corrosion Cracking
- Operating Experience
- Inspection Program Management
- Barrier Integrity
- Regional Activities
- Summary

- J. Dyer
- W. Bateman
- T. Reis
- S. Richards
- A. Lee
- E. Merschoff
- J. Dyer

OverviewInitial Plan Project Controls

- Action Plans developed for 21 High Priority items
- Remaining items integrated into individual Office operational activities via Planning, Budgeting and Performance Management (PBPM) process

Overview Enhanced Project Controls

- Office Executive Level approval of schedule changes and completion
- Timely Completion
- Effectiveness Reviews


Overview Status of Implementation

Priority	Total No.	Completed As Of	
		Feb 04	Dec 04
High	21	7	15
Medium	16	3	15
Low	12	6	10

OverviewStatus of Implementation

Remaining Items:

- 7 to be completed by May 05
- 1 may require regulatory actions to implement working group recommendations
- 1 depends on ASME actions



- Closed Actions
 - Assessment of foreign and domestic Alloy 600 Stress
 Corrosion Cracking and Boric Acid Corrosion
 - Temporary Instructions
 - Revised NRC In-service Inspection Activities Procedure

- Remaining Actions
 - -SRM Direction on SECY 04-0115, Rulemaking Plan For Upper Head Inspections
 - Boric Acid Corrosion Inspection
 Program Review
 - Update Project Manager's Handbook

- Increased Sensitivity to Materials Degradation
 - Increased Generic
 Correspondence on Issues
 - Enhanced Inspection Guidance
- Enhanced Communications
 - Stakeholders
 - Intra-agency

- Challenges
 - American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code Activities
 - Effectiveness Reviews
- Continue to Review Future Inspection Results For Improvements

- Feb 26 Briefing Status
 – NRR and RES had endorsed recommendations of interoffice task force
 - Committed to develop plan by 4/04
- Framework to be established by 12/04
 - Program will be dynamic and continuous improvements will occur


- Continuing Improvements
 - Improved screening structure
 - -Improved, prompt feedback
 - Improvements in web access
 - Foreign operating experience

- Plan issued April 29, 2004
 - Modular Approach to Developing Framework
 - Clearinghouse Module
 - Procedures Module
 - Information Technology Module
 - Effectiveness Module

 Operating Experience Program is designed to meet accepted Objectives and Attributes of NRC Operating Experience Program

- Overarching principle
 - Program will support core agency programs and provide for informed decision making and facilitate continuous improvement of core programs

Program at a Glance



- Implementation Challenges
 - Resource intensive
 - Effectiveness of Interoffice Coordination.

- Generic Communication
 Effectiveness
 - -Sampling Effort
 - Program Changes
- Continual Efforts in this area

- LLTF recommendations:
 - Longstanding issues
 - Inspector training
 - -Plant assessment process
 - Generic communications and licensee commitments
 - Project Manager responsibilities

- Recent program revisions:
 - Containment walkdowns
 - Walkdowns in other restricted areas
 - Deferred modifications
 - Multiple, repetitive, unplanned
 Technical Specification actions

- Other LLTF recommendations:
 - Interim Plant Performance Review process
 - -annual ROP refresher training
 - review of previous lessons learned reports
 - Project Manager expectations

- LLTF action items under review:
 - metric for resident inspection staffing
 - review of previously deleted inspections

- Challenges:
 - Increased inspection of licensee employee concerns programs and safety conscious work environment
 - SRM issued August 30, 2004
 - cross-cutting issues
 - inspector training

Barrier Integrity

- Improve requirements
 - reactor coolant system leakage
 - leakage monitoring systems
- Improve NRC inspection procedures
- Evaluate adequacy of risk assessment of passive components

Barrier Integrity

- 2 high priority recommendations completed on schedule.
 - Plant technical specifications
 - Alarm response procedures
- 4 remaining high priority recommendations and 1 medium priority recommendation are on schedule.

Barrier Integrity Improving coolant leakage requirements

- Enhanced online detection systems
- Improved leakage requirements
 - Unidentified vs RCPB leakage
 - No power operation with RCPB leakage

Barrier Integrity Improving coolant leakage inspection procedures

- Action levels to trigger increasing levels of interaction with NRC in response to increasing levels of unidentified RCS leakage. (High)
 - Licensees required to trend leak rates
 - Inspectors review licensee procedures for action steps

Barrier Integrity Improving Coolant Leakage Inspection Procedures

- Review and improve the usefulness of barrier integrity performance indicators. (High)
 - Industry-NRC working group has been formed and is discussing possible alternatives.

Barrier Integrity Risk Assessment of Passive Component Degradation

- NRR/RES working group formed in July 2004
 - Adequacy of RA model for passive components
 - Integration into regulatory decision making process

Barrier Integrity Challenges

- RCS leakage rate limit
- Barrier Integrity PIs
- Risk assessment of passive components
- Effectiveness review and implementation

Barrier Integrity Summary

All 6 high priority recommendations are on schedule

- 2 have been completed.
- 1 medium priority recommendation is on schedule.

Regional Activities

- Davis-Besse Lessons Learned reviewed from regional operations perspective
- Review Discussed
 - Regional Administrators
 - Director NRR
 - Deputy EDO for Reactor Programs

Regional Activities

- Resulted in a Regional Best Practices Benchmarking Process
 - Morning Meetings (complete)
 - Incident Response (complete)
 - Management Roles and Responsibilities (March 2005)
 - Inspector Field Observations (June 2005)
 - Operating Experience (Oct 2005)

Summary

- Significant progress on implementing recommendations
- Activities have resulted in significant positive outcomes for the agency and nuclear industry