

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: Radiology Associates, P.C. at: Diagnostic Imaging Group 27450 Schoenherr Road, Suite 400A Warren, Michigan 48088 REPORT 2004-001, -002	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE REGION III US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 2443 WARRENVILLE ROAD, SUITE 210 LISLE, ILLINOIS 60532	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-11590	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S) 21-16754-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 11/15/04

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.

2. Previous violation(s) closed.

3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Geoffrey M. Warren		11/22/04

Docket File Information
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT
AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE Radiology Associates, P.C. (Warren, MI) REPORT NUMBER(S) 2004-001, -002		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-11590	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 21-16754-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION 11/15/04	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87131	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01 - 03.07		

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 02120	2. PRIORITY 3	3. LICENSEE CONTACT John D. Mullen, M.D., RSO	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 248-646-9806
-----------------------------	------------------	--	-------------------------------------

<input type="checkbox"/> Main Office Inspection	Next Inspection Date: <u>Nov. 2007</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Field Office <u>Diagnostic Imaging Group, Warren, MI</u>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary Job Site _____	

PROGRAM SCOPE

Licensee had provided nuclear medicine services to a clinic located in Warren, Michigan. Licensee had authorization to use byproduct materials under 10 CFR 35.100, 35.200, and 35.300 at this location. No activities under the NRC license had been performed at this location since September 30, 2004. The clinic had been sold by Diagnostic Imaging Group to Dr. Mohammad Kahn effective October 1, 2004. Licensee was in negotiations regarding whether they would provide nuclear medicine services to the new owner. All licensed sources and records had been removed to safe storage at another facility pending this decision.

Performance Observations

All operations were on hold pending the decision as to whether licensed activities would continue at this location, and no personnel were available who had worked at this facility. The hot lab was secured though no licensed material was present. The facility manager was aware of the previous use of the area, and would contact the licensee with any concerns. Postings were appropriate for the previous use of the area. Surveys indicated no contamination or elevated radiation levels around the facility. Licensee understood that if it was decided that they would not provide nuclear medicine services at this location, they would submit a closeout survey to the NRC.

JW