NRC FORM 591M PART 1
(10-2003)
10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Sanilac County Road Commission Sandusky, Michigan REPORT 2004-001	**U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission** **Region III** **2443 Warrenville Road** **Lisle, Illinois 60532-4352** COPY

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-30595	21-25919-02	11/18/04

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

[x] 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.

[ ] 2. Previous violation(s) closed.

[ ] 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

[ ] 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE	DALE STOLICKER	Dale Stolicker	11/18/04
NRC INSPECTOR	Michael M LaFranzo	Michael M LaFranzo	11/18/04

NRC FORM 591M PART 3
(10-2003)
10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Docket File Information

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Sanilac County Road Commission REPORT NUMBER(S) **2004-001**	**Region III** **2443 Warrenville Road** **Lisle, IL 60532**

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-30595	21-25919-02	11/18/2004

6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS
87124	**03.01-03.07**

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTACT	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER
03121	K5	Dale Stolicker - RSO	810-648-2185

[X] Main Office Inspection — Next Inspection Date: 11/2009

[ ] Field

[ ] Temporary Job Site

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee is a part of the Sanilac County Road Commission. The licensee currently possesses one Troxler moisture density gauge for use during construction seasons (typically May through November). The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; the manufacturer performs these services. The licensee stores its gauges at the main office in Sandusky, Michigan.

Performance Observations

This was an initial inspection under the license. The inspector interviewed the licensee's management and authorized users and found that personnel were knowledgeable regarding their responsibilities under the license. The licensee possessed the required shipping documentation that contained all appropriate information and was accessible during transport. The licensee locks and chains the gauge in the bed of the pickup during transport. The inspector performed an independent radiation measurement around the gauge and found no abnormal radiation levels. The inspection reviewed the licensee's dosimetry records and found no abnormal exposures were noted since the 1999,

The licensee did possess a license (21-26919-01) using the same material and at the same location. Unfortunately, the licensee did not renew the license in a timely manner and the license was required to be reissued under 21-25919-02. The inspector noted that four violations were identified under the 21-26919-01 license in 1999. The inspector noted that the licensee had corrected the violations under the 21-26919-01 license and staff members were aware of the requirements under the current license.