

**From:** John Stang  
**To:** David Jaffe  
**Date:** 11/26/04 6:32AM  
**Subject:** Fwd: Palisades phone call

With this E-Mail I believe we can close out the TAC

**CC:** lxr1

**Mail Envelope Properties (41A7145D.8D5 : 14 : 23173)**

**Subject:** Fwd: Palisades phone call  
**Creation Date:** 11/26/04 6:32AM  
**From:** John Stang

**Created By:** jfs2@nrc.gov

**Recipients**

nrc.gov  
owf4\_po.OWFN\_DO  
DHJ (David Jaffe)  
LXR1 CC (Lakshminaras Raghavan)

**Post Office**  
owf4\_po.OWFN\_DO

**Route**  
nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE Mail	52	11/26/04 06:32AM

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Return Notification:**  
Send Receipt/Notify when Opened  
Send Mail Receipt when Deleted  
Send Mail Receipt when Undeliverable

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard

**From:** Stephanie Coffin  
**To:** Hoffman, Stephen  
**Date:** 11/24/04 3:05PM  
**Subject:** Palisades phone call

We had a phonecall with them Monday.

They no longer plan on submitting an exemption to apply "Master Curve" at their facility. Instead, they will be managing it in accordance with the May 27, 2004 guidance from Reyes to the Commissioners. They are following Point Beach and Beaver Valley closely.

I gave them feedback especially about the flux reduction requirements of the current rule and suggested they review the Point Beach submittal and our associated SER with Open Items, and to check for applicability to their plant.

FYI for Matt and Barry and Neil:

If they see that the new PTS rule will not be published in time for them (they currently exceed the screening criteria in 2014 - I don't know if we agree with that), they will submit the Master Curve exemption in 2007.

Stephanie

**CC:** Duvigneaud, Dylanne; Elliot, Barry; Mitchell, Matthew; Ray, Nihar; Stang, John