

From: Mahendra Shah
To: Antonio Dias; Bernard White; Charles Interrante; Christopher Bajwa; Daniel Huang; Elaine Keegan; Robert Shewmaker; Ron Parkhill; Stephanie Bush-Goddard
Date: 11/7/02 11:14AM
Subject: Re: Next draft from SNL on our large plane evaluation

Bernie:

I agree with you that we do not want to get another draft of the report. However, Section 5.0 of the report should identify further analyses or re-analyses SNL plans to perform, such as running CTH for the full impact duration, the PRONTO analyses for cask-to-cask interactions with MPC and basket modeled, and the NAC/UMS cask evaluation for landing gear and engine impacts.

Items 1 and 2 listed by you can also be included in Section 5.0 for future changes in the report, if Sandia cannot provide now. Thanks.

Mahendra

>>> Bernard White 11/07/02 11:00AM >>>

It is my thought that instead of getting in another whole writeup from them, get them to send us two things.

1. Structural Evaluation Methodology for the large plane impacting the NAC UMS transport package.

2. Comparison of the impact of the () and other planes that are larger, such as the () Ex2

Comments? thoughts??

Bernie

CC: Earl Easton; Jack Guttman

Portions Ex2

E/61