

From: Mahendra Shah
To: Antonio Dias; Christopher Bajwa; Daniel Huang; Ron Parkhill
Date: 1/21/03 12:13PM
Subject: Re: Fwd: RAM Package Vulnerability Study weekly email report

Ron:

I have the following comments:

1. Second Paragraph: Based on the statements in the second paragraph regarding the SAR reviews for TN-68, VSC-24, and NUHOMS casks, it appears that Sandia is planning to analyze all casks using the CTH computer code. I believe Sandia should review the physical configurations of these casks to determine if we can predict the global results, such as the maximum sliding velocity, considering the mass and the impact area differences from the HI-STORM analyses, and not analyze using the CTH code.

2. Fourth paragraph: The basis for the landing gear impacting at [redacted] is not clear. Based on my discussions with Jeff this morning, it should be also for cask-to-cask side impact with the impacting cask oriented at [redacted] based on the CTH run. Ex 2

Thanks.

Mahendra

>>> Ron Parkhill 01/21/03 09:32AM >>>
ANY comments/ problems?

CC: Bernard White; Earl Easton; Jack Guttman; Jeffrey Smith; Jeremy Sprung; Robert Shewmaker

Portions Ex 2

E/88