NOV 1 5 2004



LR-N04-0498

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

LER 272/04-006-00 SALEM - UNIT 1 FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-70 DOCKET NO. 50-272

This Licensee Event Report, "Operation in a Condition Prohibited by Technical Specification – Containment Isolation Valves," is being submitted pursuant to the requirements of the Code of Federal Regulations 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

The attached LER contains no commitments.

12/1

Cany-ricker Salem Plant Manager

Attachment

/EHV

C Distribution LER File 3.7

IEZZ

	66 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION						APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 06/3  Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory complete the complete t								
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)  (See reverse for required number of						re- lic	equest: 50 censing pr	0 hours. Frocess and the Record	Reported lesson fed back to indude de and EQIA/E	comply with the search are stry. Send comprisely Service shington, DC 20 to the Desk Offic (3150-0104), Officians used to valid OMB control.	incorporat ments rega Branch (Tal	ted into the rding burden			
						no	ot conduction	oes not disposed collection	or, and a pers	on is not requ	red to resp	oond to, the			
	Statio	n Unit 1				2.	2. DOCKET NUMBER 3. PAGE 1 OF 5								
										n Valves					
5. EVENT DATE 6. LER NUMBER 7. REPORT DATE						ATE	8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED								
YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	монтн	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY	NAME			DOCKET	IUMBER			
2004	2004	- 006 -	00	11	15	2004	FACILITY	NAME			DOCKET	IUMBER			
MODE	11.	THIS REPOI	RT IS	SUBMITTE	D PURSI	JANT TO	THE RE	QUIREM	NTS OF 10	CFR§: (Chec	k all that a	ipply)			
	☐ 20.22 ☐ 20.22 ☐ 20.22 ☐ 20.22 ☐ 20.22 ☐ 20.22	□ 20.2201(b) □ 20.2203(a)(3)(i) □ 20.2201(d) □ 20.2203(a)(3)(ii) □ 20.2203(a)(1) □ 20.2203(a)(4) □ 20.2203(a)(2)(i) □ 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A) □ 20.2203(a)(2)(ii) □ 50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A) □ 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) □ 50.36(c)(2) □ 20.2203(a)(2)(iv) □ 50.46(a)(3)(ii) □ 20.2203(a)(2)(v) □ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) □ 20.2203(a)(2)(vi) □ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)				3)(ii) 4) i)(A) ii)(A) (ii) (i)(A)	□ 50.73(a)(2)(i)(C)       □ 50.73(a)(2)(vii)         □ 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)       □ 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)         □ 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)       □ 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)         □ 50.73(a)(2)(iii)       □ 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)         □ 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)       □ 50.73(a)(2)(x)         □ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)       □ 73.71(a)(4)         □ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)       □ 73.71(a)(5)         □ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)       □ OTHER         □ 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)       Specify in Abstract below					(A) (B) A) ct below			
			1:	2. LICENS	EE CONT	ACT FOR	THIS L	ER							
							TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) 856-339-5456								
	13. COM	PLETE ONE	LINE F	OR EACH	COMPO	NENT FAI	LURE D	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS R	EPORT	7				
SYSTEM	COMPON					CAU	SE	SYSTEM	СОМРОИЕМ	MANU- FACTURER		RTABLE EPIX			
ВІ	V														
14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED								<u> </u>							
17	☐ YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)								(PECTED MISSION	монтн	DAY	YEAR			
es, complete		PECTED SUB		ON DATE)	)	⊠ N		SUBI	(PECTED MISSION DATE	МОМТН	DAY	YEAR			
1 i i i	in a Con DATE YEAR 2004 MODE  VEL  KYEL  SYSTEM	AME nerating Station  in a Condition F  DATE 6. L  YEAR YEAR  2004 2004  MODE 11.  20.22  20.22  20.22  20.22  20.22  20.22  20.22  20.22  20.22  7, Licensing Eng  13. COMPON	AME   Derating Station Unit 1	AME   Derating Station Unit 1	in a Condition Prohibited by Technical    DATE	AME   Decrating Station Unit 1	AME   Derating Station Unit 1	AME nerating Station Unit 1  in a Condition Prohibited by Technical Specification — Condate 6. LER NUMBER 7. REPORT DATE  YEAR YEAR SEQUENTIAL REV NO. MONTH DAY YEAR  2004 2004 - 006 - 00 11 15 2004  EMODE 11. THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REDUCED DE LINE 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A) DEVEL 20.2203(a)(2)(ii) D50.36(c)(1)(i)(A) D20.2203(a)(2)(ii) D50.36(c)(1)(i)(A) D50.36(c)(2) D50	AME   Nerating Station Unit 1   D5000272   D5000272	AME   Derating Station Unit 1   DOCKET NUMBER   D5000272   D5000272   D5000272   D5000272   D5000272   D5000272   D50000272   D500000272   D50000272   D500000272   D50000272   D500000272   D50000272   D50000272   D50000272   D50000272   D500000272   D50000272   D50000	AME   Decrating Station Unit 1   Decrating Sta	AME   Decrating Station Unit 1   Decreting Specification — Containment Isolation Valves			

NRC FORM 366 (6-2004)

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

This report is being made in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), "Any operation or condition which was prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications..."

(1-2001)

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
Salem Generating Station Unit 1	05000272	2004	-006	00	2. OE5

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

#### PLANT AND SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION

Westinghouse – Pressurized Water Reactor (PWR/4)
Service Water (SW) {BI} \*
Containment Fan Coil Unit Ventilation System (CFCU) {BK} \*

\* Energy Industry Identification System {EIIS} codes and component function identifier codes appear as {SS/CCC}

## **IDENTIFICATION OF OCCURRENCE**

Event Date: September 15, 2004

Discovery Date: September 17, 2004

#### CONDITIONS PRIOR TO OCCURRENCE

Salem Unit 1 was in Mode 1 (POWER OPERATION) at approximately 100% Reactor Thermal Power (RTP). No structures, systems or components were inoperable at the time of the occurrence that contributed to the event.

### **DESCRIPTION OF OCCURRENCE**

On September 15, 2004, during performance of the quarterly In Service Test, the inlet Service Water (SW) {BI} valve to the 11 Containment Fan Coil Unit (CFCU) {BK} (11SW58) failed to indicate closed on the control console.

Following verification that the control console light bulbs for the 11SW58 valve were operating properly, the Nuclear Control Operator (NCO – Licensed operator) entered Technical Specification (TS) Limiting Condition For Operation (LCO) 3.6.3.1 at 21:17 on September 15, 2004.

TS 3.6.3.1 states that each containment isolation valve shall be OPERABLE in Modes 1, 2, 3 and 4. With one or more of the isolation valve(s) inoperable, maintain at least one isolation valve OPERABLE in each affected penetration that is open and either:

- a. Restore the inoperable valve(s) to OPERABLE status within 4 hours, or
- b. Isolate each affected penetration within 4 hours by use of at least one deactivated automatic valve secured in the isolation position, or
- c. Isolate each affected penetration within 4 hours by use of at least one closed manual valve or blind flange; or
- d. Be in at least HOT STANDBY within the next 6 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the following 30 hours.

#### (1-2001)

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER				E	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
Salem Generating Station Unit 1	05000272	2004	-0 0.6	00_	3	OF	5

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

## **DESCRIPTION OF OCCURRENCE (cont'd)**

After entering TS LCO 3.6.3.1, the NCO requested a visual inspection of the 11SW58 valve. A Nuclear Equipment Operator (NEO – Non licensed operator) and an Instrument and Control (I&C) technician observed that the valve was closed; however, it appeared that the closed limit switch was not making contact. The I&C technician requested the NCO to stroke the valve for observation. The valve was stroked and the closed limit was received. The valve was timed at 6.5 seconds, which is within the required evaluation range. This value was recorded in the procedure as the initial stroke time. Subsequently, the valve was stroked two additional times with stroke times of 5.4 and 4.9 seconds respectively. The I&C technician reported that the valve stroked smoothly on all three occasions and there did not appear to be a problem with valve operation. Based on these results and discussions with IST personnel TS 3.6.3.1 was exited at 22:41 on September 15, 2004.

On September 16, 2004, additional non-intrusive troubleshooting was performed without finding a reason for the varying stroke times. The normal consistent stroke time for this valve is 3.3 seconds.

The valve was stroked again three times early on the morning of September 17, 2004, with stroke times of 5.47, 4.85 and 4.47 seconds.

On September 17, 2004, the In Service Test Program Manager reviewed the actions that had been taken relative to the 11SW58 valve. At this point, the IST Program Manager identified that TS 3.6.3.1 should not have been exited. According to the requirements of the IST program, the first stroke (in this case the failure to obtain the close limit) is the IST stroke time of record. The IST program has no provisions for multiple strokes to determine operability of a valve that has exceeded its Required Action stroke time without the performance of maintenance or a rigorous engineering evaluation. Therefore, the subsequent strokes were not valid strokes for the IST program. Additionally, although the subsequent stroke time values were within the ten second UFSAR limit, the times were almost twice as long as the baseline stroke times, indicating a problem with either the valve or its operator. For these reasons, the IST program manager concluded that TS 3.6.3.1 was inappropriately exited on September 15, 2004. TS 3.6.3.1 was re-entered on September 17 at 12:50.

Further investigation revealed that the valve's upper bearing seal had been compromised. The broken seal had allowed process fluid (service water) to get into the bearings, thus damaging the valve bearing. The 11SW58 valve was repaired and the TS exited on September 21 at 04:37.

This report is being made in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), "Any operation or condition which was prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications..."

### (1-2001)

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER				E		
		YEAR_	SEQUEN NUMBE		REVISION NUMBER			
Salem Generating Station Unit 1	05000272	2004	-00	6	00	4	OF	5

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

### **CAUSE OF OCCURRENCE**

The apparent cause(s) of the event (missed operability call) was due to personnel error. Some of the factors that contributed to this human performance issue were:

- 1. Overconfidence by Operations and IST personnel.
- 2. Imprecise communication. IST personnel missed the fact that the valve had already been declared inoperable, and critical communication protocol (e.g. repeat backs) was not used for this conversation.

### **PREVIOUS OCCURRENCES**

Salem and Hope Creek Generating Station LERs for years 2001 through 2004 were reviewed for similar occurrences, which involved human errors attributable to poor communication.

LER 272/04-004-00, Non-essential Loads Not Isolated With One Chiller Inoperable as Required by Technical Specifications, was an event where the cause of the occurrence was multiple human errors attributed to a lack of attention to detail by operators and the lack of quality verification (QV&V) prior to taking action.

The corrective actions were specific to that event and as such may not have precluded the occurrence of this event.

### SAFETY CONSEQUENCES AND IMPLICATIONS

There were no safety consequences associated with this event.

The 11SW58 valve is listed in the UFSAR Table 6.2-10 as a containment isolation valve, and as such it has an active safety function in the closed position. The Containment Fan Coil Unit and the motor cooler are considered a closed system, which separates the open system outside containment from the Reactor Coolant System and the containment atmosphere. Because the system is closed to the containment, Type C leak rate testing of the 11SW58 valve is not required.

As a component of the Service Water system, the valve is the inlet service water supply to the 11 CFCU and it is normally open and remains open for accident mitigation. The CFCU are required to provide containment cooling and to mitigate containment pressure peaking, which requires this valve to be open.

NR	CI	FO	RI	Л	36	6/	4

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

(1-2001)

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER				E	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
Salem Generating Station Unit 1	05000272	2004	-006	00	5	OF	5

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

## SAFETY CONSEQUENCES AND IMPLICATIONS (cont'd)

A review of this event determined that a Safety System Functional Failure (SSFF) as defined in NEI 99-02 did not occur.

### **CORRECTIVE ACTIONS**

- 1. The 11SW58 valve was repaired and retested satisfactorily.
- 2. Procedure SH.RA-AP.ZZ-0105 (Q) will be reviewed and revised as necessary to ensure that proper guidance is included for helping in assessing operability determinations to preclude recurrence of this type of event.
- 3. This event will be included in the IST Training module being developed for licensed operator requalification training.

### **COMMITMENTS**

This LER contains no commitments.