## Contents

6.3 Emergency Core Cooling Systems	6.3-1
6.3.1 Design Bases and Summary Description	
6.3.1.1 Design Bases	
6.3.1.1.1 Performance and Functional Requirements	
6.3.1.1.2 Reliability Requirements	
6.3.1.1.3 ECCS Requirements for Protection from Physical Damage	
6.3.1.1.4 ECCS Environmental Design Basis	6.3-4
6.3.1.2 Summary Descriptions of ECCS	6.3-4
6.3.2 System Design	6.3-4
6.3.2.1 Equipment and Component Descriptions	6.3-4
6.3.2.2 Applicable Codes and Classifications	6.3-4
6.3.2.3 Materials Specifications and Compatibility	
6.3.2.4 System Reliability	6.3-5
6.3.2.5 Protection Provisions	
6.3.2.6 Manual Actions	
6.3.2.7 Gravity-Driven Cooling System	
6.3.2.7.1 Design Bases	
6.3.2.7.2 System Description	6.3-7
6.3.2.7.3 Safety Evaluation	6.3-11
6.3.2.7.4 Testing and Inspection Requirements	6.3-11
6.3.2.7.5 Instrumentation Requirements	
6.3.2.8 Automatic Depressurization Subsystem	
6.3.2.8.1 Design Bases	
6.3.2.8.2 System Description	6.3-14
6.3.2.8.3 Safety Evaluation	6.3-16
6.3.2.8.4 Testing and Inspection Requirements	6.3-16
6.3.2.8.5 Instrumentation Requirements	
6.3.3 ECCS Performance Evaluation	
6.3.3.1 ECCS Bases for Technical Specifications	6.3-17
6.3.3.2 Acceptance Criteria for ECCS Performance	6.3-17
6.3.3.3 Single-Failure Considerations	
6.3.3.4 System Performance During the Accident	
6.3.3.5 Use of Dual Function Components for ECCS	6.3-18
6.3.3.6 Limits on ECCS Parameters	
6.3.3.7 ECCS Performance Analysis for LOCA	
6.3.3.7.1 LOCA Analysis Procedures and Input Variables	
6.3.3.7.2 Accident Description	
6.3.3.7.3 Break Spectrum Calculations	
6.3.3.7.4 Large Line Breaks Inside Containment	
6.3.3.7.5 Intermediate Line Breaks Inside Containment	
6.3.3.7.6 Small Line Breaks Inside Containment	
6.3.3.7.7 Line Breaks Outside Containment	
6.3.3.7.8 Summary of ECCS-LOCA Performance Analysis Results	

6.3.3.7.9 Bounding LOCA Evaluations	
6.3.3.8 ECCS-LOCA Performance Analysis Conclusions	6.3-21
6.3.4 Tests and Inspections	
6.3.4.1 ECCS Performance Tests	6.3-21
6.3.4.2 Reliability Tests and Inspections	
6.3.5 Instrumentation Requirements	
6.3.6 Combined Operating License Information	
6.3.6.1 ECCS Performance Results	
6.3.6.2 ECCS Testing Requirements	6.3-22
6.3.6.3 Limiting Break Results	
6.3.7 References	

## List of Tables

- Table 6.3-1 Significant Input Variables to the ECCS-LOCA Performance Analysis
- Table 6.3-2
   Single Failure Evaluation
- Table 6.3-3 Plant Variables with Nominal and Sensitivity Study Values
- Table 6.3-4 GDCS Design Basis Parameters
- Table 6.3-5
   GDCS Surveillance Testing
- Table 6.3-6
   DPV Design and Performance Parameters
- Table 6.3-7 Summary of ECCS-LOCA Performance Analyses
- Table 6.3-8
   Operational Sequence of ECCS For A GDCS Injection Line Break
- Table 6.3-9 MAPLHGR Limit Versus Exposure

#### **List of Illustrations**

- Figure 6.3-1. Vessel Pressure vs. GDCS Flow
- Figure 6.3-2. GDCS Schematic Diagram
- Figure 6.3-3. GDCS Squib Valve Closed
- Figure 6.3-4. GDCS Squib Valve Opened
- Figure 6.3-5. GDCS Biased Open Check Valve
- Figure 6.3-6. ADS Depressurization Valve Closed
- Figure 6.3-7. ADS Depressurization Valve Open
- Figure 6.3-8. ADS Component Schematic Diagram
- Figure 6.3-9. Normalized Shutdown Power
- Figure 6.3-10. Minimum Chimney Water Level vs. Break Area
- Figure 6.3-11. Flows Out of Vessel, Stub Tube Break, 1 GDCS Valve Failure
- Figure 6.3-12. ADS Flow, Stub Tube Break, 1 GDCS Valve Failure
- Figure 6.3-13. Flows Into Vessel, Stub Tube Break, 1 GDCS Valve Failure
- Figure 6.3-14. Flows Out of Vessel, Inside Steam Line Break, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-15. ADS Flow, Inside Steam Line Break, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-16. Flows Into Vessel, Inside Steam Line Break, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-17. Flows Out of Vessel, Feedwater Line Break, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-18. ADS Flow, Feedwater Line Break, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-19. Flows Into Vessel, Feedwater Line Break, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-20. Flows Out of Vessel, RWCU/SDC Line Break, 1 GDCS Valve Failure
- Figure 6.3-21. ADS Flow, RWCU/SDC Line Break, 1 GDCS Valve Failure
- Figure 6.3-22. Flows Into Vessel, RWCU/SDC Line Break, 1 GDCS Valve Failure
- Figure 6.3-23. Flows Out of Vessel, IC Return Line Break, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-24. ADS Flow, IC Return Line Break, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-25. Flows Into Vessel, IC Return Line Break, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-26. Flows Out of Vessel, GDCS Injection Line Break, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-27. ADS Flow, GDCS Injection Line Break, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-28. Flows Into Vessel, GDCS Injection Line Break, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-29. Flows Out of Vessel, Bottom Drain Line Break, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-30. ADS Flow, Bottom Drain Line Break, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-31. Flows Into Vessel, Bottom Drain Line Break, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-32. Flows Out of Vessel, Outside Steam Line Break, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-33. ADS Flow, Outside Steam Line Break, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-34. Flows Into Vessel, Outside Steam Line Break, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-35. Flows Out of Vessel, GDCS Injection Line Break Bounding Analysis, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-36. ADS Flow, GDCS Injection Line Break Bounding Analysis, 1 DPV Failure
- Figure 6.3-37. Flows Into Vessel, GDCS Injection Line Break Bounding Analysis, 1 DPV Failure

initiate the short-term injection and long-term injection systems manually, a low pressure signal must be present in the RPV, thus preventing inadvertent manual initiation of the system during normal reactor operation.

## 6.3.2.7 Gravity-Driven Cooling System

#### 6.3.2.7.1 Design Bases

#### Safety Design Bases

The GDCS provides emergency core cooling after any event that threatens the reactor coolant inventory. Once the reactor has been depressurized the GDCS is capable of injecting large volumes of water into the depressurized reactor pressure vessel (RPV) to keep the core covered.

The system also drains the GDCS pools to the lower drywell in the event of a core melt sequence that causes failure of the lower vessel head and allows the molten fuel to reach the lower drywell cavity floor. This action is accomplished by detection of elevated temperatures registered by thermocouples penetrating the protective layer in the lower drywell cavity, and by logic circuits that actuate squib-type valves on independent pipelines draining GDCS pool water to the lower drywell region.

After a \_\_\_\_\_ minute time delay and when the RPV coolant level decreases to \_\_\_\_\_ m (\_\_\_\_\_ ft.) above the top of the active fuel (TAF), squib valves are actuated in each of three GDCS equalizing lines. The open equalizing lines leading from the suppression pool to the RPV make long-term coolant makeup possible. The \_\_\_\_\_-minute delay ensures that the GDCS pools have had time to drain to the RPV and that the initial RPV level collapse as a result of the blowdown does not open the equalizing line. The long-term flow requirements for the GDCS equalizing lines are as follows: with the suppression pool water at saturation temperature, with vessel water level below equalizing line nozzles, the flow delivered inside the RPV through the GDCS equalizing lines is as shown in Table 6.3-4. This flow is required assuming a double-ended-guillotine-break in one GDCS equalizing line, and the worst single failure in a second equalizing line.

In the event of a core melt accident in which molten fuel reaches the lower drywell, the flow through the deluge lines is required to flood the lower drywell region with a required deluge network flow rate as shown in Table 6.3-4. The system design is such that with a single failure the flood-line network drains at least two out of three GDCS pools into the lower drywell.

#### 6.3.6.3 Limiting Break Results

For the initial core, the results for the limiting break for each bundle design will be provided to the USNRC by the COL applicant.

#### 6.3.7 References

# {Reference 6.3-1 may be outdated, and thus, must be updated. Ref. 6.3-2 is used appropriately}

- 6.3-1 GE Nuclear Energy, "Depressurization Valve Development Test Program Final Report," GEFR-00879, October 1990.
- 6.3-2 General Electric Co., "General Electric Company Analytical Model for Loss-of Coolant Analysis in Accordance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix K," NEDE-20566P-A, September 1986.

## **Table 6.3-4**

## **GDCS Design Basis Parameters**

Parameter	Value As Assumed/Modeled In ECCS Performance Evaluation	
Number of separate/independent GDCS divisions	4	
Per division, number of (short-term core cooling) lines from its GDCS pool	1	
Per division, number of GDCS line RPV nozzles	2	
Per division, number of equalizing line RPV nozzles	1	
Minimum drainable inventory per GDCS pool	$\underline{\qquad} m^3 (\underline{\qquad} ft^3)$	
Minimum elevation of GDCS pool surfaces above the RPV nozzles	m ( ft)	
Minimum short-term core cooling flow vs. $\Delta P$	Figure 6.3-1	
Minimum long-term core cooling flow delivered by the GDCS equalizing lines for a $\Delta P$ of kPa (0psid) across the equalizing lines	m <sup>3</sup> /s ( gpm)	
Minimum flow through the deluge lines required to flood the lower drywell region	m <sup>3</sup> /s ( gpm) *	
Minimum available suppression pool water inventory 1 meter above TAF	$\underline{\qquad} m^3 (\underline{\qquad} ft^3)$	
Minimum GDCS equalizing line driving head	m ( ft)	
{Others, as applicable, to be supplied by ESBWR Project}		

\* Core melt scenario instead of ECCS performance evaluation scenarios.

# {ESBWR Project to provide.}

Figure 6.3-3. GDCS Squib Valve — Closed