Department of Energy



Washington, DC 20585

February 28, 1991

Ms. Lynn Scattolini
Office of the LSS Administrator
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop EWW-577
Washington, DC 20555

Dear Lynn:

Per your phone request, this is what I have been able to pull together on short notice regarding potential parties that are liable to generate (or have generated) documents that will go into the LSS in addition to OCRWM Headquarters and at the Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project Office.

Non-Program Participants or Interested Parties:

- 1. Interested and Affected Governments
 Other Federal Agencies
 State Agencies
 Tribal
 Local
- 2. NRC
- 3. Review Bodies
 National Academy of Science
 Technical Review Board
- 4. Electric Utilities
 Utility Transportation Groups
 Ratepayer Public
 Utility Technical & Information Groups
- 5. Transportation Industry
 Cask Designers & Manufacturers
 Carrier & Transportation Service Contractors
- 6. Congress
- 7. Negotiator

- 8. Other Interest Groups
 Professional Societies and Organizations
 Environmental Groups
 Public Interest Groups
 States/Regional Organizations
 Consumer Organizations
 Chambers of Commerce
- 9. Environmental Protection Agency
- 10. Public
 Academic Institutions
 Citizens
 Civic Groups
 Educational Organizations
- 11. International International Organizations International Agencies

You may want to check with Chip Cameron. I recollect that at some of the early meetings of the negotiated rulemaking some lists of groups in early attendance were compiled.

OCRWM Program Participants:

This is a list of OCRWM Program participants surveyed for data backlogs, which I have condensed from a number of trip reports. Prime contractors are the primary numbered entries, their subcontractors are indented beneath. Some of the subcontractors may now be inactive; and, not all of the subcontractors were listed. Some of these prime contractors may be partially or totally replaced by the M&O when it is eventually brought up to speed. Many participants have used subcontractors, and the types of subcontracting vary between organizations: some contract relationships are ongoing, while others are less formal with the vending organization required to produce only one study or document.

Field

- 1. Holmes and Narver
- 2. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
 PNL
 B&W
 Westinghouse
 (more?)
- 3. MAC Technical Services Company
- 4. Reynolds Electrical & Engineering Co., Inc. Lang Drilling
- 5. Sandia National Laboratories
 Bechtel

Parsons Brinkerhoff Tech Reps, Inc.

- 6. Science Applications International Corporation SAIC/Oak Ridge EG&G, Applied Ecology Group
- 7. United States Geological Survey
 SAIC/Golden
 Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
 U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
 Colorado School of Mines Earthquake Center
- 8. Los Alamos National Laboratory Westinghouse
- 9. Fenix & Scission of Nevada

<u>Headquarters</u>

- 1. Roy F. Weston
- 2. KOH Systems, Inc.
- 3. CER
- 4. TRW (the M&O)

Sincerely,

Daniel J. Graser Program Analyst

Information Management Division Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste

Management