

Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant Operated by Nuclear Management Company, LLC

October 12, 2004

<u>,</u>;;

NRC-04-118 10 CFR 50.73

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant Docket 50-305 License No. DPR-43

Reportable Occurrence 2004-003-00

In accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73, "Licensee Event Report System", the enclosed Licensee Event Report (LER) for reportable occurrence 2004-003-00 is being submitted.

This letter contains no new commitments and no revisions to existing commitments.

Thomas Coutu Site Vice-President, Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant Nuclear Management Company, LLC

Enclosure (1)

cc: Administrator, Region III, USNRC Project Manager, Kewaunee, USNRC Resident Inspector, Kewaunee, USNRC INPO Records Center

1,522

NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION					APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 6-30-200						RES 6-30-2007				
(See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)				Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 50 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records and FOIA/Privacy Service Branch (T-5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e- mail to infocollects@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0066), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OME control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.											
FACILITY NAM	λE (1)						DOCK	ET NUMBER	ł (2)			PAGE (3)			
Kewaune	e Nuclear	Power	Plant					050003	305	;		1 of 6			
TITLE (4)															
Control R	oom Bou	ndary D	loor Fo	und Ajar – /	Accid	lent /	Analy	sis Assu	mp	otions Imp	acted -	- Pei	rson	nel Erro)r
EVI	ENT DATE (5))	Ľ	ER NUMBER (6)		RE	PORT	DATE (7)		(OTHER FA	CILITIES INVOLVED (8)			
MO	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REV	мо	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME			DO	CKETI	NUMBER	
08	12	2004	2004	003	00	10	12	2004	FACILITY NAME			DOCKET NUMBER			<u> </u>
OPER/	TING			THIS REPORT I	S SUBI	MITTEC) PURSI	JANT TO TH	IER/	EQUIREMENT	S OF 10 C	CFR 3: (Check all that apoly) (11)			
MODE	Ξ (9)	N	20.2	20.2201(b)		20.22	203(a)(3)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)		50.73		(a)(2)(ix)(/	A)
POW	ER	100	20.2	201(d)		20.22	203 <u>(a</u>)(4)		50.73(a)(2)(iii)		50.73(a)(2)(x)		
LEVEL	. (10)	100	20.2	203(a)(1)	T	50.36	6(c)(1)(i	i)(A)		50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)	73.71(a)(4)			
			20.2	20.2203(a)(2)(i)		50.36	5(c)(1)(i	i)(A)		50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)	73.71(a)(5)			
			20.2203(a)(2)(ii) 20.2203(a)(2)(iii) 20.2203(a)(2)(iv) 20.2203(a)(2)(v) 20.2203(a)(2)(v)		T	50.36	3(c)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)	B)		 ER	
		;				50.46	50.46(a)(3)(ii) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)			50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)	Speci NRC		ecify in Abstract below or in	
ang tin sa						50.73			X 50.	50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)			NRC Form 366A	
					T	50.73				50.73(a)(2)(vii)					
						50.73(a)		i)(C)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)	viii)(A)				
			20.2	20.2203(a)(3)(i) 5		50.73	3(a)(2)(ii)(A)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)				
				LICE	NSEE	CONT	FACT F	OR THIS L	ER	(12)					
NAME									TEI	LEPHONE NUM	MBER (Incl	ude A	rea Co	de)	
Gary Harri	ngton										(92	<u>0) 3</u>	<u>88-8</u>	559	
	<u> </u>	COMPL	ETE ONE	LINE FOR EA	<u>СН С(</u>	OMPO	NENT I	FAILURE D	ES	CRIBED IN T	HIS REPO	DRT	<u>(13)</u>		t
CAUSE	SYSTEN		PONENT	MANU- FACTURER	MANU- ACTUBER TO			CAUSE		SYSTEM	COMPON			MANU-	REPORTABLE TO EPIX
	1				<u> </u>				Τ						
				POPT EXPECT		4)	<u> </u>		╈	EXPECT					VEAD
YES (If ves. compl	ete EXPE	CTED SL	IBMISSION DA		<u>*)</u>	XN		-	SUBMISS	SION		/NIG		TEAD
ABSTRACT										DATE	15)	<u> </u>		L	<u> </u>
ABSTRACT	0004	11 - Al				£		K			D				
personnel discovered a control room emergency zone (CREZ) barrier door not fully closed. The position of the door had an adverse affect on the control room post-accident habitability analysis assumption for air in- leakage to the control room envelope. This, in-turn, was judged to have an adverse affect on the Technical Specifications (TS) operability requirements for the Control Room Post-Accident Recirculation (CRPAR)															
system. Consequently, with the door less than fully closed, the CRPAR system was considered not capable of															
fulfilling its post-accident mitigation function to ensure a habitable control room under post-accident conditions.															
The as-ic	The as-found condition was immediately corrected, the control room envelope was fully restored, and the														
URPAR :	CRPAR system was returned to operable status within the TS time limitations. The direct cause of the door														
	l cioseu v	vas me	annie	or plant be	ISUL	леги	J ens	ure ure i	JUL	JI Was uiu	seu ior	OWI	inu n	annen	ance mai

.:

required moving material through the open doorway. The underlying causes of the failure are deficiencies in the KNPP overall barrier control program. Program control guidance is limited to a single barrier control procedure, and for barrier control and identification purposes, labels and warnings are not sufficient to ensure barriers are easily recognizable for all their intended functions. The lack of programmatic controls contributed to plant personnel lack of awareness in understanding barrier control functions and their importance. This event is an example of a safety system functional failure. The safety significance of this event is minimal. The primary contributor to the control room habitability analysis and control room personnel exposure is leakage of radiological contaminants from the containment building. The most recent leak test data shows the containment leakage being only a fraction of the values assumed in the analysis.

NRC	FORM	366A
11.20	011	

:

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION								
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	<u> </u>	LF		ER (6)		PAGE (3)	
Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant	05000305	YEAR	SE		IAL R	REVISION NUMBER	2 of 6	
		2004		03		00		
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)		<u> </u>						
Event Description:								
On 8/12/2004, while the plant was operating at full power, Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant (KNPP) on-shift personnel discovered a control room [NA] emergency zone (CREZ) barrier door [DR] not fully closed. The position of the door had an adverse affect on the control room post-accident habitability analysis assumption for air in-leakage to the control room envelope. This, in-turn, was judged to have an adverse affect on the Technical Specifications (TS) operability requirements for the Control Room Post-Accident Recirculation (CRPAR) system [VI]. Consequently, with the door less than fully closed, the CRPAR system was considered not capable of fulfilling its post-accident mitigation function to ensure a habitable control room under post-accident conditions. The as-found condition was immediately corrected, the control room envelope was fully restored, and the CRPAR system was returned to operable status within the TS time limitations.								
Kewaunee TS, Section 3.12, "Control Room Post-Accident Recirculation System," specifies the operability requirements for the CRPAR system. Section 3.12.a specifies that, "[t]he reactor shall not be made critical unless both trains of the Control Room Post-Accident Recirculation System are OPERABLE."								
Kewaunee's system design has two trains of CRPAR equipment. Each train consists of ventilation fans [FAN], filters [FLT], dampers [DMP], and associated ductwork [DUCT]. The primary function of the system is to isolate the control room envelope, recirculate the control room air volume and filter contaminants assumed being released from the reactor coolant system [AB] and introduced into the control room envelope under postulated accident conditions. Each train of the system has the full capacity to remove contaminants to ensure control room personnel exposure within acceptable limits.								
Inherent in the assumptions for the system to operate according to design is that all barriers between the control room, adjoining plant areas and the outside environment remain intact. Included in the assumptions is any door permitting access and egress to adjoining areas with the control room envelope remain intact and closed when they are not needed to be opened to support plant operational activities including maintenance. Reliance on a closed barrier system is necessary due the analysis assuming a specific amount of in-leakage to the control room envelope.								
The bulk of the Kewaunee control room envelope (CREZ)," includes; the normally manned control re (directly below the control room), and the control r control room). The door found less than fully clos room ventilation equipment room. This set of dou	e, referred to as the oom, the instrume room ventilation ec sed was one of a s uble doors is desig	e "Conti nt and o quipmen et of two nated a	rol R conti nt ro ro do as do	oom I rol equ om (d ouble o oor #1	Emei uipm irect doors 52;	rgency Zc ent relay ly above t s in the co	one room the ontrol	
The part of door #152 found ajar (not fully closed)) is a normally clos	ed and	latc	hed p	assiv	/e design	. This	

The part of door #152 found ajar (not fully closed) is a normally closed and latched passive design. This half of the door has mechanical latches at the upper and lower ends of the door at the edge away from the hinged part of the door. Normal personnel access and egress through door #152 would be through the opposite door. The opposite door has an automatic closure mechanism with a door seam edge (astragal) that overlaps onto the passive door. While on the normal operating equipment tour, the Operations staff, on-shift Nuclear Auxiliary Equipment Operator (NAO) noted that the passive door was not fully closed. The passive door was found resting against the active door's astragal. The overlap design prevented the passive door from closing completely. As a result, a gap at the passive door's hinged edge, and the upper

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)			U.S. NUCLEAR REG	GULATORY CO	MMISSION			
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)								
TEXT CONTINUATION								
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		PAGE (3)					
Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant	05000305	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION			3 of 6			
		2004	03	00				
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)								
and lower ends of the door was created. The gap the other side of the door.	and lower ends of the door was created. The gap created an air flow path across the CREZ boundary to the other side of the door.							
Procedure guidance for the plant's barrier control 09, "Barrier Control." The proceduralized limit for square inches unless the reactor is sub-critical. In the door's perimeter exceeded the three square in	Procedure guidance for the plant's barrier control program is prescribed by Fire Plan Procedure, FPP-08- 09, "Barrier Control." The proceduralized limit for openings in the CREZ without additional analysis is three square inches unless the reactor is sub-critical. In the as-found condition, the additional open area around the door's perimeter exceeded the three square inch operational limit.							
Kewaunee analysis for control room personnel ex per minute (scfm) unfiltered air in-leakage to the c closed, this analysis assumption could not be ass	Kewaunee analysis for control room personnel exposure assumes approximately 200 standard cubic feet per minute (scfm) unfiltered air in-leakage to the control room envelope. With the door less than fully closed, this analysis assumption could not be assured.							
Event Analysis:	·							
This event is being reported in accordance with the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(v)(D), any event that could have prevented the fulfillment of a safety function of a system needed to mitigate the consequences of an accident. With the control room ventilation equipment room door less than fully closed, the accident analysis assumptions used to assure acceptable control room personnel exposure could not be supported. Consequently, the ability of the CRPAR system to protect control room personnel under post-accident conditions could not be assured. As a result, using analysis assumptions, the control room operator's exposure potential could have resulted in unacceptable personnel exposure while performing post accident mitigative actions.								
Safety Significance:								
In-leakage to the CREZ is dependant on the pressure relationship between the control room envelope and its surrounding areas. Control room ventilation under normal or post-accident conditions is not assumed to be either a positive or negative ventilation system. Given the guidance available at the time that the Kewaunee control room habitability studies were performed, the assumed in-leakage value was used as a conservative input to calculate personnel exposure potential. A specific amount of gross contaminants in cubic feet was assumed to enter the CREZ before the CRPAR system isolated the control room from surrounding areas, and a continuous unfiltered in-leakage rate was assumed for conservatism. The assumed volume of gross leakage and the continuous unfiltered in-leakage is used to determine the effectiveness of the CRPAR system to recirculate and filter the control room environment.								
The door that was found ajar was one of the doub between the control room ventilation equipment ro building [NF]. Specifically, the double doors lead [ELEV]. The elevator is occasionally used to trans room. In addition to the double doors, at the eleva elevator doors. These do not provide an airtight s they do provide some limitation of gross air excha- left open.	ble doors that prov com and the radio to one of the plant sfer bulky heavy o ator entrance, the seal between the C ange across the ba	ide the logically l's two a bjects u re are a CREZ a urrier if t	primary contr / controlled au auxiliary build up to the controlled standard set nd auxiliary b he controlled	olled barrie uxiliary equ ing freight rol room ec of solid fre uilding. Ho boundary	er uipment elevators quipment eight owever, doors are			

:

÷

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION								
	ENT REPORT	(LER)						
TEXT CONTINUATION								
FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3)								
Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant	05000305	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER 2004 - 03 - 00	4 of 6					
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)								
The auxiliary building elevator shaft communicates directly with the outside of the auxiliary building through small openings in the elevator equipment room. The elevator shaft also passes through the auxiliary building's engineered safeguards special ventilation zone [VF], Zone SV. Under post-accident conditions, the Zone SV ventilation system operates to filter in-leakage into the auxiliary equipment building from the containment building annulus and from systems that communicate with the containment. The special ventilation system also operates to maintain the zone at a negative pressure in relationship to the outside atmosphere and surrounding plant areas. The Zone SV system capability is tested and confirmed to function as designed according to the plant's periodic surveillance testing program. Consequently, the flow path of air between the auxiliary building elevator shaft and the control room ventilation equipment room is from the equipment room into the elevator shaft. Therefore, there would be no in-leakage to the CREZ from the radiolically controlled area of the auxiliary equipment building through the greater door gaps.								
Since the air flow caused by the unclosed boundary door is out of the CREZ, it is assumed that the leakage paths into the control room envelope from other areas would be adversely affected. How much additional unfiltered in-leakage would be brought into the CREZ is indeterminate. Any increased leakage into the envelope would be a function of any change in differential pressure between the CREZ and auxiliary building along with the material condition of the rest of the CREZ boundary sealing surfaces' ability to pass additional air. No data is available to perform calculations of differential pressures across the boundaries' potential flow rates. However, considering that the analysis assumes the control room habitability exposure based on a single train of CRPAR being available, and the fact that at no time during the time the door was opened were both trains CRPAR inoperable, the consequences of any additional in-leakage is judged to be minimal.								
The potential for an over exposure condition is fur exposure limitations required to address control ro control room exposure is a large quantity of a dire assumed. This assumption takes no credit for the containment annulus that would limit, if not elimina historically the plant's typical measured containment fraction of the allowed design leakage from the co conditions test data and performance requirement efficiencies for CRPAR post-accident assumption	rther minimized by com habitability. T ect containment [N e additional Kewau ate, the assumed ent penetration lea ontainment building ts compared to the purposes provide	the conservative assumptions The primary contributor to post [H] to atmospheric release bein unee plant design of having a release. The most recent and akage test data also shows only g penetrations. The current filt e TS limits and the assumed fil additional margin.	used and accident g / a er ter					
Cause:	Cause:							
Investigative efforts of the event showed that on 8 scheduled. To support the maintenance, filters we control room ventilation equipment room. This red ventilation equipment room. Therefore, the door a moving the filters.	b/12/04 maintenan ere transferred fro quired using the fr appears to have b	ice on the CRPAR system filter om the auxiliary equipment build reight elevator to move the filter een left open by personnel invo	units was ling to the is to the plved in					
When the doors were opened to move the filters h staff and electronic data security door access sea were opened. However, the interviews and acces door was open to less than 12 hours. Without kno	nas not been exac rches did not reve is data search did owing specifically	etly determined. Interviews with eal specifically by who or when allow narrowing down the time who may have opened the doo	plant the doors that the rs or who					

:

.

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY CO	MMISSION					
LICENSEE EV	ENT REPORT	(LER)						
TEXT CONTINUATION								
Kowaunaa Nuolaar Bawar Blant	05000305	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION	Edfe					
Rewaunce Nuclear Fower Flam	03000303	2004 - 03 - 00	3010					
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)	۸ <u></u>							
moved the filters between the two areas, a definitive basis for why the doors were not re-closed could not be determined. Additional details regarding the cause and causal factors for this event are still under investigation.								
The direct cause of the door not being closed is assessed as failure of plant personnel to ensure the door was closed following maintenance. The maintenance schedule and interviews indicate that the filters were recognized as not being available for the maintenance at the beginning of shift. However, the maintenance was performed on that day which required the filters to be available. Therefore, the filters had to be brought to the room that day and the only viable path was through the affected door. The door was identified as being open, or not fully closed, by the NAO on the first set of rounds at 1915.								
The underlying causes of the failure are considered to be deficiencies in the KNPP overall barrier control program. Program control guidance is limited to a single barrier control procedure, and for barrier control and identification purposes, labels and warnings are not sufficient to ensure barriers are easily recognizable for all their intended functions. The lack of programmatic controls appears to contribute to plant personnel lack of awareness in understanding barrier control functions and their importance.								
 The majority of the information regarding various required barriers is contained within the Fire Plan Procedure, FPP-08-09. This one procedure provides identification, performance limitations, definitions and controls for virtually all plant barriers. The scope and areas of responsibility of the programs include the fire plan, high energy line break barriers, CREZ, Special Ventilation system barriers, security and flooding. This procedure includes guidance and requirements related to doors, barrier penetrations, dampers, walls, floors and ceilings. 								
 Barrier labels, notably doors, are not sufficient to forewarn plant staff of all potential barriers any given door may have an effect on. Consequently, there is no assurance that personnel would be any more aware of a door that provides a specific design basis than any other door. 								
Corrective Actions:								
As part of the plant's efforts to address Generic L changes to the control room boundary system hav change to the doorway that was involved in this e doors' opening is being installed. The new design and egress, however, it will be on a less frequent	etter (GL) 2003-00 ve been initiated. vent. Specifically, n, a gasketed and and on only a mor	01, "Control Room Habitability," Included in the changes is a su a new barrier that encapsulate bolted enclosure, will still allow re plant strategic needs basis.	design Ibstantial is the access					
Two corrective actions for further evaluation of specific corrective action needs have been entered into the plant's corrective action program:								

1. Review and evaluate the effectiveness of the plant's barrier control program as it may relate to problems encountered with failing to ensure all barriers are in their required positions/condition.

2

2

NRC	FORM	366A
(1-20	01)	

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant	05000305	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	6 of 6
		2004	03	00	
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NBC Form 366A) (17)					

opies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Currently the single program control document for barrier control, FPP-08-09 seems to be ineffective in ensuring personnel have guidance and the tools necessary to understand what is required for all the different plant barrier controls.

2. Review and evaluate plant policies for labeling doors to ensure ease of recognition to enhance personnel awareness and aid in understanding of barrier control functions.

In addition to the corrective actions proposed above, developed to help minimize the probability of a recurring event, a third corrective action has been initiated to better understand why the investigative effort for this event could not determine a more specific time of occurrence and the true cause of personnel failing to assure the door was properly closed.

Previous Similar Events:

None