


Joseph A. Widay
Plant Manager

1503 Lake Road
Ontario, New York 14519-9364
585.771.3000



Constellation Energy

R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant, LLC

October 12, 2004


Mr. Robert L. Clark
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn: Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Subject: Reportable Safeguards Event LER 2004-S01, Safeguards Event
R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant
Docket No. 50-244

Dear Mr. Clark:

The attached Reportable Safeguards Event LER 2004-S01 is submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 73.71 and Section I(c) of Appendix G, Reportable Safeguards Events.

Very truly yours,


Joseph A. Widay

1001143

JE74

xc: Mr. Robert Clark (Mail Stop O-8-C2)
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852

Regional Administrator, Region 1
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

U.S. NRC Ginna Senior Resident Inspector

Mr. James M. Petro Jr., Esquire
Counsel
Constellation Energy
750 East Pratt Street, 5th Floor
Baltimore, MD 21202

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

(See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)

Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 50 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records and FOIA/Privacy Service Branch (T-5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to infocollects@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

1. FACILITY NAME R. E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant	2. DOCKET NUMBER 05000 244	3. PAGE 1 OF 3
--	--------------------------------------	--------------------------

4. TITLE
Safeguards event

5. EVENT DATE			6. LER NUMBER			7. REPORT DATE			8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER
08	13	2004	2004	- S01 -	00	10	12	2004		05000
									FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER
										05000

9. OPERATING MODE 1	11. THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR§: (Check all that apply)									
10. POWER LEVEL 100	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2201(b)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(3)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(C)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(vii)						
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2201(d)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(3)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)						
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(4)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)						
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(1)(i)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)						
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(x)						
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(iii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 73.71(a)(4)						
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(iv)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.46(a)(3)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(a)(5)						
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(v)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER						
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.2203(a)(2)(vi)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)	Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A						

12. LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER

FACILITY NAME Ronald C. Teed - Director Nuclear Security	TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) (585) 771-3232
---	--

13. COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANU-FACTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANU-FACTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX

14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED <input type="checkbox"/> YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE	MONTH	DAY	YEAR

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines)

On August 13, 2004, at approximately 0832 EDT, Ginna Nuclear Power Plant Security management was informed of the discovery of the mishandling of Safeguards Information. The address labels for two packages (one containing Safeguards Information and the other containing commercial documents) had been inadvertently switched during the shipping process at Ginna, and the two packages were mistakenly shipped to the wrong addressees. The Safeguards Information material was promptly resealed and packaged by the recipient who had mistakenly received the material, and was shipped back to Ginna. Upon receipt at Ginna, the Safeguards Information material was inventoried and all material was accounted for.

This event was determined to be reportable to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission in accordance with 10 CFR 73.71 and 10 CFR 73 Appendix G.

Corrective actions included debriefing the individual who had mistakenly received the Safeguards Information concerning prohibition of disclosure of Safeguards Information. The shipping process was changed at Ginna, such that shipping of all Safeguards Information will be processed only by designated Security personnel. Ginna personnel were notified of these changes by e-mail messages and messages attached to their security access badges.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE		
R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant	05000244	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2	OF	3
		2004	-- S01	-- 00			

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

I. PRE-EVENT PLANT CONDITIONS

The plant was in Mode 1, at approximately 100% steady state reactor power.

II. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

Address labels for two packages (one containing Safeguards Information and the other containing commercial documents) had been inadvertently switched during the shipping process at Ginna Nuclear Power Plant (NPP), and the two packages were mistakenly shipped to the wrong addressees.

III. EVENT SUMMARY

On August 11, 2004, a package containing Safeguards Information (SI) material was properly packaged for transmittal as SI from an engineer at Ginna NPP to an engineer at another power plant in New York, and was delivered to the Ginna Warehouse via normal shipping procedures for overnight shipping by that engineer (who is a person authorized for SI). Later that day, another package was delivered to the warehouse. This package contained a commercial document and a piece of cable, to be shipped to a company in North Carolina. Because of packaging requirements, the package containing SI material, as sent to the warehouse, was not different from any commercial package, and was ready for processing via the mail or overnight delivery service. The warehouse worker has no information as to whether he is processing SI or commercial information.

The warehouse worker judged that the packaging for the piece of cable was not robust, and should be packaged more securely. He then removed the internal tracking labels from both packages (the commercial package and the other package, which he did not know contained SI) and put both packages into more secure boxes. He then placed the external shipping labels on the packages, but had inadvertently switched the packages. Therefore, the label for the commercial information was placed on the package containing SI material, and vice-versa. The packages were then shipped via overnight delivery service later that day to their respective addressees.

On August 12, 2004, the individual in North Carolina received his expected package from Ginna NPP. When he opened it, it did not appear to be the material he was expecting. He quickly scanned the pages (without reading the information) and verified that this material was not intended for him. He promptly resealed the materials, and had the package shipped back to Ginna NPP. Later that day, the engineer at the New York power plant opened his package and realized it was not the SI material that he had been expecting. He contacted the Ginna engineer. The Ginna engineer and warehouse worker investigated and determined that the shipping labels had been switched on the two packages the day before. They were not able to personally contact any individuals in North Carolina who may have received the package containing SI materials, but left messages for them to contact Ginna.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE		
R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant	05000244	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	3	OF	3
		2004	-- S01	-- 00			

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

On August 13, 2004, the Ginna engineer informed his supervisor (who had not been on site the day before) of this event. At approximately 0832 EDT, Ginna NPP Security management was informed of the discovery of the mishandling of SI by the supervisor. This event was determined to be reportable to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. The NRC Operations Center was notified of this event, per 10 CFR 73.71 and 10 CFR 73 Appendix G, at approximately 0925 EDT on August 13, 2004.

The initial security investigation consisted of interviews with all personnel that may have been involved. Security personnel confirmed that the package containing SI had been shipped to the wrong address, and interviewed the individual who opened the package in North Carolina.

On August 13, 2004, at approximately 1025 EDT, the package containing SI material was received back at Ginna. The Ginna engineer inventoried the materials and confirmed that the document was complete.

IV. CORRECTIVE ACTION

On August 13, 2004, at approximately 1320 EDT, the individual in North Carolina who had mistakenly received the package of SI material was interviewed by a Ginna Security Supervisor. The individual stated that he did not recall any details about the document he had opened, and that no one else had access to this material. He was informed of a directive prohibiting the unauthorized disclosure of SI, and agreed to this directive.

The shipping process was changed at Ginna. Procedures were changed to require that shipping of all SI will be processed only by designated Security personnel, to ensure proper packaging and shipping requirements are met. Ginna personnel were notified of this event and these changes by e-mail messages. A note was attached to each security access badge for personnel at Ginna NPP, informing them of this event and of the need to promptly report any compromise of SI, and announcing this change in the process for shipping SI.

The warehouse worker involved and the other warehouse workers were counseled by supervision following this incident.