H. L. Sumner, Jr. Vice President Hatch Project Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. Post Office Box 1295 Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Tel 205.992.7279





October 14, 2004

Docket Nos.: 50-321 50-366

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555-0001

> Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant Monthly Operating Reports

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Enclosed are the September 2004 Monthly Operating Reports as required by Section 5.6.4 of the Technical Specifications.

If you have any questions, please advise.

Sincerely, ens Summer

H. L. Sumner, Jr.

HLS/il/daj

Enclosures: E1 – HNP Unit 1 Monthly Operating Report E2 – HNP Unit 2 Monthly Operating Report

cc: <u>Southern Nuclear Operating Company</u> Mr. J. T. Gasser, Executive Vice President Mr. G. R. Frederick, General Manager – Plant Hatch RTYPE: CHA02.004

> <u>U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission</u> Dr. W. D. Travers, Regional Administrator Mr. C. Gratton, NRR Project Manager – Hatch Mr. D. S. Simpkins, Senior Resident Inspector – Hatch

TEZ

## **OPERATING DATA REPORT**

DOCKET NO.	50-321	
UNIT NAME	Hatch 1	
DATE	October 11, 2004	
COMPLETED BY	R. M. Beard	
TELEPHONE	(912) 537-5925	

**REPORTING PERIOD:** September 2004

1.	Design Electrical Rating	885.00
2.	Maximum Dependable Capacity (MWe-Net)	869.00

3.	Number	of Hours t	the Rea	actor was	Critical
<b>.</b> .		0			

- 4. Number of Hours Generator On-line
- 5. Reserve Shutdown Hours
- 6. Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWHrs)

#### UNIT SHUTDOWNS

**This Month** 

720.00

720.00

0.00

637.144.00

Yr-to-Date

5,910.13

5,837.43

0.00

4,931,740.00

Cumulative

204,782.94

198,748.15

0.00

146.609.909.0

No.	Date	Type F: Forced S: Scheduled	Duration (Hours)	<b>D</b>	Method of Shutting Down 2	Cause & Corrective Action Comments
-----	------	-----------------------------------	---------------------	----------	---------------------------------	---------------------------------------

SUMMARY: Unit 1 began the month of September operating at rated thermal power. Shift reduced load to approximately 865 GMWe (~2625 CMWth) on 9/4/04 to perform control rod drive exercises. The unit was returned to rated thermal power on 9/5/04. Shift reduced load to approximately 900 GMWe (~2780 CMWth) on 9/7/04 due to the Core Thermal Power (CTP) program on the process computer being out of service greater than 45 minutes. The unit was returned to rated thermal power approximately 1 hour later that same day. Shift reduced load to approximately 865 GMWe (~2625 CMWth) on 9/11/04 to perform a Rod Pattern Adjustment, CRD Exercises and Turbine Stop Valve Testing. The unit was returned to rated thermal power on 9/12/04. Shift reduced load to approximately 890 GMWe on 9/16/04 and inhibited the CROSSFLOW ultrasonic flow monitor input to the process computer heat balance to perform a setpoint change on one of the system limits. Shift maintained reduced load while a disk was replaced on the process computer data acquisition system. The unit was returned to rated thermal power and while a disk was replaced on the process computer data acquisition system. The unit was returned to rated thermal power on 9/19/04. Shift reduced load to approximately 825 GMWe (~2520 CMWth) on 9/18/04 to perform monthly and weekly CRD Exercises and a Rod Pattern Adjustment. The unit was returned to rated thermal power on 9/19/04. Shift reduced load to approximately 865 GMWe (~2625 CMWth) on 9/25/04 to perform weekly CRD Exercises and a Rod Pattern Adjustment. The unit was returned to rated thermal power on 9/26/04. Unit 1 ended the month of September operating at rated thermal power. There were no challenges to the safety relief valves.

**D** . . .

1

- Reason:
- A Equipment Failure (Explain)
- B Maintenance or Test
- C Refueling
- D Regulatory Restriction
- E Operator Training & License Examination
- F Administration
- G Operational Error (Explain)
- H Other (Explain)

## 2

- Method:
- 1 Manual
- 2 Manual Trip/Scram
- 3 Automatic Trip/Scram
- 4 Continuation
- 5 Other (Explain)

## **OPERATING DATA REPORT**

DOCKET NO.	50-366
UNIT NAME	Hatch 2
DATE	October 11, 2004
COMPLETED BY	R. M. Beard
TELEPHONE	(912) 537-5925

**REPORTING PERIOD:** September 2004

- 1. **Design Electrical Rating**
- 2. Maximum Dependable Capacity (MWe-Net)
- 908.00 883.00

0.00

497.117.00

0.00

5,641,768.00

0.00

133.222.180.0

- This Month Yr-to-Date Cumulative 3. Number of Hours the Reactor was Critical 577.10 6,432.10 181,193.00 4 Number of Hours Generator On-line 577.10 6,432.10 176,804.57
- 5. Reserve Shutdown Hours
- 6. Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWHrs)

#### UNIT SHUTDOWNS

No.	Date	Type F: Forced S: Scheduled	Duration (Hours)	Reason 1	Method of Shutting Down 2	Cause & Corrective Action Comments
04-001	09/25/2004	S	142.90	В	1	Unit 2 was shutdown at management discretion to repair a leaking SRV, 2B21- F013L. See Narrative report.

SUMMARY: Unit 2 began the month of September operating at the maximum operating power (MOP) of 2777 CMWth. Shift reduced load to approximately 855 GMWe (~2620 CMWth) on 9/5/04 to perform weekly CRD Exercises and a Rod Pattern Adjustment. The unit was returned to MOP on 9/6/04. Shift reduced load to approximately 865 GMWe (~2625 CMWth) on 9/12/04 to perform weekly CRD Exercises and Turbine Stop Valve Testing. The unit was returned to MOP on 9/13/04. Shift reduced load to approximately 830 GMWe (~2505 CMWth) on 9/19/04 to perform a Rod Pattern Adjustment and weekly CRD Exercises. The unit was returned to MOP on 9/20/04. Shift reduced load to approximately 905 GMWe (<2742 CMWth) due to a flow meter deviation alarm on the CROSSFLOW System. Shift began reducing load for a Maintenance Outage to repair a leaking SRV, 2B21-F013L, on 9/24/04 and removed the unit from the grid just after midnight on 9/25/04. Shift was preparing for unit startup, after completing repairs on the "L" SRV, when inboard MSIV, 2B21-F022D, failed to open fully while being stroked on 9/26/04. Shift completed repairs on the "D" inboard MSIV on 9/30/04 and entered the unit startup procedure. Startup activities continued on Unit 2 as the month of September ended. There were no challenges to the safety relief valves.

1

Reason:

- **Equipment Failure (Explain)** Α
- Maintenance or Test В
- С Refueling
- D **Regulatory Restriction**
- **Operator Training & License Examination** Ε
- F Administration
- G **Operational Error (Explain)**
- н Other (Explain)

# 2

- Method:
- Manual 1
- 2 Manual Trip/Scram 3 Automatic Trip/Scram
- 4 Continuation
- 5 Other (Explain)