

**From:** "Hamel, Dave - OSHA" <Hamel.Dave@dol.gov>  
**To:** "Robert Meck" <RAM2@nrc.gov> *RES*  
**Date:** Thu, Jul 31, 2003 1:17 PM  
**Subject:** RE: ACTION--U.S. COMMENTS ON IAEA'S DS-161

It works for me Bob.  
Dave

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Robert Meck [mailto:RAM2@nrc.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 31, 2003 10:02 AM  
**To:** Gustavo.Vazquez@eh.doe.gov; Setlow.Loren@epamail.epa.gov;  
Collins@idns.state.il.us; dave.hamel@osha.gov  
**Subject:** ACTION--U.S. COMMENTS ON IAEA'S DS-161

Dear Colleagues:

I need strong confirmation of support for the draft comments, today, if at all possible. Explicit support for the logic diagram that was included in the previous e-mail is needed. Other points include: a) the single NORM value would result in regulation both above (e.g., zircon sands) and below (source material licensees) the level; b) the NORM levels are not directly related to radiation protection principles; c) guidance changes definitions in the IAEA's BSS; d) exemptions depend on conditions--one is limited quantities, but DS-161 applies to bulk quantities without limit.

These are the main comments that can be summarized in a short time frame. I have just received the draft comments from Japan, and they are attached for your information. Because of the language barrier and the diplomatic approach, they have to be read carefully to extract the meaning.

Best regards,

Bob

Robert A. Meck, Ph.D.  
Senior Health Physicist  
T9-F31  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Telephone: 301 415-6205  
FAX: 301 415-5385  
e-mail: ram2@nrc.gov

*B 48*