From:

"Collins, Steve" <Collins@idns.state.il.us> IL Modeling "Robert Meck" <RAM2@nrc go:

· To:

Date:

Tue, Oct 1, 2002 5:35 PM

Subject:

RE: Revisions to comments on IAEA DS161

I do not like 29 (to become 34) very much, but I could not think of a good way to improve it quickly. They are AOK.

----Original Message----

From: Robert Meck [mailto:RAM2@nrc.gov] \$ 5

Sent: Tuesday, October 01, 2002 3:17 PM

To: Gustavo Vazquez: John Neave; Deborah Kopsick.; David Farrand; collins@idns.state.il.us; Anthony Huffert; Carl Feldman; Doug Broaddus: Elaine Brummett; Frank Cardile; Giorgio Gnugnoli; bishopml@oro.doe.gov;

Chia Chen

Cc: harold.peterson@eh.doe.gov; Katie Winebrenner; Mary Clark; Cheryl Trottier; Carl Paperiello; Donald Cool; Farouk Eltawila; Jodi Lieberman; James Kennedy; John Greeves; Patricia Holahan; Susan Frant; Stephen

Klementowicz; Thomas Essig; W. Ott

Subject: Revisions to comments on IAEA DS161

Dear Colleagues,

NRC upper management requested clarifications and changes to the comments we prepared for U.S. Member State comments on DS161, as e-mailed to you on August 23, 2002. As a result, our Office of International Programs requested an extension from IAEA, and we have been working hard through several rounds of revisions on a selected few of the comments. These were comments numbered 1, 29-34 of the August 23, 2002, version.

Just this morning we got agreement at a level appropriate to send to the ISCORS Recycle Subcommittee Members. I have attached the file of those comments changed by NRC and another file, for your concurrence, that is the new draft Combined U.S. Member State comments that incorporates these modifications. You will find that the former comment 1 has become the first five comments in the revision.

I believe that these newer comments are clearer, defensible, and provide IAEA with at least a measure of what they wanted to accomplish. It emphasizes that the SDLs are not at the requirements level and may serve as references in a guidance context. I am optimistic that you will be able to concur with these changes readily.

Given the late date for the submission of these comments, please give this matter your immediate attention. If you anticipate a delay in getting your comments or concurrence back to me, please contact me promptly.

Thanks for your continued participation and interest.

Bob

Robert A. Meck. Ph.D. Mailstop T9-F31 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

B-15