

From: John Greeves *RES*  
To: Donald Cool; Farouk Eltawila; Robert Meck *RES*  
Date: Tue, Oct 1, 2002 7:55 AM  
Subject: Re: DS-161

Don

These are much improved. I am ok with forwarding them to other agencies. Since Carl is out for a long time maybe we should run this version by him for buy in now ???

>>> Robert Meck 09/30/02 04:01PM >>> *RES*  
Dear John and Don,

Attached are the revised comments. I believe that all the items that came up in our meeting September 24, 2002, are included. The comments are positive in the sense that they note that we don't object to the 10  $\mu$ Sv criterion for artificial nuclides and don't object to a separate paradigm for NORM. They are also positive as far as what the document and its technical basis can support. SDLs become reference points [metrics in Greeves' words] for regulatory authorities to evaluate concentrations in commodities arising from other than clearance of metals and rubble. Perhaps this meets their objective part way.

With your concurrence, I would then send these revisions to the other agency contacts for their concurrence before sending the comments to Jodi for transmittal to IAEA. If we get early NRC concurrence, the comments likely could be sent this week.

Bob

Robert A. Meck, Ph.D.  
Mailstop T9-F31  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555

Telephone: 301 415-6205  
FAX: 301 415-5385  
email: [ram2@nrc.gov](mailto:ram2@nrc.gov)

>>> John Greeves Monday, September 30, 2002 1:31:20 PM >>>  
IAEA and IP are looking for comments. Do we have a estimate of when we can send comments?

jtg

CC: Cheryl Trottier; Frank Cardile; Giorgio Gnugnoli; W. Ott

*B-14*