

From: **Michelle Honcharik** To: Jerry Holm Date: 3/9/04 4:13PM RAI on BAW-10244 Subject:

Jerry, Please see attached. Michelle

CC:

**Jim Mallay** 

Franctione ANP Proj. 728 Michelle Honcharik

C:\TEMP\GW}00003.TMP

Page 1

Mail Envelope Properties (404E336D.F5C : 11 : 19583)			
Subject: Creation Date: From:	RAI on BAW-10244 3/9/04 4:13PM Michelle Honcharik		
Created By:	MCH3@nrc.gov		
Recipients framatome-anp.com jerald.holm (Jerald Holm) jim.mallay CC (James Mallay)		Action Transferred	Date & Time 03/09/04 04:13PM
Post Office		Delivered	Route framatome-anp.com
Files	Size	Date & Time	
BAW 10244_RAI.wj	pd 6393	03/09/04 04:11PM	
MESSAGE	607	03/09/04 04:13PM	
Options			
Auto Delete:	No		
<b>Expiration Date:</b>	None		
Notify Recipients:	Yes		
Priority:	Standard		
<b>Reply Requested:</b>	No		
<b>Return Notification</b>			
Send Notification wl	hen Opened		
<b>Concealed Subject:</b>	No		
Security:	Standard		
To Be Delivered:	Immediate		
Status Tracking:	Delivered & Op	ened	

## **REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

## BAW-10244P, "MARK-BW CHF CORRELATIONS APPLIED WITH XCOBRA-IIIC"

## FRAMATOME ANP

## PROJECT NO. 728

- 1. Provide rationale for the need to use XCOBRA-IIIC code for the proposed licensing topical report (TR), BAW-10244P,"Mark-BW CHF [Critical Heat Flux] Correlations Applied with XCOBRA-IIIC" relative to LYNXT code.
- 2. Provide evaluation for satisfying the limitations imposed on the application of the approved BWU CHF correlation to the proposed TR with a different thermal hydraulic safety analysis code.
- 3. Please identify any differences in relation to the data bases to support the code development and verification, assumptions, ranges of the application, and expected results of the analysis under same conditions between the proposed licensing TRs using XCOBRA-IIIC code and the BWU CHF correlation using LYNXT.
- 4. Provide in details the reasons causing the different results of the departure from nucleate boiling ratio (DNBR) design limits and quantify their impact on the plant-specific applications with respect to the safety margin for the plant operation.
- 5. It appears that the DNBR design limit of 1.22 for BWU-N with non-mixing vane when the pressure is above 1500 psia stated in Table 4.3 is inconsistent with the DNBR design limit of 1.23 stated on Page 1-1. Please clarify the difference.
- 6. Please clarify that the performance factor as shown in the equation Q<sub>chf and</sub> and Table 3.1 indicates that the proposed TR is only applied to the Mark-BW17 fuel design.
- 7. Provide uncertainties, confidence level, and ranges of application in a table for BWU CHF correlation in the code LYNXT versus COBRA-IIIC code, and identify the impact on the DNBR design limit due to the different uncertainties.