NRC FORM 408 (5-2004)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULAT	ORY COMM	ISSION	
	D INFORMATION COLLECTION			
2: INFORMATION MANAGEMENT COORDINATOR Carrie Brown, NMSS Discrete Brown, Discrete				
THE FOLLOWING FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED IN EV INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUIREMENT. (If the res		YES	NO	
1. The requirement is needed. (It is the best means to achieve a necessary regulatory objective.)				
The requirement has practical utility, i.e., the NRC has the capability to use the information in a timely and useful fashion.				
3. The schedule for imposing the requirement is reasonat	ble.	\checkmark		
 The requirement selected is the least burdensome method of achieving a necessary regulator objective. 				
5. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirer	nents imposed by the NRC.	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$		
6. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by other Government agencies.				
7. The method used to estimate the burden is adequate.				
8. The burden estimates are reasonable when compared with similar requirements previously submitted.				
 The methods proposed for collecting or keeping the information are consistent with sound record management practices. 				
10. The records retention period is sufficiently definitive and reasonable.				
11. The requirement adequately identifies the records to be maintained and the information to be reported.				
12. NRC administrative support requirements are sufficient to manage the information collection.				
13. The information collection will not cause NRC to excee	d its Information Collection Budget.	√		
OCIO REVIEWER - (Assigned Analyst)				
OCIO REVIEWER - (Assigned Analyst) Beth C. St. Mary Records and FOIA/Privacy Services Branch/IRSD/OCIO		09 /200 4		
OCIO APPROVAL - (Branch Level) SIGNATURE Brenda Jo. Shelton				
NRC Clearance Officer/OCIO	UNCONTAR 09/9	₹ /2004		

-

			15 000 41
NRC	FORM	408	(5-2004)