

From: Neil O'Keefe
To: Mullikin, Ray; Nease, Rebecca; Smith, Linda
Date: 2/4/04 7:31AM
Subject: STP Conference Call Agenda

Linda,

How does this look for stating the objectives? I propose to e-mail this agenda to the licensee, so they can see what we want to talk about.

I'll write up a more specific list of issues/concerns, as we currently understand them, in the format of your open item table. This will include entries for a basis for presuming safety on an interim basis. This will not be provided to the licensee.

Neil

D-3

STP Conference Call Agenda
Wednesday, Feb. 4, 3:30 pm

Topic: Status of two URIs in fire protection

Background: During the May-June 2002 triennial fire protection inspection, the team identified concerns that the licensee's fire safe shutdown analyses did not appear to directly support their procedures. Also, the manual actions necessary to accomplish a safe shutdown during a fire were mostly not located in approved procedures, and were not understood by operators. The licensee did not agree that their documentation did not support the conclusions and procedures, but agreed to clarify the documentation to allow completion of the inspection within a few months. Subsequently, a new fire response procedure was issued with all the required manual actions, and a new thermal-hydraulic analyses was created to support the control room evacuation scenario as the bounding case. This analysis identified that the procedural limit for cooldown rate would not allow the plant to meet the performance requirements of Appendix R, Section III.L.

Objective: To understand any changes to the scope of the issues that have evolved since the conclusion of the inspection as a result of the licensee's investigation, analyses, and procedure changes. This is intended to assure there continues to be an interim basis for assuring the safe operation of the plant until the remaining issues can be inspected, as well as supporting the prioritization of scheduling inspection resources.