

September 3, 2004

Mr. James A. Gresham, Manager
Regulatory and Licensing Engineering
Westinghouse Electric Company
P.O. Box 355
Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355

SUBJECT: DRAFT SAFETY EVALUATION FOR TOPICAL REPORT WCAP-16096-NP-A,
REVISION 1, "SOFTWARE PROGRAM MANUAL FOR COMMON Q SYSTEMS"
(TAC NO. MC2294)

Dear Mr. Gresham:

On January 29, 2004, Westinghouse Electric Company (Westinghouse) submitted Topical Report (TR) WCAP-16069-NP-A, Revision 1, "Software Program Manual for Common Q Systems," to the staff for review. Enclosed for Westinghouse's review and comment is a copy of the staff's draft safety evaluation (SE) for the TR.

Twenty working days are provided to you to comment on any factual errors or clarity concerns contained in the SE. The final SE will be issued after making any necessary changes and will be made publicly available. The staff's disposition of your comments on the draft SE will be discussed in the final SE.

To facilitate the staff's review of your comments, please provide a marked-up copy of the draft SE showing proposed changes and provide a summary table of the proposed changes.

If you have any questions, please contact Bill Macon at 301-415-3965.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Stephen Dembek, Chief, Section 2
Project Directorate IV
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 700

Enclosure: Draft Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: See next page

September 3, 2004

Mr. James A. Gresham, Manager
Regulatory and Licensing Engineering
Westinghouse Electric Company
P.O. Box 355
Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355

SUBJECT: DRAFT SAFETY EVALUATION FOR TOPICAL REPORT WCAP-16096-NP-A,
REVISION 1, "SOFTWARE PROGRAM MANUAL FOR COMMON Q SYSTEMS"
(TAC NO. MC2294)

Dear Mr. Gresham:

On January 29, 2004, Westinghouse Electric Company (Westinghouse) submitted Topical Report (TR) WCAP-16069-NP-A, Revision 1, "Software Program Manual for Common Q Systems," to the staff for review. Enclosed for Westinghouse's review and comment is a copy of the staff's draft safety evaluation (SE) for the TR.

Twenty working days are provided to you to comment on any factual errors or clarity concerns contained in the SE. The final SE will be issued after making any necessary changes and will be made publicly available. The staff's disposition of your comments on the draft SE will be discussed in the final SE.

To facilitate the staff's review of your comments, please provide a marked-up copy of the draft SE showing proposed changes and provide a summary table of the proposed changes.

If you have any questions, please contact Bill Macon at 301-415-3965.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Stephen Dembek, Chief, Section 2
Project Directorate IV
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 700

Enclosure: Draft Safety Evaluation

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC
PDIV-2 Reading
RidsNrrDlpmLpdiv (HBerkow)
RidsNrrWMacon
RidsNrrLAEPeyton
RidsOgcRp
RidsAcrcAcnwMailCenter
EMarinos

ADAMS Accession No.: ML042510034

NRR-106

OFFICE	PDIV-2/PM	PDIV-2/LA	EEIB-A/SC*	PDIV-2/SC
NAME	WMacon	EPeyton	EMarinos	SDembek
DATE	9/3/04	9/3/04	8/25/04	9/3/04

DOCUMENT NAME: C:\ORPCheckout\FileNET\ML042510034.wpd

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

***SE dated**

Westinghouse Electric Company

Project No. 700

cc:

Mr. Gordon Bischoff, Manager
Owners Group Program Management Office
Westinghouse Electric Company
P.O. Box 355
Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0355

DRAFT SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

TOPICAL REPORT WCAP-16096-NP-A, REVISION 1

"SOFTWARE PROGRAM MANUAL FOR COMMON Q SYSTEMS"

WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC COMPANY

PROJECT NO. 700

1 1.0 INTRODUCTION

2 By letter dated January 29, 2004 (ADAMS Accession Number ML040360115), Westinghouse
3 Electric Company (Westinghouse) submitted Topical Report (TR) WCAP-16069-NP-A,
4 Revision 1, "Software Program Manual for Common Q Systems," to the NRC for review.

5 Two previous versions of this Software Program Manual (SPM) have been submitted and
6 reviewed. The original version was submitted by CE Nuclear Power on June 5, 2000 (ADAMS
7 Accession Number ML003722925), as a part of CENPD-396-P, Revision 1, "Common Qualified
8 Platform." This TR and the SPM were approved in a safety evaluation (SE) dated August 11,
9 2000 (ADAMS Accession Number ML003740165). When Westinghouse acquired the Common
10 Qualified (Common Q) platform product line, an updated version of the SPM was submitted on
11 August 14, 2002 (ADAMS Accession Number ML003721618), as WCAP-16096-NP-A, Revision
12 0. This TR was approved in a SE dated February 24, 2003 (ADAMS Accession Number
13 ML030550776).

14 WCAP-16096-NP-A, Revision 1 specifies plans for implementing a structured software life cycle
15 process for application software and provides guidance for configuration management of
16 commercial-grade hardware and previously-developed software.

17 2.0 REGULATORY EVALUATION

18 The basic review criteria for the evaluation of the changes to the SPM is that the changes do
19 not compromise the characteristics of the Common Q platform that were critical to its
20 acceptability in the initial SE. Therefore, the review criteria for the changes are essentially all of
21 the criteria that applied to the previously approved the Common Q platform. These criteria are
22 identified in Section 3.1, "Review Criteria," in the initial SE dated August 11, 2000. Since this
23 SPM has been previously approved, this SE will only evaluate the changes to ensure that they
24 continue to meet these criteria. For this review, the staff has determined that the following
25 subset of regulatory guides (RG) and standards applies to the acceptability of the changes:

- 26 ● RG 1.152-1996, "Criteria for Digital Computers in Safety Systems of Nuclear Power
27 Plants" (which endorses the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE)
28 Standard (Std) 7-4.3.2, "IEEE Standard for Digital Computers in Safety Systems of
29 Nuclear Power Generating Stations").

- 1 ● RG 1.168-1997, "Verification, Validation, Reviews, and Audits for Digital Computer
2 Software Used in Safety Systems of Nuclear Power Plants" (which endorses the
3 American National Standards Institute (ANSI)/IEEE Std 1012, "IEEE Standard for
4 Software Verification and Validation Plans," and IEEE Std 1028, "IEEE Standard for
5 Software Reviews and Audits").
- 6 ● RG 1.170-1997, "Software Test Documentation for Digital Computer Software Used in
7 Safety Systems of Nuclear Power Plants" (which endorses IEEE Std 829, "IEEE
8 Standard for Software Test Documentation").
- 9 ● RG 1.171-1997, "Software Unit Testing for Digital Computer Software Used in Safety
10 Systems of Nuclear Power Plants" (which endorses ANSI/IEEE Std 1008, "IEEE
11 Standard for Software Unit Testing").
- 12 ● RG 1.173-1997, "Developing Software Life Cycle Processes for Digital Computer
13 Software Used in Safety Systems of Nuclear Power Plants" (which endorses IEEE Std
14 1074, "IEEE Standard for Developing Software Life Cycle Processes").

15 3.0 TECHNICAL EVALUATION

16 The Westinghouse SPM for Common Q systems specifies plans for implementing a structured
17 software life cycle process for application software and provides guidance for configuration
18 management of commercial-grade hardware and previously developed software. As stated in
19 the initial SE, licensees using the Common Q platform for plant-specific applications are
20 required to implement the application software in accordance with the SPM. As stated above in
21 this SE, the staff has already reviewed the SPM twice before; therefore, this SE will only
22 evaluate the changes to ensure that they continue to meet the applicable review criteria.

23 Westinghouse has stated that the SPM was modified for the following reasons:

- 24 ● To ensure consistency with updated Westinghouse Repair, Replacement and
25 Automation Services (RRAS) quality program requirements and to meet the RRAS
26 documentation standards.
- 27 ● Experience with the Palo Verde Core Protection Calculator System (CPCS).
- 28 ● Implemented changes based on NRC review and audit experience.
- 29 ● Corrected errors and inconsistencies discovered during early experience with Common
30 Q projects.
- 31 ● To correct typographical errors and to clarify some of the process descriptions.

32 3.1 Changes Based on RRAS Program Requirements

33 These changes are primarily format changes. The acronym section, definitions section, and
34 references section were moved to different locations. References to the ABB Quality
35 Assurance Manual were removed, and some definitions were added or modified. The title of

1 "V&V Team Leader" was replaced with "V&V Engineering Line Manager;" however, the
2 administrative and financial independence from the design team manager was not changed.
3 The other change was to show that the Quality organization is responsible for auditing the
4 software safety plan implementation and performing process certification rather than the
5 verification and validation (V&V) team and that the results of the audit are documented in the
6 Quality organization's Audit Report. These changes do not compromise the characteristics that
7 were critical in the initial SE to meet the review criteria, and are, therefore, acceptable.

8 3.2 Changes Based on Palo Verde CPCS Experience

9 These changes are to provide clarification rather than to make substantive changes. The first
10 change is to provide clarification that the independent managerial review to assess the
11 execution of all of the actions and the items identified in the Software Quality Assurance Plan
12 (SQAP) that is included in the SPM, as required by IEEE Std 730, is the responsibility of the
13 Quality organization. The second change is to provide clarification that the requirements for the
14 hardware design process are defined in the Westinghouse Policy and Procedures Manual and
15 that hardware verification is performed as part of the hardware quality assurance activities,
16 which is also defined in the Westinghouse Policy and Procedures Manual.

17 These changes do not compromise the characteristics that were critical in the initial SE to meet
18 the review criteria, and are, therefore, acceptable.

19 3.3 Changes Based on NRC Review and Audit Experience

20 These changes provide clarification of items based on comments and observations during staff
21 reviews, rather than to make substantive changes.

22 These changes provide a clearer definition of responsible groups and organizational structure,
23 such as the responsibilities of the V&V Group, the Software Librarian and the Automation
24 Engineering groups. In addition, the Requirements Traceability Analysis, Requirements
25 Traceability Matrix, and the documentation requirements are better defined.

26 These changes do not compromise the characteristics that were critical in the initial SE to meet
27 the review criteria, and are, therefore, acceptable.

28 3.4 Changes to Implemented Design Process Improvements and Corrected Errors and 29 Inconsistencies Based on Early Experience with Common Q Projects

30 There are a number of minor changes to the SPM which further clarify or modify the software
31 processes. Some of these changes are as follows:

- 32 ● Define when software will be entered into a controlled access account.
- 33 ● State that the results of physical reviews shall be documented with a Certificate of
34 Conformance.
- 35 ● Add a statement that non-commercial software (freeware) cannot be used for Protection
36 Class Software.

- 1 ● Add a statement that the coding standards to be applied to a project shall be referenced
2 in the Project Quality Plan, and that the V&V team shall review the applicable coding
3 standards for each project for acceptability.

- 4 ● Consistently use "SDD" to mean Software Design Description rather than Software
5 Design Document and "SCR" to mean Software Change Request rather than System
6 Change Request.

- 7 ● Require that Westinghouse personnel assigned to work on any activity in the software
8 life cycle process must complete training on the SPM in accordance with the "NA Policy
9 and Procedures Manual," and that the V&V team must review training materials
10 prepared for the customer.

- 11 ● Revise the statement so that in-process audits shall be performed by the Quality
12 organization rather than the V&V team, and that these audits shall be documented in an
13 audit report rather than the V&V report.

- 14 ● Require that the Software quality assurance plan be required for all quality
15 classifications defined for the Common Q system: protection, important-to-safety,
16 important-to-availability, and general purpose software, not just software "within the
17 scope of Westinghouse software."

- 18 ● Revise the responsibilities within the SPM so that:
 - 19 1. Verification of the implementation of quality assurance requirements is
20 performed by the Quality organization, and that the V&V Team Leader shall
21 verify that software and associated documentation has been developed in
22 accordance with the standards specified in the SQAP.
 - 23 2. The responsibility for performing the Physical Review is moved from the V&V
24 team to the design team.
 - 25 3. Stating that the Preliminary Hazards Analysis Report can be either completed by
26 the V&V team or completed by the design team and reviewed by the V&V team.

27 There are a number of other minor changes to terminology, documentation requirements and
28 processes, Westinghouse standard forms, and dates on references and standards. The staff
29 has reviewed these changes, and has determined that these changes are minor, and do not
30 alter the SPM in a significant manner.

31 These changes do not compromise the characteristics that were critical in the initial SE to meet
32 the review criteria, and are, therefore, acceptable.

33 3.5 Changes to Correct Typographical Errors and to Clarify Some of the Process 34 Descriptions

35 These changes are being made to correct some errors in the original document, and to provide
36 clarification of some process descriptions. The staff has reviewed these changes, and has

1 determined that these changes are minor, and do not alter the SPM in a significant manner.
2 Since these changes do not compromise the characteristics that were critical in the initial SE to
3 meet the review criteria, they are acceptable.

4 4.0 CONCLUSION

5 On the basis of this review, the staff has determined that the proposed changes to the
6 Westinghouse SPM for Common Q systems continue to meet the applicable review criteria and
7 do not compromise any of the characteristics that were critical in the initial SE. Therefore, the
8 changes in WCAP-16096-NP-A, Revision 1, are acceptable.

9 Principal Contributor: P. Loeser, NRR

10 Date: September 3, 2004