

From: "Parkhurst, Mary Ann" <maryann.parkhurst@pnl.gov>
To: Jack Cushing <JXC9@nrc.gov>, Andrew Kugler <AJK1@nrc.gov>
Date: 8/10/04 4:43PM
Subject: FW: Barking tree frogs at Surry

Response from Mike S.

Mary Ann Parkhurst
Battelle (PNNL), K3-55
(509) 375-6893
Fax (509) 375-6936
P. O. Box 999
Richland, WA 99352
[Express mail street address:
902 Battelle Blvd]

> -----Original Message-----

> **From:** Sackschewsky, Michael R
> **Sent:** Tuesday, August 10, 2004 12:09 PM
> **To:** Parkhurst, Mary Ann; Miller, Beverly M
> **Subject:** FW: Barking tree frogs at Surry

> Please pass on to NRC and Dominion -

> This answers my questions, and confirms what I had concluded based on my own analysis of the situation.

> thanks to all.

> Michael R. Sackschewsky

> -----
> **From:** Patterson, Karen
> **Sent:** Tuesday, August 10, 2004 11:56 AM
> **To:** Sackschewsky, Michael R
> **Cc:** Tony_Banks@dom.com; rlbaker@bechtel.com
> **Subject:** Barking tree frogs at Surry

> Mike -- Rich Baker forwarded your question to me. I might be able to clear up some of the confusion.

> When T1NUS analyzes T&E species for license renewal ERs, we include in the list of species that could occur (Table 2-3) any animal that the state has listed as a county occurrence in the counties of interest, if the habitat is appropriate. In other words, the VDNR lists the barking tree frog as occurring in Surry County, and there is habitat for it at Surry, so we included it in the table. We try and cover that in the table title as species that "occur or could possibly occur" at a location.

> HOWEVER, Dominion has stated many times that they have never found the frog at Surry, hence the statement on page 2-25 of the Surry License Renewal ER. I don't think anyone has looked for it on t-lines.

> T1NUS did not prepare the ESP alternatives analysis. I suspect that the person responsible for writing it did not fully understand the approach we used in the Surry OR ER, and missed the not-to-helpful table title. Hence the morph to "with the exception of ... the barking tree frog."

> There have never been any barking tree frogs observed at the SAPS site. In my opinion the ESP statement is incorrect. Bill Bolin will likely support that.

>
> Hope this helps.
>

CC: "Sackschewsky, Michael R" <michael.sackschewsky@pnl.gov>

Mail Envelope Properties (41193372.A34 : 19 : 64052)

Subject: FW: Barking tree frogs at Surry
Creation Date: 8/10/04 3:52PM
From: "Parkhurst, Mary Ann" <maryann.parkhurst@pnl.gov>

Created By: maryann.parkhurst@pnl.gov

Recipients

nrc.gov

owf4_po.OWFN_DO
AJK1 (Andrew Kugler)
JXC9 (Jack Cushing)

pnl.gov

michael.sackschewsky CC (Michael R Sacksch

Post Office

owf4_po.OWFN_DO

Route

nrc.gov

pnl.gov

Files

MESSAGE
TEXT.htm
Mime.822

Size

2194
4538
9047

Date & Time

08/10/04 03:52PM

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard