

From: Nanette Gilles
To: Grant, Eddie R.
Date: Thu, Dec 11, 2003 12:50 PM
Subject: Re: Administrative questions

Thank you for the new address information. I have update the addresses for Marilyn, Tom, and yourself to reflect your move to the north wing.

Regarding clarification on the QA questions, the cognizant reviewer is out until Monday, so that is the earliest I can get back to you.

Regarding your Administrative questions on RAIs, see my answers in bold following your questions below.

>>> "Grant, Eddie R." <eddie.grant@exeloncorp.com> 12/10/03 04:19PM >>>

A couple of minor administrative questions regarding RAI letters.

1) I note the RAI letter was signed out by you.
Should our response letter be addressed to you, or to the Document Control Desk, or some other addressee?

Please address RAI responses to the Document Control Desk with a cc to me (or Tom K. if they are environmental RAIs).

2) I note the RAI letter is designated RAI Letter No. 1, and that the RAI is numbered as 17.1.1-1.

Is this a convention we can expect to continue, i.e., sequential letter numbers with RAIs numbered by RS-002 section?

Actually, the convention we plan to use on the safety side is to number the RAIs by the application section, but since you did not have a section corresponding to QA, we used the RS-002 section number in this case.

Will your environmental counterpart be using the same convention? If so, will there be an environmental RAI letter no. 1, or would the next RAI letter be sequential regardless of whether it is safety or environmental?

I am still waiting to hear back from Tom K. as to how the environmental RAIs will be numbered, so I will have to get back to you on that point. Tom is very busy at the moment preparing for the scoping meeting next week.

The safety and environmental RAIs will be kept separate. The next safety RAI letter will be No. 2. I don't know if Tom intends on numbering his RAI letters. I did that for my own convenience, so we could refer to "RAI letter #1," etc.

Is it likely that an RAI Letter will cover more than one topic/RS-002 section?

It is possible that an RAI letter could cover more than one application section because some reviewers are looking at multiple sections.

When we respond to each individual item in an RAI letter, how would you like to see our responses numbered, e.g., RAI01-01 through RAI01-08 or 17.1.1-1-01 through 17.1.1-1-08?

Would either of these be best suited to your tracking system, or is there some other designation that would work better for you?

It would be easiest for us if your RAI responses were numbered the same as the individual RAI question to which they are responding.

Item 2 is somewhat important to us because we are setting up our tracking database for RAIs and would like to utilize a consistent, unique identifier for each item that is consistent with the NRC tracking. Thanks for your help.

Thanks again,
Eddie R. Grant
Exelon ESP Project
610-765-5001 voice
610-765-5755 fax
850-598-9801 cell

This e-mail and any of its attachments may contain Exelon Corporation proprietary information, which is privileged, confidential, or subject to copyright belonging to the Exelon Corporation family of Companies. This e-mail is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or action taken in relation to the contents of and attachments to this e-mail is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copy of this e-mail and any printout. Thank You.

CC: Michael Scott; Stephen Koenick; Thomas Kenyon
Mail Envelope Properties
(3FD8AE55.7E8 : 20 : 21456)

Subject: Re: Administrative questions
Creation Date: Thu, Dec 11, 2003 12:50 PM
From: Nanette Gilles

Created By: NVG@nrc.gov

Recipients	Action	Date & Time
exeloncorp.com eddie.grant (Grant, Eddie R.)	Transferred	12/11 12:50 PM

nrc.gov owf4_po.OWFN_DO	Delivered	12/11 12:50 PM
MLS3 CC (Michael Scott)	Opened	12/11 4:53 PM
SSK2 CC (Stephen Koenick)	Opened	12/12 8:13 AM
TJK2 CC (Thomas Kenyon)	Opened	12/11 1:11 PM

Post Office	Delivered	Route
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	12/11 12:50 PM	exeloncorp.com nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	7218	Thursday, December 11, 2003 12:50 PM

Options

Auto Delete:	No
Expiration Date:	None
Notify Recipients:	No
Priority:	Standard
Reply Requested:	No
Return Notification:	None

Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

To Be Delivered:	Immediate
Status Tracking:	Delivered & Opened