

High Burn-up Spent Nuclear Fuel Structural Response When Subjected to a Hypothetical Impact Accident




David T. Tang & Jack Guttman
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (USNRC)

Brian J. Koeppel & Harold E. Adkins
Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL)


Presentation Outline

- Background
- Project Objectives
- Model Approach
- Model Description
- Results
- Conclusions
- Acknowledgements



Background

- The pin consists of a hollow tube containing numerous fuel pellets
- The assembly consists of numerous pins held by spacer grids
- Multiple assemblies are then transported and stored in casks
- Per U.S. NRC regulations, the cask/pins must withstand (i.e. no loss of containment) a 30 ft drop for various orientations including axial



Background

- Axial loading can lead to buckling instability of the fuel pins
- A recent initiative will permit transport and storage of high burn-up fuels (45-75 GWd/MTU)
- High burn-up can cause cladding corrosion and embrittlement due to hydride alignment, reducing its strength and ductility
- Effects of degraded material properties on SNF pin response to hypothetical accident conditions such as end impact have not been analyzed

Project Objectives


- Develop a conservative finite element (FE) model to study end impact of spent nuclear fuel pins
- Evaluate the buckling acceleration and post-buckling behavior of the fuel pin cladding
- Perform parametric analysis to evaluate effects of
 - Average acceleration
 - Internal pressurization
 - Pin lateral gap width
 - Cladding thickness reduction due to corrosion
 - Pin/basket geometry

Modeling Approach


- Model full pin length
- Include transient dynamic effects: LS-DYNA
- Include cask/impact limiter effects
- Keep it simple: single pin assumption
- Include 100% of the fuel mass (U.S. NRC ISG 12)
- Ensure conservatism in approach
 - Fuel-fuel and fuel-pin interfaces bonded?
 - Fuel fractured?
 - Neglect effect of fuel on the bending rigidity of the pin

Modeling Approach

- Model features
 - Full pin length
 - Initial bowing assumed
 - Spacer grid supports
 - Distributed fuel mass
 - Cask mass
 - Impact limiter
 - Rigid wall with lateral gap
- Model loading
 - Initial velocity
 - Internal pressure
- Model boundary conditions
 - Rigid ground surface



Model Description: FE Model Mesh




Model Description: Fuel Pin (Baseline Case)

- Pressurized water reactor (PWR) fuel cladding generally more vulnerable to impact events than boiling water reactor (BWR) clads
- Baseline geometry: Babcock & Wilcox (B&W) 15x15 PWR fuel cladding
 - Pin length: 153.7 in (390.4 cm)
 - Pin outer diameter: 0.429 in (1.09 cm)
 - Pin wall thickness: 0.0265 in (0.0673 cm)
 - Pin weight: 7.011 lb (3.183 kg)
 - Fuel weight: 5.578 lb (2.535 kg)

Model Description: Clad Material Properties


- Evaluation of high burn-up fuel material properties is ongoing at PNNL and ANL (to be published in NUREG/CR's)
- Yield strength, tensile strengths, and elongations established from axial tube, burst, and ring tests
- Assumed properties are
 - Elastic modulus 11E6 psi
 - Yield Strength 92E3 psi
 - Ultimate Strength 94E3 psi
 - Total Strain ~2.5%




Model Description: Spring Element Properties

- Define load-deflection curves
 - Spacer grids
 - Impact limiter
 - Fuel pellet


Spacer
Grids




Impact
Limiter




Fuel
Pellet



Results: Pin Deformation History




Results: Accelerations



ANSYS 7.1
MAR 23 2004
09:36:10
PLOT NO. 13
POST26

ZV =1
DIST=.75
XF =.5
YF =.5
ZF =.5
Z-BUFFER

Cask and Pin Bottom



ANSYS 7.1
MAR 23 2004
09:36:10
PLOT NO. 1
POST26

ZV =1
DIST=.75
XF =.5
YF =.5
ZF =.5
Z-BUFFER



■ Average system (i.e. cask+pin) acceleration is constant per the defined load-deflection curve for the impact limiter

■ Pin acceleration varies due to pin-cask interaction and loss of contact

- Pin acceleration peak at 135 G's

Results: Cladding Strains (Baseline Case)

- 1.5% tensile strain
- 2.8% compressive strain
- 1.0%-2.8% estimate for allowable tensile strain



ANSYS 7.1
MAR 23 2004
09:36:44
PLOT NO. 1
maxstrain


ZV =1
DIST=.75
XF =.5
YF =.5
ZF =.5
Z-BUFFER

Results: Effects of Fuel Rigidity

(Case #12)


- A bounding case that accounts for fuel rigidity effects also evaluated
- Perfect bonding assumed at pellet-pellet and pellet-cladding interfaces
- Elastic material properties assumed for the fuel pellets

- No buckling response
- Small elastic strains in the cladding



ANSYS 7.1
MAR 24 2004
00:21:34
PLOT NO. 13
POST26

ZV =1
DIST=.75
XF =.5
YF =.5
ZF =.5
XRTO=1
Z-BUFFER



ANSYS 7.1
MAR 24 2004
00:23:56
PLOT NO. 20
maxstrain(1,2)

ZV =1
DIST=.75
XF =.5
YF =.5
ZF =.5
XRTO=1
Z-BUFFER

SINGLE PIN BUCKLING, BW 15x15, 60 G, 3/23/2004

Additional Parametric Study Cases

Case #	Fuel Type	Cask Deceleration (G)	Lateral Gap Width (in)	Internal Pressure (psi)	Cladding Thickness Reduction	Comments
1	B&W 15x15	60	1.2	1400	0%	Baseline Case
2	B&W 15x15	60	1.2	0	0%	
3	B&W 15x15	50	1.2	1400	0%	
4	B&W 15x15	70	1.2	1400	0%	
5	B&W 15x15	60	1.1	1400	0%	
6	B&W 15x15	60	1.3	1400	0%	
7	B&W 15x15	60	1.2	1400	10%	
8	B&W 15x15	60	1.2	1400	20%	
9	WE 17x17 OFA	50	1.2	1400	0%	
10	WE 17x17 OFA	60	1.2	1400	0%	
11	WE 17x17 OFA	70	1.2	1400	0%	
12	B&W 15x15 + Fuel	60	1.2	N/A	0%	Effect of Fuel
13	B&W 15x15	60	1.2	1400	0%	24 vs. 32 assembly basket
14	B&W 15x15	60	1.2	1400	0%	Ramped loading

Parametric Study Results

Case #	System ^[1] Average Deceleration (G)	Cask Maximum Deceleration (G)	Pin Maximum Deceleration (G)	Peak Tensile Strain (%)	Peak Compressive Strain (%)	Comments
1	49	60	135	1.5	2.8	Baseline Case
2	49	60	100	0.8	1.2	
3	41	50	118	1.2	2.2	
4	57	70	163	1.9	4.8	
5	49	60	148	1.1	2.2	
6	49	60	124	1.6	3.5	
7	49	60	120	2.0	5.5	
8	49	60	116	2.3	6.3	
9	42	50	111	1.9	3.6	
10	50	60	129	2.4	5.6	
11	59	70	138	2.7	6.5	
12	49	60	104	0.07	0.09	No Buckling Initiated
13	49	60	151	1.5	3.3	
14	49	60	126	1.3	2.6	

[1] Defined as cask and all contents.

Concluding Remarks

■ Conclusions

- An efficient analytical model was generated to capture transient dynamic response of a single fuel pin
- Neglecting 100% of the fuel pellet influence on bending rigidity was overly conservative for a strain-based assessment of high burn-up fuel pins

■ Future work

- Improved handling of fuel pellet influence (fuel fracture, interface properties) required for realistic model
- Assess cladding failure potential through probabilistic fracture analysis of the fuel pins, based on transient stress states