

**From:** Nanette Gilles  
**To:** Eddie Grant; Tom Mundy  
**Date:** Fri, Mar 19, 2004 4:29 PM  
**Subject:** DRAFT REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION-SSAR 2.5.2

Please find attached a package of preliminary questions, in the form of draft requests for additional information (RAIs) for the Clinton ESP review, SSAR Section 2.5.2. Exelon may request a phone call to seek clarification on the questions before they are issued by letter. Please contact me to let me know if you wish to arrange such a call or if you have other questions.

Sincerely,

Nanette Gilles  
Senior Project Manager  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Phone (301) 415-1180

**CC:** Ann Hodgdon; Clifford Munson; Gene Imbro; James Lyons; Kamal Manoly;  
Laura Dudes; Michael Scott; Stephen Koenick

**Mail Envelope Properties**

(405B662D.D5C : 20 : 21456)

**Subject:** DRAFT REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION-SSAR 2.5.2  
**Creation Date:** Fri, Mar 19, 2004 4:29 PM  
**From:** Nanette Gilles

**Created By:** NVG@nrc.gov

<b>Recipients</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
exeloncorp.com	Transferred	03/19 4:29 PM
eddie.grant (Eddie Grant)		
thomas.mundy (Tom Mundy)		
nrc.gov		
owf2_po.OWFN_DO	Delivered	03/19 4:29 PM
CGM1 CC (Clifford Munson)		
EXI CC (Gene Imbro)	Opened	03/19 4:29 PM
KAM CC (Kamal Manoly)		
nrc.gov		
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	Delivered	03/19 4:29 PM
JEL CC (James Lyons)	Opened	03/19 5:32 PM
LAD CC (Laura Dudes)	Opened	03/22 8:35 AM
MLS3 CC (Michael Scott)	Opened	03/22 7:58 AM

SSK2 CC (Stephen Koenick)

Opened

03/19 5:04 PM

nrc.gov

owf5\_po.OWFN\_DO

APH CC (Ann Hodgdon)

Delivered

03/19 4:29 PM

Opened

03/19 4:51 PM

**Post Office**

**Delivered**

**Route**

owf2\_po.OWFN\_DO

03/19 4:29 PM

exeloncorp.com

owf4\_po.OWFN\_DO

03/19 4:29 PM

nrc.gov

owf5\_po.OWFN\_DO

03/19 4:29 PM

nrc.gov

nrc.gov

**Files**

**Size**

**Date & Time**

Seismic RAI 1.wpd

8871

Thursday, March 18, 2004 12:45 PM

MESSAGE

1233

Friday, March 19, 2004 4:29 PM

**Options**

**Auto Delete:**

No

**Expiration Date:**

None

**Notify Recipients:**

No

**Priority:**

Standard

**Reply Requested:**

No

**Return Notification:**

None

**Concealed Subject:**

No

**Security:**

Standard

**To Be Delivered:**

Immediate

**Status Tracking:**

Delivered & Opened

**DRAFT**  
**Exelon Early Site Permit Application**  
**Site Safety Analysis Report (SSAR)**  
**Requests for Additional Information (RAI)**

RAI 2.5.2-1

SSAR Section 2.5.2, Vibratory Ground Motion

SSAR Section 2.5.2 describes the results of Exelon's determination of ground motion at the ESP site from possible earthquakes. Regulatory Guide 1.165 (RG 1.165) provides a method acceptable to the NRC staff with respect to the probabilistic evaluations that can be conducted to address the uncertainties associated with the Safe Shutdown Earthquake (SSE) determination. RG 1.165 specifies a target or reference probability (median  $10^{-5}$  per year) that is used to determine the controlling earthquakes, subsequent site ground motion, and the SSE.

Please provide the following information related to the approach used to obtain the SSE in SSAR Section 2.5.2:

- a) The approach described in SSAR Section 2.5.2 incorporates component capacity or performance parameters into a scale factor used to compute the SSE. Please justify the incorporation of equipment performance into determination of the SSE.
- b) Please explain how the SSE derived in SSAR Section 2.5.2 is characterized by both horizontal and vertical free-field ground motion response spectra at the free ground surface.
- c) Please describe how the performance-based approach incorporates the site-specific geology of the ESP site into the determination of the SSE.

Please provide site-specific response spectra from the controlling earthquakes at the reference probability level (median  $10^{-5}$  per year) and demonstrate that the SSE envelopes the response spectra from the controlling earthquakes at the reference probability level, or justify why this information is not needed in determining the SSE. Please also justify any reference probability level used other than median  $10^{-5}$  per year. Appendix B to RG 1.165 discusses situations in which an alternative reference probability level may be appropriate.