

/1

From: Robert Shewmaker
To: Bernard White
Date: 11/7/02 10:07AM
Subject: Re: Fwd: Phone topic writeups

I believe at this point we will have to, but I don't think we should embark on that effort until we are firmly settled on the fact that we believe the aircraft model and the software being used in the analyses are acceptable.

>>> Bernard White 11/07/02 09:54AM >>>

How do you feel about Mahendras assertion that we have to evaluate these other casks for the lagre plane Scenario?

Bernie

>>> Mahendra Shah 11/05/02 12:04PM >>>

Bernie:

I have the following comments on Jeremy's Table for threat/scenarios pairs.

1. TN-68 and VSC-24 casks are required to be evaluated for the jetliner impact under SOW task 4.0 and 5.0. If we compare these casks with the casks already analyzed under task 1 (HI-STORM and NUHOMS 32P) and show similarity, or if we can make judgement regarding the behavior of these casks, we do not need to analyze in details.

2. NAC-NLI ½ cask is required to be evalauted for the jetliner impact under SOW tasks 3 and 6. If the behavior of NLI ½ can be evaluated based on the results of the NAC-UMS cask, we do not need to analyze in details.

I am not sure if the Table included in Jeremy's e-mail is clear enough. Thanks.

Mahendra

>>> Bernard White 10/28/02 11:38AM >>>

FYI for the topics that interest you.

Bernie

D/11