

Original Due Date: 08/16/2004

Ticket Number: 020040145

Document Date: 06/17/2004

NRR Received Date: 07/16/2004

From:
Michael Niederer

TACs:
MC3784

To: NRC *** YELLOW ***

For Signature of:

Routing:
Dyer
Borchardt
Sheron
Case
NRR Mailroom

Description:
Oyster Creek

Assigned To:
DLPM

Contact:
MARSH, LEDYARD (TAD) E

Special Instructions:

Assign to PDI, Carnie Holder

June 17, 2004

Michael Niederer
2 Oak Leaf Drive
Waretown, NJ 08758

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Public Affairs (OPA)
Washington, DC 20555

Subject: OYSTER CREEK

Gentlemen:

In regards to Oyster Creeks license renewal I STRONGLY urge you to deny Exelons request for the following reasons (although there are many more, these appear the most compelling).

1. The plants basic design is flawed from a security standpoint.
2. The fuel pool (119 ft) is protected by a sheet metal shell which is not defendable from attack by aircraft.
3. The evacuation plan is flawed as it was designed for a population five times smaller in 1969 then it is today (125,000 vs 530,000).
4. To date, no new major roadways have been constructed in the area. Try evacuating mass amounts of people in cars from this area in July or August.
5. The fuel pool is full. Spent fuel is now being stored in concrete vaults outside the plants protected area, although Exelons claims the vaults are safe and constantly monitored. The vaults were originally termed interim spent fuel storage. A term no longer associated with their new use, long term storage. The only place left is 100 yards from Rt. 9 and as they become full, Exelon will surely ask to build more, making the site an ever growing field of stored radioactive fuel rods. If a national dump site i.e. Yucca Mt. ever does become viable; it would take decades to transport this material for storage.

In closing, please consider the vibrant economy in and around Ocean County, One accident, one act of terrorism could destroy the economy of the area. More than 3.5 Million people live within a 50 mile radius of this plant. Do not grant an extension and allow a potential hazard to continue to threaten the safety of the second fastest growing county in the most densely populated state in the nation. The evacuation plan is inadequate.

Sincerely,



Michael Niederer