

From: "michael steinberg" <blackrainpress@hotmail.com>  
To: <millstoneEIS@nrc.gov>  
Date: Fri, May 28, 2004 3:49 PM  
Subject: CT Tumor Registry stats

4/7/04  
69FR 18409  
8

RECEIVED  
2004 JUN 23 PM 1:45  
Rules and Directives  
Branch  
USNRC

An analysis of the following report reveals that New London County, host county of the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, had the highest age adjusted incidence rate for all cancers for females, and the 2nd highest such rate for males (nearly the same as #1 Tolland County) for the period studied. In considering the causes of these cancers, the radioactive releases from Millstone must be included, as the reactors have the highest contribution to manmade radioactive pollution in the county.

In addition, the following analysis of specific kinds of cancers named in the studies reveals that New London County had the highest such rates for the following cancers:

esophagus (males); colon & rectum (females); colon-F; rectum-F; liver-M; breast-F; cervix-F; uterus-F; other female genital-F; bladder-M; bladder-F; multiple myeloma-F (tie w/Fairfield Co.)

in addition, New London Co. had the 2nd highest such rates for the following cancers: lung-M; lung-F; melanoma-M; Melonoma-F; testis-M

also, New London Co. had the 3rd highest such rates for the following cancers: oral pharynx-F (tie w/Middlesex Co.); thyroid-F; kidney-F; leukemia-F

and New London Co. had the 4th highest such rates for the following cancers:stomach-F; pancreas (F); colon & rectum-M; colon-M; prostate-M; non-Hodgkins lymphoma-F; multiple myeloma-M

Of 39 types of cancers, including those common to both genders, New London County was had the highest such rates for 12, 2nd highest for 6, 3rd highest for 5 and 4th highest for 7. Thus New London County had the highest, 2nd highest, 3rd highest, and 4th highest rates in 30 of the 39 cancers. There are eight counties in Connecticut.

Because of the strong possibility of Millstone's radioactive emissions contributing to the causes of these high rates of cancers, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, in its mission of protecting the public's health and safety, should not grant Dominion Nuclear Connecticut a license to operate Millstone Units 2 and 3 for an additional 20 years each. To do so would recklessly endanger and another entire generation in New London County and beyond.

Michael Steinberg, author of Millstone and Me: Sex, Lies and Radiation in Southeastern Connecticut  
Niantic, Connecticut

Note: For easier reading of the report below, go to any search engine and search for Connecticut Tumor Registry. Once on the main screen, scroll down and you will find this report listed. To access it, click on the title.

Cancer Incidence in Connecticut Counties,  
1995-99  
State of Connecticut  
Department of Public Health  
Connecticut Tumor Registry  
410 Capitol Avenue, MS# 13TMR  
P.O. Box 340308  
Hartford, CT 06134-0308  
Release Date: January, 2004  
CANCER INCIDENCE IN CONNECTICUT COUNTIES, 1995-99  
CONNECTICUT TUMOR REGISTRY

F-REIDS=ADM

Call = R. Emck (RLE)

Template-ADM-013

CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH  
P.O. BOX 340308  
410 CAPITOL AVENUE, MS# 13TMR  
HARTFORD, CT 06134-0308  
JULY 2003

This work was supported in part by Contract N01-PC-67005 between the National Cancer Institute and the Connecticut Department of Public Health. Requests for further information, and questions about this report, should be directed to P. D. Sullivan at the Connecticut Tumor Registry (telephone 860-509-7167)

Table of Contents

Technical notes 4

Tables

(1) All Sites 6

(2) Oral Cavity, Pharynx 7

(3) Esophagus, Stomach 8

(4) Pancreas 8

(5) Colon, Rectum 10

(6) Colon 11

(7) Rectum 12

(8) Liver, Gallbladder 13

(9) Lung, Bronchus, Trachea, Larynx 14

(10) Melanoma, Other Skin Tumors 15

(11) Female Breast, Prostate, Testis 16

(12) Female Genital 17

(13) Thyroid, Connective Tissue 18

(14) Kidney 19

(15) Bladder 20

(16) Brain, Eye, Other Nervous System 21

(17) Lymphoma 22

(18) Leukemia, Multiple Myeloma 23

Appendix Tables

A-1 Connecticut Population By County 24

A-2 Connecticut Counties by Towns 25-26

4

Technical Notes

This is the third monograph on cancer incidence in Connecticut by county of residence. The two earlier monographs covered year of diagnosis 1997, and years of diagnosis 1995-98, respectively. Data reported to the Connecticut Tumor Registry for diagnoses in 1995-99 are the basis of this report on invasive (malignant) cancers diagnosed among Connecticut residents. Incidence rates by sex and cancer site or type are shown by county. The percentage of invasive cancers diagnosed at a localized stage is also included. The technical notes listed below provide some explanation for the data presented.

1. Information on cancer registration in Connecticut, including sources of cancer reports, can be found in other monographs on this website.
2. The "crude" incidence rate, without adjustment for the age distribution of the populations involved, are calculated as the total number of cancers diagnosed at all ages combined, divided by the total population of the state, expressed as a rate per 100,000 population.  
Incidence rate = (new cancers / population) x 100,000.

The "age-standardized" (also called "age-adjusted") incidence rate is a weighted average of the age-specific incidence rates, where the weights are the proportions of persons in the corresponding age groups of a standard million population. This allows comparison of rates by time period and geographic area, where the age distribution of the populations compared may differ. For this monograph, rates were calculated by using data and computer programs on a compact disk issued in April 2002, by the National Cancer Institute. The 1970 U.S. standard million population was used, which allows comparison with age-standardized

rates in previous monographs on incidence rates by county.

The 1970 standard was used in reports from the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Program of registries until 2002. The Connecticut Tumor Registry is part of the SEER Program, and definitions of the technical terms used in this report may be found in documents (including the annual report titled "SEER Cancer Statistics Review") available on the SEER website (<http://seer.cancer.gov> or <http://cancer.gov>).

3. Cancer incidence rates fluctuate from year to year. Average annual incidence rates are shown in this monograph. Standard errors of these age-adjusted rates were calculated as a measure of the statistical or sampling variability of the rate (<http://seer.cancer.gov>). Data are not shown if the standard error (SE) of the rate was 20% or more of the rate. That is, if the quantity:

$[(SE \text{ of rate/rate}) \text{ times } 100]$

is 20% or greater, data are not shown. Data for males and females were sometimes combined ("T" for total), and data for several counties were combined, to obtain more reliable rates for certain sites/types of cancer. SEs were used to construct 95% confidence limits for the average-annual age-adjusted rates. If two rates have confidence limits that do not overlap, the probability that the difference in the rates is due to chance alone is less than 5%, but chance may still be involved as well as inaccuracies in the population estimates.

5

4. Official U.S. Census Bureau projections of the Connecticut population (by age and sex) made after the 1990 census, but before the 2000 census, were available at the time the work was done for this monograph. The populations projections used in this monograph were also used in reports from the National Cancer Institute's SEER Program for many years.

Population estimation is a complex and difficult task, and estimates are revised periodically by the Census Bureau. Future monographs from the registry will use revised estimates. Revised population estimates will result in greater changes in estimated incidence rates for some counties than others, so that the reader is advised to examine future monographs for revised cancer rates by county.

5. Denominators for data on proportion of invasive cancers diagnosed at the localized stage included stage unknown. The proportion of unknown stage is small proportion for most sites (for example, 2% for breast), as shown in other registry monographs.

6. This monograph includes only invasive ("malignant") cancers, as also reported in SEER monographs (<http://seer.cancer.gov>). Bladder cancers reported as "in situ" (that is, not penetrating deeper layers of tissue, and therefore unlikely to spread) are routinely re-coded to invasive for all reports from the SEER Program, due to difficulties in distinguishing "in situ" from invasive bladder cancers.

7. Lymphomas were subdivided into nodal (i.e., involving lymph nodes) and

extranodal groups (i.e., outside the lymph nodes. These groups are defined by site codes in the coding system used by the registry, which was the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, Version 2? (or ICD-O-2?). Details may be found in other monographs, including those from the SEER Program (<http://seer.cancer.gov>).

Reference

Percy C, Van Holten V, Muir C. International Classification of Diseases for Oncology.

Second Edition. World Health Organization. Geneva, Switzerland, 1990.

6

Table 1

Incidence Rates/100,000 of Invasive Tumors, by County, Sex, With Percent Localized, 1995-99; All Cancer Combined (Site Codes 00.0-80.9)

1

-----Age-adjusted Rate-----

Crude 95% Confidence

3 % Localized

County Sex Tumors Rate Observed

2

Lower Upper

4

---

Fairfield M 11332 562.6 488.8 479.7 498.0 50.8

F 11284 521.9 376.1 368.8 383.5 45.4

Hartford M 11842 594.1 503.2 494.0 512.6 49.4

F 11291 525.0 372.2

5

364.9 379.6 45.7

New Haven M 10825 567.2 488.9 479.6 498.5 50.5

F 11584 563.1 403.6

6

395.8 411.6 49.4

N

New London M 3305 527.2 507.1 489.7 525.0 49.4

F 3344 538.4 417.3

6

402.5 432.6 47.5

Litchfield M 2476 556.9 484.5 465.2 504.7 50.2

F 2376 518.3 377.8 361.7 394.7 47.9

Middlesex M 1907 522.3 484.6 462.7 507.5 49.8

F 1997 525.8 389.8 371.7 408.9 47.4

Tolland M 1535 469.0 508.3 482.7 535.0 52.8

F 1329 407.6 368.4 347.9 389.9 47.6

Windham M 1278 497.6 496.4 469.0 525.1 44.8

F 1243 465.1 372.8 351.0 395.8 44.7

Conn.

7

M 44511 560.8 493.9 489.2 498.6 50.0

F 44449 527.7 384.6 380.8 388.5 46.9

1

ICD-O-2 site code shown in parentheses. Includes in situ bladder tumors, excludes epithelial skin tumors for sites other than genital.

2

Age-adjusted directly to the U.S. 1970 standard million.

3

Estimated limits in the range of variation from the observed age-adjusted rate expected at a probability of 95%.

4

Percent localized based on all stages of invasive tumors.

5

The 95% confidence limits are outside those of the Conn. age-adjusted rate.

6

The 99% confidence limits (not shown) are outside those of the Conn. age-adjusted rate.

7

Includes 12 tumors- 11 male and 1 female- for state residents, county not stated.

7

Table 2

Incidence Rates/100,000 of Invasive Tumors, by County, Sex, With Percent Localized,

1995-99; Oral Cavity, Pharynx (00.0-14.8)

----Age-adjusted Rate----

Crude 95% Confidence

2

% Loca-

County Sex Tumors Rate Observed

1

Lower Upper lized

3

---

Fairfield M	318	15.8	13.4	12.0	15.1	31.1
Hartford M	333	16.7	14.6	13.1	16.3	27.0
New Haven M	290	15.2	14.0	12.4	15.8	31.4
New London M	106	16.9	16.4	13.4	20.0	40.6
Litchfield M	60	13.5	12.8	9.7	16.8	33.3
Middlesex M	52	14.2	13.4	9.9	17.9	36.5
Tolland M	42	12.8	13.5	9.7	18.6	33.3
Windham M	42	16.4	16.7	12.0	22.9	26.2
Conn. M	1243	15.7	14.1	13.3	14.9	31.1
Fairfield F	183	8.5	6.0	5.1	7.0	35.5
Hartford F	163	7.6	5.6	4.7	6.6	37.4
New Haven F	164	8.0	6.0	5.0	7.1	47.0
New London F	44	7.1	5.6	4.0	7.8	52.3
Litchfield F	37	8.1	6.4	4.4	9.4	37.8
Other Three F	54	5.6	4.3	3.1	5.8	35.2
Counties						
Conn. F	645	7.7	5.7	5.2	6.2	40.2

1

Age-adjusted directly to the U.S. 1970 standard million.

2

Estimated limits in the range of variation from the observed age-adjusted rate expected at a probability of 95%.

3

Percent localized based on all stages of invasive tumors.

8

Table 3

Incidence Rates/100,000 of Invasive Tumors, by County, Sex, With Percent Localized,

1995-99; Stomach, Esophagus

----Age-adjusted Rate----

Crude 95% Confidence

2

% Loca-

County Sex Tumors Rate Observed

1

Lower Upper lized

3

Stomach (16.0-16.9)

Fairfield M 256 12.7 10.7 9.4 12.1 21.9

Hartford M 293 14.7 12.1 10.7 13.6 21.2

New Haven M 277 14.5 12.0 10.6 13.6 26.4

New London M 44 7.0 6.4

4

4.7 8.8 27.3

Litchfield M 52 11.7 10.1 7.5 13.6 23.1

Middlesex M 32 8.8 8.2 5.5 11.8 21.9

Other Two M 45 7.7 7.9 5.7 10.7 22.2

Counties

Conn. M 1000

5

12.6 10.8 10.1 11.5 23.2

Fairfield F 163 7.5 4.6 3.9 5.5 26.4

Hartford F 180 8.4 4.8 4.1 5.7 22.2

New Haven F 190 9.2 5.2 4.4 6.2 28.4

New London F 37 6.0 4.4 3.0 6.3 18.9

Litchfield F 33 7.2 4.0 2.6 6.3 21.2

Other Three F 39 4.0 3.0 2.0 4.3 23.1

Counties

Conn. F 642 7.6 4.6 4.2 5.0 24.9

Esophagus (15.0-15.9)

Fairfield M 180 8.9 7.8 6.7 9.1 22.8

Hartford M 189 9.5 8.2 7.0 9.5 19.0

New Haven M 178 9.3 8.0 6.9 9.4 25.8

New London M 60 9.6 9.5 7.2 12.4 20.0

Litchfield M 40 9.0 8.1 5.8 11.4 32.5

Middlesex M 33 9.0 8.8 6.0 12.7 42.4

Other Two M 42 7.2 7.7 5.5 10.5 40.5

Counties

Conn. M 722 9.1 8.1 7.5 8.8 24.8

Fairfield F 69 3.2 2.3 1.8 3.1 15.9

Hartford F 57 2.7 1.7 1.2 2.3 28.1

New Haven F 65 3.2 2.2 1.6 2.8 27.7

Other Five F 59 2.9 2.2 1.6 2.9 30.5

Counties

Conn. F 250 3.0 2.1 1.8 2.4 25.2

1

Age-adjusted directly to the U.S. 1970 standard million.

2

Estimated limits in the range of variation from the observed age-adjusted rate expected at a probability of 95%.

3

Percent localized based on all stages of invasive tumors.

4

The 99% confidence limits (not shown) are outside those of the Conn. age-adjusted rate.

5

Includes 1 tumor for a state resident, county not stated.

9

Table 4

Incidence Rates/100,000 of Invasive Tumors, by County, Sex, With Percent Localized,

1995-99; Pancreas (25.0-25.9)

----Age-adjusted Rate----

Crude 95% Confidence

2

% Loca-

County Sex Tumors Rate Observed

1

Lower Upper lized

3

Fairfield M	254	12.6	10.7	9.4	12.1	4.7
Hartford M	268	13.4	11.3	9.9	12.8	5.6
New Haven M	265	13.9	11.9	10.5	13.5	6.8
New London M	58	9.3	8.8	6.7	11.5	13.8
Litchfield M	65	14.6	12.1	9.3	15.8	12.3
Middlesex M	40	11.0	10.0	7.1	14.0	10.0
Tolland M	33	10.1	10.7	7.3	15.3	9.1
Windham M	35	13.6	13.6	9.4	19.1	5.7
Conn. M	1018	12.8	11.1	10.4	11.8	6.9
Fairfield F	296	13.7	8.9	7.9	10.1	7.1
Hartford F	286	13.3	8.1	7.1	9.2	7.7
New Haven F	272	13.2	8.3	7.3	9.5	6.6
New London F	77	12.4	8.7	6.8	11.3	7.8
Litchfield F	66	14.4	9.1	6.9	12.3	7.6
Middlesex F	46	12.1	7.8	5.5	11.1	6.5
Tolland F	39	12.0	9.9	6.9	14.0	7.7
Windham F	29	10.8	7.3	4.8	11.2	6.9
Conn. F	1111	13.2	8.5	7.9	9.0	7.2

1

Age-adjusted directly to the U.S. 1970 standard million.

2

Estimated limits in the range of variation from the observed age-adjusted rate expected at a probability of 95%.

3

Percent localized based on all stages of invasive tumors.

10

Table 5

Incidence Rates/100,000 of Invasive Tumors, by County, Sex, With Percent Localized,

1995-99; Colon and Rectum (18.0-21.8, 26.0)

1

----Age-adjusted Rate----

Crude 95% Confidence

3

% Loca-

County Sex Tumors Rate Observed

2

Lower Upper lized

4

Fairfield M 1276 63.4 53.7 50.8 56.8 38.7  
 F 1413 65.4 41.8 39.5 44.2 36.3  
 Hartford M 1357 68.1 55.9 52.9 59.0 38.9  
 F 1432 66.6 41.2 39.0 43.7 35.5  
 New Haven M 1447 75.8 63.1

5

59.8 66.6 43.5  
 F 1528 74.3 45.2 42.8 47.8 42.9

N

ew London M 380 60.6 57.3 51.6 63.5 38.2  
 F 439 70.7 47.6 43.0 52.8 35.5

L

itchfield M 318 71.5 60.2 53.6 67.6 38.7  
 F 319 69.6 45.0 39.8 51.0 36.7

M

iddlesex M 222 60.8 54.4 47.4 62.4 36.0  
 F 218 57.4 36.3 31.2 42.3 33.5

T

olland M 164 50.1 53.5 45.5 62.5 45.1  
 F 127 39.0 33.4

5

27.6 40.4 33.9  
 Windham M 157 61.1 60.1 50.9 70.6 39.5  
 F 168 62.9 45.2 38.1 53.5 41.7  
 Conn. M 5321 67.0 57.4 55.8 59.0 40.1  
 F 5644 67.0 42.7 41.5 43.9 37.8

1

Rectum includes rectosigmoid, anal canal, anus NOS (not otherwise specified).

2

Age-adjusted directly to the U.S. 1970 standard million.

3

Estimated limits in the range of variation from the observed age-adjusted rate expected at a probability of 95%.

4

Percent localized based on all stages of invasive tumors.

5

The 95% confidence limits are outside those of the Conn. age-adjusted rate.

11

Table 6  
 Incidence Rates/100,000 of Invasive Tumors, by County, Sex, With Percent Localized,

1995-99; Colon (18.0-18.9, 26.0)

-----Age-adjusted Rate-----

Crude 95% Confidence

2

% Loca-

County Sex Tumors Rate Observed

1

Lower Upper lized

3

---

Fairfield M 894 44.4 37.4 35.0 40.0 35.8  
 F 1058 48.9 30.6 28.6 32.6 34.1  
 Hartford M 903 45.3 36.7 34.3 39.3 35.1  
 F 1033 48.0 28.7 26.9 30.8 32.5

New Haven M 995 52.1 42.9 40.2 45.8 40.9  
 F 1141 55.5 32.9 30.9 35.1 40.1  
 New London M 265 42.3 39.3 34.7 44.5 34.0  
 F 309 49.8 33.3 29.5 37.7 30.7  
 Litchfield M 220 49.5 41.4 36.0 47.7 32.7  
 F 233 50.8 31.3 27.1 36.4 33.0  
 Middlesex M 156 42.7 38.9 32.9 45.8 32.1  
 F 174 45.8 28.6 24.1 33.9 32.8  
 Tolland M 108 33.0 35.5 29.0 43.2 43.5  
 F 93 28.5 23.5

4

18.7 29.3 31.2  
 Windham M 105 40.9 40.3 32.8 49.1 41.0  
 F 127 47.5 32.2 26.4 39.2 41.7  
 Conn. M 3646 45.9 39.0 37.8 40.3 36.9  
 F 4168 49.5 30.6 29.6 31.7 35.1

1

Age-adjusted directly to the U.S. 1970 standard million.

2

Estimated limits in the range of variation from the observed  
 age-adjusted rate expected at a probability of 95%.

3

Percent localized based on all stages of invasive tumors.

4

The 95% confidence limits are outside those of the Conn. age-adjusted rate.

12

Table 7

Incidence Rates/100,000 of Invasive Tumors, by County, Sex, With Percent  
 Localized,  
 1995-99; Rectum (19.9-21.8)

1

-----Age-adjusted Rate-----

Crude 95% Confidence

3

% Loca-

County Sex Tumors Rate Observed

2

Lower Upper lized

4

---

Fairfield M 382 19.0 16.3 14.7 18.1 45.6  
 F 355 16.4 11.3 10.1 12.6 42.8  
 Hartford M 454 22.8 19.2 17.4 21.1 46.5  
 F 399 18.6 12.5 11.2 13.9 43.4  
 New Haven M 452 23.7 20.2 18.4 22.2 49.3  
 F 387 18.8 12.3 11.0 13.7 51.2  
 New London M 115 18.3 18.0 14.8 21.7 47.8  
 F 130 20.9 14.3 11.8 17.4 46.9  
 Litchfield M 98 22.0 18.8 15.2 23.2 52.0  
 F 86 18.8 13.7 10.8 17.5 46.5  
 Middlesex M 66 18.1 15.5 11.9 20.1 45.5  
 F 44 11.6 7.8  
 5  
 5.4 11.1 36.4  
 Tolland M 56 17.1 18.0 13.5 23.6 48.2  
 F 34 10.4 10.0 6.8 14.4 41.2

Windham M 52 20.3 19.8 14.7 26.3 36.5  
 F 41 15.3 12.9 9.1 18.2 41.5

C

onn. M 1675 21.1 18.4 17.5 19.3 47.2  
 F 1476 17.5 12.0 11.4 12.7 45.5

1

Includes rectosigmoid, anal canal, anus NOS (not otherwise specified).

2

Age-adjusted directly to the U.S. 1970 standard million.

3

Estimated limits in the range of variation from the observed age-adjusted rate expected at a probability of 95%.

4

Percent localized based on all stages of invasive tumors.

5

The 95% confidence limits are outside those of the Conn. age-adjusted rate.

13

Table 8

Incidence Rates/100,000 of Invasive Tumors, by County, Sex, With Percent Localized,

1995-99; Liver, Gallbladder

----Age-adjusted Rate-----

Crude 95% Confidence

2

% Loca-

County Sex Tumors Rate Observed

1 Lower Upper lized

3

Liver, Intrahepatic Bile Duct (22.0-22.1)

Fairfield M 117 5.8 5.1 4.2 6.2 19.7

Hartford M 155 7.8 6.7 5.7 7.9 26.5

New Haven M 142 7.4 6.6 5.5 7.8 29.6

New London M 45 7.2 7.0 5.1 9.5 35.6

Other Four M 75 5.4 5.2 4.1 6.6 33.3

Counties

Conn. M 534 6.7 6.0 5.5 6.6 27.5

Fairfield F 66 3.1 2.1 1.6 2.7 23.3

Hartford F 52 2.4 1.5 1.1 2.1 17.3

New Haven F 87 4.2 2.6 2.1 3.4 24.1

Other Five F 53 2.6 2.0 1.4 2.7 30.2

Counties

Conn. F 258 3.1 2.0 1.8 2.3 23.3

Gallbladder, Other and Unspec. Biliary Tract (23.9-24.9)

Fairfield M 55 2.7 2.3 1.7 3.0 21.8

Hartford M 58 2.9 2.4 1.8 3.1 13.8

New Haven M 50 2.6 2.1 1.6 2.9 28.0

Other Five M 48 2.4 2.1 1.6 2.9 22.9

Counties

Conn. M 211 2.7 2.2 1.9 2.6 21.3

Fairfield F 60 2.8 1.7 1.3 2.4 20.0

Hartford F 65 3.0 1.9 1.5 2.6 21.5

New Haven F 76 3.7 2.2 1.7 2.8 26.3

Other Five F 50 2.4 1.7 1.2 2.3 26.0

Counties

Conn. F 251 3.0 1.9 1.6 2.2 23.5

1

Age-adjusted directly to the U.S. 1970 standard million.

2

Estimated limits in the range of variation from the observed age-adjusted rate expected at a probability of 95%.

3

Percent localized based on all stages of invasive tumors.

14

Table 9

Incidence Rates/100,000 of Invasive Tumors, by County, Sex, With Percent Localized,

1995-99; Lung, Bronchus and Trachea, Larynx

----Age-adjusted Rate----

Crude 95% Confidence

2

% Loca-

County Sex Tumors Rate Observed

1

Lower Upper lized

3

Lung, Bronchus, Trachea (33.9-34.9)

Fairfield M 1586 78.7 68.5

4

65.2 72.1 13.2

Hartford M 1782 89.4 75.5 72.0 79.2 15.0

New Haven M 1741 91.2 78.4 74.7 82.3 18.0

New London M 542 86.5 84.6

4

77.6 92.2 11.3

Litchfield M 356 80.1 69.5 62.3 77.5 15.2

Middlesex M 307 84.1 78.1 69.5 87.7 15.6

Tolland M 229 70.0 77.2 67.4 88.2 11.4

Windham M 228 88.8 90.8

4

79.2 103.8 18.4

Conn. M 6771 85.3 75.2 73.4 77.1 15.1

F

Fairfield F 1374 63.6 45.2 42.8 47.9 17.8

Hartford F 1438 66.9 47.0 44.5 49.7 17.7

New Haven F 1555 75.6 54.3

4

51.4 57.3 21.9

New London F 427 68.7 53.1 48.0 58.9 19.4

Litchfield F 281 61.3 44.5 39.1 50.6 19.9

Middlesex F 242 63.7 48.2 42.0 55.4 14.5

Tolland F 183 56.1 53.5 45.7 62.4 18.0

Windham F 164 61.4 52.4 44.3 61.9 15.2

Conn. F 5664 67.2 48.9 47.6 50.3 18.9

Larynx (32.0-32.9)

Fairfield M 150 7.5 6.6 5.5 7.7 66.0

Hartford M 173 8.7 7.5 6.4 8.7 67.6

New Haven M 183 9.6 8.6 7.3 9.9 61.2

New London M 52 8.3 7.9 5.9 10.5 71.2

Litchfield M 37 8.3 7.7 5.4 10.9 62.2

Middlesex M 31 8.5 7.9 5.3 11.5 77.4

Tolland M 19 \* \* \* \* \*

Windham M 28 10.9 10.6 7.0 15.6 46.4

Conn. M 673 8.5 7.6 7.0 8.2 64.6

Fairfield F 54 2.5 1.9 1.4 2.6 46.3

Hartford F 49 2.3 1.8 1.3 2.5 53.1

New Haven F 71 3.5 2.6 2.0 3.3 60.6

Other Five F 46 2.2 2.0 1.4 2.7 39.1

Counties

Conn. F 220 2.6 2.1 1.8 2.4 50.9

1

Age-adjusted directly to the U.S. 1970 standard million.

2

Estimated limits in the range of variation from the observed age-adjusted rate expected at a probability of 95%.

3

Percent localized based on all stages of invasive tumors.

4

The 95% confidence limits are outside those of the Conn. age-adjusted rate.

\* The standard error of the age-adjusted rate is 20% or higher.

15

Table 10

Incidence Rates/100,000 of Invasive Tumors, by County, Sex, With Percent Localized,

1995-99; Melanoma, Other Tumors of The Skin (44.0-44.9)

-----Age-adjusted Rate---

Crude 95% Confidence

2

% Loca-

County Sex Tumors Rate Observed

1

Lower Upper lized

3

Melanoma

4

Fairfield M 489 24.3 20.9 19.0 22.9 85.1

Hartford M 529 26.5 22.6 20.7 24.7 90.4

New Haven M 440 23.1 20.2 18.3 22.3 89.1

New London M 172 27.4 25.9 22.1 30.2 86.0

Litchfield M 126 28.3 24.0 19.8 29.0 86.5

Middlesex M 83 22.7 20.1 15.9 25.3 89.2

Tolland M 91 27.8 29.0

5

23.1 35.9 87.9

Windham M 50 19.5 18.6 13.7 24.8 78.0

Conn. M 1980 25.0 21.9 20.9 22.9 87.7

Fairfield F 394 18.2 13.7 12.4 15.3 85.5

Hartford F 380 17.7 13.6 12.2 15.1 92.9

New Haven F 351 17.1 13.4 11.9 15.0 93.2

New London F 148 23.8 19.3

5

16.2 23.0 90.5

Litchfield F 72 15.7 11.8 9.0 15.4 93.1

Middlesex F 91 24.0 19.8

5

15.8 24.8 93.4

Tolland F 63 19.3 16.9 12.8 22.0 88.9  
 Windham F 51 19.1 16.7 12.3 22.5 84.3

C

onn. F 1550 18.4 14.3 13.6 15.1 90.5  
 Other Skin Tumors

6

Fairfield M 51 2.5 2.1 1.6 2.8 43.1  
 Hartford M 77 3.9 3.0 2.4 3.8 36.4  
 New Haven M 73 3.8 3.0 2.4 3.9 41.1  
 Other Five M 45 2.2 2.0 1.4 2.7 55.6

Counties

Conn. M 246 3.1 2.5 2.2 2.9 42.7

F

airfield F 42 1.9 1.3 0.9 1.9 52.4  
 Hartford F 45 2.1 1.5 1.1 2.2 60.0  
 New Haven F 45 2.2 1.4 1.0 2.0 80.0  
 Other Five F 30 1.5 1.1 0.7 1.6 80.0

Counties

Conn. F 162 1.9 1.3 1.1 1.6 67,3

1

Age-adjusted directly to the U.S. 1970 standard million.

2

Estimated limits in the range of variation from the observed age-adjusted rate expected at a probability of 95%.

3

Percent localized based on all stages of invasive tumors.

4

ICD-O-2 morphology 8720-8790.

5

The 95% confidence limits are outside those of the Conn. age-adjusted rate.

6

Excludes epithelial type histologies and skin tumors of genital organs.

16

Table 11

Incidence Rates/100,000 of Invasive Tumors, by County, Sex, With Percent Localized,

1995-99; Female Breast, Prostate, Testis

----Age-adjusted Rate----

Crude 95% Confidence

2

% Loca-

County Sex Tumors Rate Observed

1

Lower Upper lized

3

Breast (50.0-50.9)

Fairfield F 3542 163.8 122.1 117.9 126.4 61.8  
 Hartford F 3538 164.5 123.0 118.8 127.4 64.4  
 New Haven F 3430 166.7 125.3 120.9 129.9 66.4  
 New London F 993 159.9 129.3 121.0 138.1 64.9  
 Litchfield F 781 170.4 129.0 119.6 139.1 65.4  
 Middlesex F 616 162.2 124.7 114.4 135.8 66.1  
 Tolland F 434 133.1 122.8 111.0 135.6 64.3  
 Windham F 366 136.9 108.3

4

96.8 121.0 66.9  
 Conn. F 13700 162.7 123.6 121.5 125.8 64.5  
 Prostate (61.9)  
 Fairfield M 3608 179.1 158.5  
 5  
 153.3 163.8 77.9  
 Hartford M 3426 171.9 148.1 143.1 153.3 78.0  
 New Haven M 2653 139.0 121.0  
 5  
 116.4 125.8 78.5  
 New London M 916 146.1 144.3 135.0 154.1 77.0  
 Litchfield M 629 141.5 126.1  
 4  
 116.2 136.7 74.4  
 Middlesex M 508 139.1 133.7 122.2 146.2 76.4  
 Tolland M 474 144.8 163.2  
 4  
 148.7 178.9 82.3  
 Windham M 287 111.8 111.7  
 5  
 98.9 125.8 73.9  
 Conn. M 12511  
 6  
 157.6 141.6 139.1 144.1 77.9  
 Testis (62.0-62.9)  
 Fairfield M 99 4.9 4.1 3.3 5.0 67.7  
 Hartford M 122 6.1 5.2 4.3 6.3 71.3  
 New Haven M 114 6.0 5.2 4.2 6.3 75.4  
 New London M 48 7.7 6.7 4.9 9.1 62.5  
 Litchfield M 32 7.2 7.1 4.7 10.5 81.3  
 Other Three M 65 6.8 5.6 4.3 7.3 64.6  
 Counties  
 Conn. M 480 6.1 5.2 4.7 5.7 70.4  
 1  
 Age-adjusted directly to the U.S. 1970 standard million.  
 2  
 Estimated limits in the range of variation from the observed age-adjusted rate expected at a probability of 95%.  
 3  
 Percent localized based on all stages of invasive tumors.  
 4  
 The 95% confidence limits are outside those of the Conn. age-adjusted rate.  
 5  
 The 99% confidence limits (not shown) are outside those of the Conn. age-adjusted rate.  
 6  
 Includes 10 tumors for state residents, county not stated.  
 17  
 Table 12  
 Incidence Rates/100,000 of Invasive Tumors, by County, Sex, With Percent Localized,  
 1995-99; Uterus, Ovary, Other Female Genital  
 -----Age-adjusted Rate-----  
 Crude 95% Confidence  
 2

% Loca-  
County Tumors Rate Observed

1

Lower Upper lized

3

---

Uterine Cervix (53.0-53.9)

Fairfield 201 9.3 7.4 6.3 8.5 48.3

Hartford 194 9.0 7.0 6.0 8.1 50.0

New Haven 217 10.6 8.3 7.2 9.6 55.3

New London 68 11.0 9.0 6.9 11.6 57.4

Litchfield 40 8.7 6.7 4.7 9.7 60.0

Middlesex 34 9.0 7.1 4.8 10.4 50.0

Other Two 37 6.2 5.1 3.5 7.2 56.8

Counties

Conn. 791 9.4 7.4 6.9 8.0 52.5

Corpus (54.0-54.9)

Fairfield 678 31.4 23.9 22.0 25.8 72.9

Hartford 594 27.6 20.7

5

18.9 22.5 72.9

New Haven 734 35.7 28.2

4

26.1 30.5 74.3

New London 233 37.5 30.8

4

26.8 35.4 72.5

Litchfield 132 28.8 23.0 19.1 27.8 78.8

Middlesex 138 36.3 30.7 25.6 36.9 69.6

Tolland 79 24.2 23.6 18.6 29.8 75.9

Windham 67 25.1 24.1 18.5 31.1 65.7

Conn. 2655 31.5 24.8 23.8 25.8 73.3

Uterus nos (55.9)

Conn. 66 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.7 15.2

Ovary (56.9)

Fairfield 409 18.9 14.4 13.0 16.0 18.8

Hartford 391 18.2 13.7 12.3 15.2 23.3

New Haven 380 18.5 14.5 13.0 16.1 27.1

New London 108 17.4 14.0 11.4 17.2 23.1

Litchfield 78 17.0 13.0 10.1 16.8 19.2

Middlesex 78 20.5 15.2 11.8 19.5 26.9

Tolland 40 12.3 11.3 7.9 15.8 27.5

Windham 48 18.0 14.8 10.8 20.2 27.1

Conn. 1532 18.2 14.1 13.3 14.8 23.2

Other Female Genital (51.0-52.9, 57.0-58.9)

6

Fairfield 99 4.6 3.2 2.5 4.0 43.4

Hartford 115 5.4 3.6 2.9 4.4 56.5

New Haven 99 4.8 3.3 2.7 4.2 54.5

New London 40 6.4 4.2 2.9 6.1 50.0

Other Four 53 3.7 2.5 1.8 3.3 62.3

Counties

Conn. 406 4.8 3.3 2.9 3.6 53.0

1

Age-adjusted directly to the U.S. 1970 standard million.

2

Estimated limits in the range of variation from the observed age-adjusted rate expected at a probability of 95%.

3

Percent localized based on all stages of invasive tumors.

4

The 95% confidence limits are outside those of the Conn. age-adjusted rate.

5

The 99% confidence limits (omitted) are outside those of the Conn age-adjusted rate.

6

Includes vulva, vagina, fallopian tube, ligaments, sites other, nos.

18

Table 13

Incidence Rates/100,000 of Invasive Tumors, by County, Sex, With Percent Localized,

1995-99; Thyroid, Connective Tissue

----Age-adjusted Rate----

Crude 95% Confidence

2

% Loca-

County Sex Tumors Rate Observed

1

Lower Upper lized

3

---

Thyroid (73.9)

Fairfield M 75 3.7 3.2 2.5 4.1 44.0

Hartford M 73 3.7 3.1 2.4 4.0 56.2

New Haven M 89 4.7 4.1 3.3 5.2 56.2

Other Five M 76 3.8 3.4 2.6 4.3 48.7

Counties

Conn. M 313 3.9 3.5 3.1 3.9 51.4

Fairfield F 194 9.0 7.2 6.2 8.4 60.8

Hartford F 183 8.5 7.1 6.0 8.3 62.8

New Haven F 208 10.1 8.5 7.3 9.8 71.6

New London F 50 8.1 7.4 5.4 10.0 66.0

Litchfield F 61 13.3 11.4

4

8.5 15.2 78.7

Middlesex F 32 8.4 6.4 4.3 9.6 71.9

Other Two F 51 8.6 7.0 5.1 9.4 76.5

Counties

Conn. F 780

5

9.3 7.7 7.1 8.3 67.3

Connective Tissue (49.0-49.9)

Fairfield M 57 2.8 2.6 1.9 3.4 50.9

Hartford M 63 3.2 2.6 2.0 3.4 44.4

New Haven M 47 2.5 2.2 1.6 3.0 66.0

Other Five M 59 2.9 2.8 2.1 3.6 50.8

Counties

Conn. M 226 2.9 2.6 2.2 2.9 52.2

Fairfield F 55 2.5 1.8 1.4 2.5 49.1

Hartford F 46 2.1 1.6 1.1 2.2 37.0

New Haven F 45 2.2 1.8 1.3 2.6 53.3

Other Five F 50 2.4 2.1 1.5 2.9 40.0

Counties

Conn. F 196 2.3 1.8 1.6 2.1 44.9

1

Age-adjusted directly to the U.S. 1970 standard million.

2

Estimated limits in the range of variation from the observed age-adjusted rate expected at a probability of 95%.

3

Percent localized based on all stages of invasive tumors.

4

The 95% confidence limits are outside those of the Conn. age-adjusted rate.

5

Includes 1 tumor for a state resident, county not stated.

19

Table 14

Incidence Rates/100,000 of Invasive Tumors, by County, Sex, With Percent Localized, 1995-99; Kidney (64.9-66.9)

1

-----Age-adjusted Rate-----

Crude 95% Confidence

3 % Loca-

County Sex Tumors Rate Observed

2

Lower Upper lized

4

Fairfield M 372 18.5 16.0 14.4 17.7 55.9  
 Hartford M 322 16.2 13.9 12.4 15.6 55.6  
 New Haven M 366 19.2 17.2 15.4 19.1 56.3  
 New London M 90 14.4 13.6 10.9 16.9 56.7  
 Litchfield M 83 18.7 16.6 13.2 20.9 57.8  
 Middlesex M 65 17.8 16.9 13.0 21.9 63.1  
 Tolland M 43 13.1 14.4 10.4 19.6 55.8  
 Windham M 43 16.7 16.5 11.9 22.6 41.9  
 Conn. M 1384 17.4 15.5 14.7 16.4 56.0  
 Fairfield F 222 10.3 7.5 6.5 8.6 50.0  
 Hartford F 214 10.0 7.2 6.2 8.3 48.6  
 New Haven F 241 11.7 8.3 7.2 9.5 54.0  
 New London F 64 10.3 7.9 6.0 10.4 46.9  
 Litchfield F 42 9.2 7.2 5.0 10.4 52.4  
 Middlesex F 39 10.3 7.3 5.0 10.5 59.0  
 Tolland F 27 \* \* \* \* \*  
 Windham F 35 13.1 10.9 7.4 15.7 48.6  
 Conn. F 884 10.5 7.7 7.2 8.3 50.9

1

Includes renal pelvis, ureter.

2

Age-adjusted directly to the U.S. 1970 standard million.

3

Estimated limits in the range of variation from the observed age-adjusted rate expected at a probability of 95%.

4

Percent localized based on all stages of invasive tumors.

\* The standard error of the age-adjusted rate is 20% or higher.

20

Table 15  
Incidence Rates/100,000 of Invasive Tumors, by County, Sex, With Percent  
Localized,  
1995-99; Bladder (67.0-67.9)

1

-----Age-adjusted Rate-----

Crude 95% Confidence

3

% Localized,

County Sex Tumors Rate Observed

2

Lower Upper In Situ

4

---

Fairfield M	807	40.1	34.2	31.9	36.7	84.8
Hartford M	863	43.3	35.7	33.3	38.2	85.1
New Haven M	863	45.2	37.9	35.4	40.6	87.4
New London M	256	40.8	39.1	34.4	44.3	85.5
Litchfield M	208	46.8	39.0	33.7	45.0	86.1
Middlesex M	153	41.9	37.4	31.6	44.1	86.3
Tolland M	102	31.2	34.3	27.9	41.9	88.2
Windham M	98	38.2	37.7	30.5	46.3	85.7
Conn. M	3350	42.2	36.3	35.1	37.6	85.9
Fairfield F	319	14.8	10.0	8.8	11.3	86.2
Hartford F	321	14.9	9.4	8.3	10.6	84.1
New Haven F	371	18.0	11.7	10.4	13.1	87.1
New London F	113	18.2	13.3	10.8	16.3	85.0
Litchfield F	63	13.7	9.3	7.0	12.5	82.5
Middlesex F	56	14.7	10.9	8.1	14.8	87.5
Tolland F	46	14.1	11.6	8.3	16.1	87.0
Windham F	22	*****				
Conn. F	1311	15.6	10.4	9.8	11.1	85.5

1

Includes in situ tumors.

2

Age-adjusted directly to the U.S. 1970 standard million.

3

Estimated limits in the range of variation from the observed age-adjusted rate expected at a probability of 95%.

4

Percent based on all stages of bladder tumors.

\* The standard error of the age-adjusted rate is 20% or higher.

21

Table 16  
Incidence Rates/100,000 of Invasive Tumors, by County, Sex, With Percent  
Localized,  
1995-99; Eye, Brain, Other Central Nervous System

1

----Age-adjusted Rate----

Crude 95% Confidence

3

% Loca-

County Sex Tumors Rate Observed

2

Lower Upper lized

4

Brain (71.0-71.9)

Fairfield M 165 8.2 7.4 6.3 8.7 57.0  
 Hartford M 138 6.9 6.0 5.0 7.2 67.4  
 New Haven M 158 8.3 7.5 6.4 8.9 72.2  
 New London M 41 6.5 6.2 4.4 8.5 65.9  
 Litchfield M 38 8.6 7.8 5.4 11.1 63.2  
 Middlesex M 34 9.3 9.0 6.2 12.9 76.5  
 Tolland M 18 \* \* \* \* \*

Windham M 29 11.3 11.3 7.5 16.5 55.2  
 Conn. M 621 7.8 7.1 6.5 7.7 65.5

F

airfield F 116 5.4 4.4 3.6 5.4 56.0  
 Hartford F 135 6.3 5.1 4.2 6.2 62.2  
 New Haven F 123 6.0 5.2 4.3 6.4 67.5  
 New London F 31 5.0 4.0 2.7 6.0 54.8  
 Middlesex F 31 8.2 6.5 4.3 9.7 67.7  
 Other Three F 52 4.9 4.2 3.1 5.7 51.9

Counties

Conn. F 488 5.8 4.8 4.4 5.3 60.9

Eye, Other Central Nervous System (69.0-70.9, 72.0-72.9)

Fairfield M 36 1.8 1.5 1.0 2.2 50.0  
 Hartford M 31 1.6 1.4 1.0 2.1 32.3  
 New Haven M 23 \* \* \* \* \*  
 Other Five M 25 \* \* \* \* \*

Counties

Conn. M 115 1.5 1.3 1.1 1.6 51.3

F

airfield F 31 1.4 1.2 0.8 1.8 35.5  
 Hartford F 29 1.4 1.2 0.7 1.7 37.9  
 New Haven F 21 \* \* \* \* \*  
 Other Five F 29 1.4 1.2 0.8 1.9 44.8

Counties

Conn. F 110 1.3 1.1 0.9 1.3 42.7

1

Includes spinal cord, cerebral and other meninges, cranial and other nerves.

2

Age-adjusted directly to the U.S. 1970 standard million.

3

Estimated limits in the range of variation from the observed age-adjusted rate expected at a probability of 95%.

4

Percent localized based on all stages of invasive tumors.

\* The standard error of the age-adjusted rate is 20% or higher.

22

Table 17

Incidence Rates/100,000 of Invasive Tumors, by County, Sex, With Percent Localized

1995-99; Lymphomas (morphology 9590-9715)

1

----Age-adjusted Rate----

Crude 95% Confidence

3

% Loca-

County Sex Tumors Rate Observed

2

Lower Upper lized

4

---

 Non-Hodgkin's (morphology 9590-9595, 9670-9715)

Fairfield M 452 22.4 19.2 17.4 21.1 48.2

Hartford M 517 25.9 21.8 19.9 23.8 43.3

New Haven M 515 27.0 23.3 21.3 25.5 48.0

New London M 130 20.7 19.2 16.0 22.9 42.3

Litchfield M 108 24.3 21.0 17.1 25.8 40.7

Middlesex M 74 20.3 18.2 14.2 23.1 33.8

Tolland M 72 22.0 22.4 17.4 28.5 40.3

Windham M 55 21.4 21.3 16.0 28.1 50.9

Conn.-Total M 1923 24.2 21.0 20.0 21.9 45.2

Lymph nodes M 1421 17.9 15.4 14.6 16.3 34.1

Other sites M 502 6.3 5.5 5.1 6.1 76.7

Fairfield F 478 22.1 15.7 14.2 17.3 51.5

Hartford F 459 21.3 14.5 13.1 16.0 42.7

New Haven F 460 22.4 15.3 13.8 17.0 46.7

New London F 114 18.4 13.8 11.3 16.9 47.4

Litchfield F 80 17.5 12.8 10.0 16.5 41.3

Middlesex F 74 19.5 13.3 10.2 17.4 39.2

Tolland F 47 14.4 12.7 9.2 17.2 38.3

Windham F 44 16.5 13.5 9.6 18.8 45.5

Conn.-Total F 1756 20.9 14.7 14.0 15.5 46.2

Lymph nodes F 1273 15.1 10.7 10.1 11.4 35.4

Other sites F 483 5.7 4.0 3.6 4.4 74.7

## Hodgkin's (morphology 9650-9667)

Fairfield M 75 3.7 3.3 2.6 4.2 56.0

Hartford M 77 3.9 3.6 2.8 4.5 42.9

New Haven M 75 3.9 4.0 3.1 5.1 52.0

Other Five M 63 3.1 2.8 2.1 3.6 38.1

## Counties

Conn.- Total M 290 3.7 3.4 3.0 3.9 47.6

Lymph nodes M 282 3.6 3.3 3.0 3.8 46.5

Fairfield F 78 3.6 3.7 2.9 4.7 39.7

Hartford F 75 3.5 3.4 2.6 4.4 46.7

New Haven F 59 2.9 2.7 2.0 3.6 45.8

Other Five F 54 2.6 2.4 1.7 3.2 29.6

## Counties

Conn.-Total F 266 3.2 3.0 2.7 3.5 41.0

Lymph nodes F 259 3.1 2.9 2.6 3.4 41.3

1

Includes lymph nodes, (77.0-77.9), and other tumor sites of these histologies.

2

Age-adjusted directly to the U.S. 1970 standard million.

3

Estimated limits in the range of variation from the observed age-adjusted rate expected at a probability of 95%.

4

Percent localized based on all stages of invasive tumors.

23

Table 18

Incidence Rates/100,000 of Invasive Tumors, by County, Sex, With Percent Localized,

1995-99; Leukemia, Multiple Myeloma

----Age-adjusted Rate----

Crude 95% Confidence

2

% Loca-

County Sex Tumors Rate Observed

1

Lower Upper lized

3

---

Leukemia (morphology 9800-9941)

Fairfield M 293 14.6 13.0 11.5 14.7 0.0

Hartford M 353 17.7 15.2 13.6 16.9 0.0

New Haven M 253 13.3 11.4 10.0 13.0 0.0

New London M 79 12.6 11.8 9.3 14.9 0.0

Litchfield M 64 14.4 12.4 9.4 16.2 0.0

Middlesex M 53 14.5 14.6 10.8 19.3 0.0

Tolland M 42 12.8 13.6 9.7 18.7 0.0

Windham M 47 18.3 18.5 13.5 25.0 0.0

Conn. M 1184 14.9 13.3 12.6 14.1 0.0

Fairfield F 212 9.8 7.5 6.5 8.8 0.0

Hartford F 261 12.1 8.9 7.7 10.2 0.0

New Haven F 198 9.6 7.1 6.1 8.4 0.0

New London F 72 11.6 9.1 7.0 11.8 0.0

Litchfield F 55 12.0 8.9 6.4 12.2 0.0

Middlesex F 52 13.7 10.0 7.2 13.8 0.0

Tolland F 34 10.4 9.5 6.5 13.8 0.0

Windham F 28 \* \* \* \* \*

Conn. F 912 10.8 8.2 7.6 8.8 0.0

Multiple Myeloma (morphology 9731-9732)

4

F

airfield M 129 6.4 5.5 4.6 6.6 0.0

Hartford M 140 7.0 5.8 4.9 6.9 0.0

New Haven M 108 5.7 4.6 3.8 5.6 0.0

New London M 32 5.1 4.7 3.2 6.8 0.0

Litchfield M 39 8.8 7.3 5.1 10.4 0.0

Other Three M 48 5.1 5.2 3.8 6.9 0.0

Counties

Conn. M 496 6.3 5.4 4.9 5.9 0.0

F

airfield F 120 5.6 3.8 3.1 4.7 0.0

Hartford F 125 5.8 3.7 3.1 4.6 0.0

New Haven F 110 5.4 3.5 2.8 4.3 0.0

New London F 33 5.3 3.8 2.5 5.6 0.0

Other Four F 75 5.2 3.6 2.8 4.7 0.0

Counties

Conn. F 463 5.5 3.7 3.3 4.1 0.0

1

Age-adjusted directly to the U.S. 1970 standard million.

2

Estimated limits in the range of variation from the observed age-adjusted rate expected at a probability of 95%.

3

Percent localized based on all stages. Leukemias and myelomas, originating primarily in bone marrow (42.1), are systemic or remote stage at diagnosis.

4

Includes other types of plasma cell tumors.

\* The standard error of the age-adjusted rate is 20% or higher.

24

## Appendix Table A-1

Average Annual Estimated Connecticut Population,  
By County and Sex, 1995-99

1

County Male Female Total

Fairfield 402852 432402 835254

Hartford 398678 430158 828836

New Haven 381677 411462 793139

New London 125392 124228 249620

Litchfield 88919 91693 180612

Middlesex 73030 75968 148998

Tolland 65456 65220 130676

Windham 51366 53457 104823

Connecticut 1587370 1684588 3271958

1

Estimates based on annual projections from the 1990  
census from the U.S. Census Bureau.

25

## Appendix Table A-2

Connecticut Counties by Town

Fairfield Hartford New Haven

1. Bethel Avon Ansonia

2. Bridgeport Berlin Beacon Falls

3. Brookfield Bloomfield Bethany

4. Danbury Bristol Branford

5. Darien Burlington Cheshire

6. Easton Canton Derby

7. Fairfield East Granby East Haven

8. Greenwich East Hartford Guilford

9. Monroe East Windsor Hamden

10. New Canaan Enfield Madison

11. New Fairfield Farmington Meriden

12. Newtown Glastonbury Middlebury

13. Norwalk Granby Milford

14. Redding Hartford Naugatuck

15. Ridgefield Hartland New Haven

16. Shelton Manchester North Branford

17. Sherman Marlborough North Haven

18. Stamford New Britain Orange

19. Stratford Newington Oxford

20. Trumbull Plainville Prospect

21. Westport Rocky Hill Seymour

22. Weston Simsbury Southbury

23. Wilton Southington Wallingford

24. South Windsor Waterbury

25. Suffield West Haven

26. West Hartford Wolcott

27. Wethersfield Woodbridge

28. Windsor

29. Windsor Locks

26

APPENDIX

**\*Table A-2. Connecticut Counties by Town (Cont.d)**

New London Litchfield Middlesex

1. Bozrah Barkhamsted Chester
  2. Colchester Bethlehem Clinton
  3. East Lyme Bridgewater Cromwell
  4. Franklin Canaan Deep River
  5. Griswold Colebrook Durham
  6. Groton Cornwall East Haddam
  7. Lebanon Goshen East Hampton
  8. Ledyard Harwinton Essex
  9. Lisbon Kent Haddam
  10. Lyme Litchfield Killingworth
  11. Montville Morris Middlefield
  12. New London New Hartford Middletown
  13. North Stonington New Milford Old Saybrook
  14. Norwich Norfolk Portland
  15. Old Lyme North Canaan Westbrook
  16. Preston Litchfield
  17. Salem Roxbury
  18. Sprague Salisbury
  19. Stonington Sharon
  20. Voluntown Thomaston
  21. Waterford Torrington
  22. Warren
  23. Washington
  24. Watertown
  25. Winchester
  26. Woodbury
- Tolland Windham
1. Andover Ashford
  2. Bolton Brooklyn
  3. Columbia Canterbury
  4. Coventry Chaplin
  5. Ellington Eastford
  6. Hebron Hampton
  7. Mansfield Killingly
  8. Somers Plainfield
  9. Stafford Pomfret
  10. Tolland Putnam
  11. Union Scotland
  12. Vernon Sterling
  13. Willington Thompson
  14. Windham
  15. Woodstock

---

MSN Toolbar provides one-click access to Hotmail from any Web page – FREE  
download! <http://toolbar.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200413ave/direct/01/>