

Mail Envelope Properties (406DD020.E7E : 0 : 56958)

Subject: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Creation Date: 4/2/04 3:41PM
From: "Harrison, Albon" <awharrison@STPEGS.COM>

Created By: awharrison@STPEGS.COM

Recipients

nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO
MKW (Michael Webb)

nrc.gov
owf2_po.OWFN_DO
WMB1 (Mark Blumberg)

STPEGS.COM

jbcook CC (Cook, Joseph)
jrmertink CC (Mertink, James)
degore CC (Gore, Duane)
mjberg CC (Berg, Michael)

SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT
UNITS 1 AND 2
50-498
50-499
PM: Michael Webb

Post Office

owf4_po.OWFN_DO
owf2_po.OWFN_DO

Route

nrc.gov
nrc.gov
STPEGS.COM

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1741	04/02/04 03:41PM
Part.001	2934	
CRE RAI Talking Points.doc	46080	
Mime.822	70037	

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

From: "Harrison, Albon" <awharrison@STPEGS.COM>
To: <wmb1@nrc.gov>, <mkw@nrc.gov>
Date: 4/2/04 3:42PM
Subject: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Mark,

Here is an updated version of the response. It includes the following changes:

- * a minor editorial change in the response to Question 1 in the paragraph stating that the analysis includes ICRP-30 to relocate the phrase, "and the ICRP-30 dose conversion factors were incorporated into the analysis" from the last sentence to the first sentence.
- * a correction to the second row in the table for the fuel handling accident inleakage to associate Note 3 with the 27 rem instead of Note 1.
- * a revision to the second sentence in the second paragraph in the TS Bases to read as shown below. The sentence originally read, "Although not meeting Technical Specification acceptance criteria, the positive relative pressure condition still assures that any leakage across this boundary location would be outleakage." It has been revised to read, "The measured positive relative pressure condition still assures that any leakage across this boundary location would be outleakage."

The paragraph in its entirety now reads:

The surveillance includes a footnote allowing an evaluation of conditions where the differential pressure is positive but less than 1/8 inch wg. The measured positive relative pressure condition still assures that any leakage across this boundary location would be outleakage. Therefore, the functionality of the control room HVAC system is maintained with the degraded pressure condition within the envelope. The use of the footnote for a condition where the points are less than 1/8 inch wg is intended to be a temporary application until the points are restored to the design 1/8 inch wg in accordance with the corrective action program.

CC: "Berg, Michael" <mjberg@STPEGS.COM>, "Gore, Duane" <degore@STPEGS.COM>, "Mertink, James" <jrmertink@STPEGS.COM>, "Cook, Joseph" <jbcook@STPEGS.COM>

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
REGARDING PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION 3/4.7.7
CONTROL ROOM MAKEUP AND CLEANUP FILTRATION SYSTEM

STP NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY
SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT UNITS 1 AND 2
DOCKET NO 50-498 AND 50-499

By letter dated March 18, 2004, STP Nuclear Operating Company (STPNOC) submitted a proposed amendment to the Technical Specifications (TSs) for the South Texas Project (STP) Units 1 and 2. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff has reviewed the information the licensee provided that supports the proposed TS changes. In order for the staff to complete its evaluation, the following additional information is requested:

1. **The current licensing bases for the control room as described in the STP Technical Specifications and UFSAR states that the control room envelope is maintained at a minimum 0.125-inch positive water gauge with respect to adjacent areas. The proposed technical specification would allow positive pressure less than 0.125-inch water gauge with “appropriate compensatory measures.” This proposed change represents a decrease in the pressure margin used to assure that unfiltered inleakage is minimized. In light that this decreased positive pressure condition may exist for approximately 18 months, there are no provisions to compensate for possible boundary degradation or variances in pressure conditions external to the control room boundary. The staff believes that compensatory measures are necessary to offset this decreased margin.**

Please specify and justify the “appropriate compensatory measures” to be taken to offset this decrease in the pressure margin (for example, increased surveillance frequency, potassium iodide and/or self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA)). If potassium iodide and SCBA are deemed appropriate measures to insure that GDC 19 is maintained, Regulatory Position 2.7.3 of NRC Regulatory Guide, 1.196, “Control Room Habitability at Light-Water Nuclear Power Reactors provides a method for crediting these compensatory measures. If Regulatory Guide 1.196 is used please verify if these provisions are met. The staff believes that inclusion of these compensatory measures in the bases is necessary to provide reasonable assurance that these compensatory measures are “appropriate.”

STPNOC Response:

The proposed technical specification change will allow STPNOC to operate under a degraded condition where the control room is not maintained at least 1/8 inches water gauge (in wg) positive relative to adjacent areas. STPNOC will implement increased testing to provide assurance against further degradation of the boundary and variances in pressure conditions external to the control room boundary.

1. Within 60 days of approval of the proposed Technical Specification change and on a quarterly frequency thereafter, each train combination (e.g., A-B, B-C, or A-C) will be tested on a staggered test basis in the pressurization and recirculation cleanup mode of operation (i.e., the emergency mode).
2. If all test points for a train combination are greater than or equal to 1/8 in wg positive pressure relative to adjacent areas, that train combination will be removed from the increased testing. However, retest of the removed combination will be required if rebalancing is performed on one of the other train combinations.

During the period where increased testing is required because some test points are positive but are not greater than or equal to 1/8 in wg positive pressure relative to adjacent areas, the following personnel protective compensatory measures will be in place.

1. For degraded conditions across walls with sealed penetrations where the likelihood of any inleakage condition resulting from changing conditions between testing would be minimal (i.e., a few cfm), potassium iodide (KI) tablets will be credited as the compensatory measure.
2. For degraded conditions across doors where seals have the potential for degradation and the inleakage condition resulting from changing conditions between testing would likely be more than minimal, self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) will be credited as the compensatory measure.

In both cases, crediting the compensatory action will only be required until the surveillance demonstrates that the differential pressure is greater than or equal to 1/8 in wg. Conditions with positive differential pressure, but less than 1/8 in-wg will be addressed in the Corrective Action Program.

The personnel protective measures of KI and SCBAs are deemed appropriate measures to insure that GDC 19 is maintained. The provisions of Regulatory Position 2.7.3 of NRC Regulatory Guide, 1.196 will be met.

The compensatory action will be incorporated into the Bases for TS 3.7.7 as shown in Attachment 2.

Justification for compensatory measures

Surveillance testing history demonstrates that control room pressure relative to adjacent areas does not significantly vary during 18-month surveillance intervals. Increased testing further compensates for the degraded differential pressure conditions across the control room boundary to provide assurance that further degradation would be detected in a timely manner.

The 1/8 in wg relative pressure has provided adequate margin over an 18-month surveillance interval to compensate for possible boundary degradation or variances in pressure conditions external to the control room boundary. For areas such as walls where penetrations are sealed, any inleakage into the control room is expected to only be a few cfm. For areas that contain doors where the seals have the potential for degradation, the inleakage is expected to be greater than a few cfm.

The spectrum of postulated accidents in UFSAR Chapter 15 has been reviewed and the LOCA accident is the limiting operational accident with regards to unfiltered in-leakage effects on the dose to the control room operators. However, during an outage the fuel handling accident (FHA) is the limiting event.

A general revision of the LOCA analysis was recently performed and the ICRP-30 dose conversion factors were incorporated into the analysis. This revised analysis was applied to the dose and unfiltered in-leakage analysis pertinent to this TS change application.

Deleted: and the ICRP-30 dose conversion factors were incorporated into the analysis

For the LOCA, STP performed calculations to determine thyroid dose at various levels of inleakage. The estimated results are:

<u>Unfiltered inleakage</u>	<u>Estimated Radiological thyroid dose</u>
30 cfm	30 rem
710 cfm	30 rem *

* Credit taken for use of KI tablets using a personnel protection factor of 10

Note these unfiltered in-leakage values do not include the 10 cfm assumed for door pumping action.

STP Technical Specification 3.9.4 allows fuel movement in the reactor containment building (RCB), with the personnel airlock (PAL) open and being capable of being closed within 30 minutes, after the fuel has decayed 95 hours. After 165 hours of decay, fuel movement may occur with the personnel airlock and the equipment hatch open and being capable of being closed within 2 hours.

Additional analyses were performed to quantify the protection afforded by the use of KI during a fuel handling accident. The results are provided below

Fuel Decay Time (hrs)	Scenario	Dose Limit (rem)	Allowable Unfiltered In-leakage with KI (cfm)
95	FHA in FHB	17.5 ¹	570 ²
95	FHA in RCB (30 minute PAL closure)	27 ³	920
165	FHA in FHB	17.5 ¹	775
165	FHA in RCB (2 hour PAL closure)	27 ³	920

Deleted: 1

1. 17.5 rem is used as a limit to keep the LOCA as the limiting control room accident. If the dose limit is increased to 30 rem, the allowable unfiltered inleakage with KI would increase.
2. If the dose limit were to be increased to 30 rem, the allowable unfiltered inleakage with KI would be greater than 710 cfm.
3. 27 rem is used since it was the limit used in the analysis, and Technical Specification submittal, as a basis for the required decay times in Technical Specification 3.9.4.

Therefore, for degraded conditions such as walls with sealed penetrations, KI tablets provide reasonable personnel protective measures against a postulated LOCA or Fuel Handling Accident to demonstrate adequate margin for conditions where the worst case inleakage is expected to only be few cfm.

Managing boundaries differently provides flexibility to correct different degrees of degraded conditions. SCBA usage has a protection factor of at least 100 for thyroid dose. However, for most boundaries, SCBAs is not a compensatory measure that is needed to ensure GDC 19 is met. Although SCBAs are an adequate compensatory measure for limiting operator dose, they present an increased challenge to the operator. The increased test frequency and personnel protective measures described above provides reasonable management of degraded control room conditions so that the operators only need to take personnel protective commensurate with the condition.

2. With these compensatory measures available for use in case of an accident provide an estimate of the maximum unfiltered inleakage allowable to meet GDC 19.

STPNOC Response:

Estimate of the maximum unfiltered inleakage allowable to meet GDC 19 with compensatory measures is described in response to item #1. These estimates were calculated for a Loss of Coolant Accident, which is the limiting accident at STPNOC. The calculations also demonstrate that the 30 rem beta skin dose limits are not exceeded. The calculations were performed using the STP TRACI models.

3. Provide the measurement uncertainty of the measurements made for Surveillance Requirement 4.7.7.e.3 and state whether this uncertainty is included in surveillance. If the uncertainty is not included justify exclusion of the uncertainty.

STPNOC Response:

The STP UFSAR Section 6.4.5.1.3 states the following regarding acceptability requirements for the surveillance measurement;

"The result of the final leak test is accepted if the control room envelope makeup airflow does not exceed 2,000 ft³/min at a positive envelope pressure of ≥ 0.125 -in wg. This criterion is based on a measuring accuracy of ± 1 percent of full scale on pressure reading and ± 5 percent of full scale on airflow reading."

The differential pressure is currently measured using an instrument with a reference accuracy of ± 0.02 in wg. The test methodology is to determine the pressure on each side of the control room boundary and this whole evolution is expected to be complete within 30 minutes so that the instrument uncertainties do not appreciably affect the differential pressure value. By using this methodology, the only uncertainty term that needs to be considered is the reference accuracy of the measuring device. Other uncertainties will cancel each other out.

While this 1/8 inch wg acceptance criterion includes a measurement uncertainty as stated in the UFSAR, the 1/8 inch wg number is considered a nominal value and it is therefore acceptable to not correct for instrument uncertainties. There are no regulatory requirements for applying instrument uncertainties and the criterion is a judgement value to establish margin. There is not a technical basis for the application of a specific instrument uncertainty for this parameter.

However, the proposed Technical Specification change to accept "positive" differential pressure would allow substantially less margin and in this case, consideration of instrument uncertainties would be appropriate in determining if a measured value is positive. To account for instrument uncertainties, STPNOCs implementing procedure will consider a measurement to be positive only if it is greater than the accuracy of the measurement process. The "nominal" 1/8 inch-wg will continue to be acceptable for the Technical Specification surveillance.

4. State whether the most limiting points measured for the Component Test will be included in future 4.7.7e.3 surveillance tests. If these limiting points will be excluded justify the exclusion of these points.

STPNOC Response:

The limiting points for the Component Test will be included in the increased testing program described in the response to Question 1. STPNOC expects to make air balance improvements to the control room envelope so that all measured points will be greater than or equal 1/8 in wg relative to adjacent areas. If these improvements result in providing assurance that some measured points would not become limiting, then STPNOC may revise the scope of the surveillance. The surveillance procedure revision process requires justification of any change with respect to the surveillance points.

5. Provide a justification that the current degraded condition does not invalidate your hazardous chemical assessments.

STPNOC Response:

STPNOC's hazardous chemical analyses demonstrate that neither onsite or offsite hazardous chemical sources within the vicinity of the control room would reach toxicity limits inside the CRE within six minutes following nasal detection by operators. This meets the NRC Regulatory Guide 1.78 requirement that states operators should be able don breathing apparatus within two minutes. The hazardous chemicals are assumed to enter the control room environment via the normal control room ventilation flow path at a rate of 4000 cfm. No credit is taken for isolation of the ventilation system although manual isolation remains available to the operators for defense in depth. The normal ventilation flow exceeds any unfiltered inleakage with the ventilation system in the isolation mode. Therefore, unfiltered inleakage in this mode is not measured and is not incorporated into STPNOC's hazardous chemical assessment.

Since the function of the control room pressurization system is not to mitigate against hazardous chemical sources, the degradation of the 1/8 in wg differential margin does not impact STPNOC's hazardous chemical assessments.

Attachment 2

Bases Inserts

As stated in the original application, the information below will be included in the TS Bases for TS 3/4.7.7 Control Room Makeup and Cleanup Filtration System. The information in bold face has been added as noted in the response to Question 1 of the RAI.

Surveillance Requirement 4.7.7.e.3 verifies the integrity of the control room enclosure, and the assumed inleakage rates of the potentially contaminated air. The control room positive pressure, with respect to potentially contaminated adjacent areas, is periodically tested to verify proper functioning of the Control Room HVAC. During the emergency mode of operation, the Control Room HVAC is designed to pressurize the control room to at least 1/8 inch water gauge (in wg) positive pressure with respect to adjacent areas in order to prevent unfiltered inleakage. The Control Room HVAC is designed to maintain this positive pressure with two trains at a makeup flow rate of 2000 cfm. The frequency of 18 months is consistent with the guidance provided in NUREG-0800. If the surveillance results are less than 1/8 in wg and the pressure differential is not positive, the surveillance requirement is considered not met and the appropriate action of TS 3.7.7 must be applied.

The surveillance includes a footnote allowing an evaluation of conditions where the differential pressure is positive but less than 1/8 inch wg. ~~The measured positive relative pressure condition still assures that any leakage across this boundary location would be outleakage. Therefore, the functionality of the control room HVAC system is maintained with the degraded pressure condition within the envelope. The use of the footnote for a condition where the points are less than 1/8 inch wg is intended to be a temporary application until the points are restored to the design 1/8 inch wg in accordance with the corrective action program.~~

Deleted: Although not meeting Technical Specification acceptance criteria, t

Compensatory actions may be applied based on the results of the evaluation provision of SR 4.7.7.e.3. The evaluation, including appropriate compensatory actions, must demonstrate that the dose limits of GDC 19 of Appendix A of 10CFR50 are met, including a 30 rem limit to the thyroid. If compensatory measures include self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) and potassium iodide (KI) tablets, then the requirements of Regulatory Position 2.7.3 of NRC Regulatory Guide, 1.196, "Control Room Habitability at Light-Water Nuclear Power Reactors" must be met.

The results of the evaluation for areas with differential pressure that is positive but less than 1/8 inch wg and the appropriate compensatory action are as described below.

STPNOC performs increased testing as a compensatory action to provide assurance against further degradation of the boundary and variances in pressure conditions external to the control room boundary.

1. Within 60 days of approval of the proposed Technical Specification change that provided for compensatory action in SR 4.7.7.e.3., and on a quarterly frequency thereafter, each train combination (e.g., A-B, B-C, or A-C) will be tested on a staggered test basis in the pressurization and recirculation cleanup mode of operation (i.e., the emergency mode).
2. If all test points for a train combination are greater than or equal to 1/8 in wg positive relative to adjacent areas, that train combination will be removed from the increased testing. However, retest of the removed combination will be required if rebalancing is performed on one of the other train combinations.

During the period where increased testing is required because some test points are positive but are not greater than or equal to 1/8 in wg positive relative to adjacent areas, the following personnel protective compensatory measures will be in place.

1. For degraded conditions across walls with sealed penetrations where the likelihood of any inleakage condition resulting from changing conditions between testing would be minimal (i.e., a few cfm), potassium iodide (KI) tablets will be credited as the compensatory measure.
2. For degraded conditions across doors where seals have the potential for degradation and the inleakage condition resulting from changing conditions between testing would likely be more than minimal, self-contained breathing apparatus (SCBA) will be credited as the compensatory measure.

In both cases, crediting the compensatory action will only be required until the surveillance demonstrates that the differential pressure is greater than or equal to 1/8 in wg. Conditions with positive differential pressure, but less than 1/8 in-wg will be addressed in the Corrective Action Program.

The procedural infrastructure to apply the compensatory actions is in place. KI is available to the Control Room crews and SCBA units are staged and ready for use by Control Room personnel. STP's emergency plan implementing procedures require that personnel radiation exposure in the control room be monitored so that appropriate personnel protective measures will be taken by the operators during accident conditions.

Reference: CREE 04-XXXX