

2. (8) 6

**From:** Jared Wermiel  
**To:** Wang, Alan  
**Date:** Fri, Jan 17, 2003 9:42 AM  
**Subject:** Re: 1/22 meeting on GE Revised Chapter 1 of CPPU Topical

Alan,

Will you please provide me with a copy of GE's proposed wording changes to the CPPU topical report to address the issues we discussed during the phone call once GE is satisfied they have done the job. I have written my own words and would like to compare the two so we can use this as a point of departure for discussion at the Wednesday meeting. Thanks.

Jerry Wermiel

✓ >>> Alan Wang 01/17/03 09:12AM >>>

Jared, the proposed meeting will be in room 7B6 at 9:00 am on 1/22. I spoke to GE last night and asked them to provide some words based on our call. I thought that might help you with your writeup. I have looked at their writeup and it looks reasonable. The only things I noticed are:

1. GE does not address our concern that a plant cannot transition to a new fuel with a CPPU
2. GE does address MELLA specifically but we may want to address the other conditions and what we would or would not accept.
3. GE does state that if any plant wants to deviate from the conditions stated that the staff will only do the reviews in series

The only other issue is which topical version should we accept. Because of the compilation issue I prefer for us to recognize the latest version. This version is a redacted version for which I have reviewed. GENE and I are in basic agreement on the proprietary issues with this version. In addition, this version also cleared up the remaining technical issues that were open from our last SE. The only issues with this version would be the applicability and that GE included discussions of the 6 scenarios. With the proposed revisions it looks like GE will remove those scenario discussions, remove the offending language, and strengthen and clarify the restrictions for the use of the SE. I believe with wording from you I can revise my cover letter and the SE and would not need any other technical branch concurrences as these discussions have been basically fuel related only. I am at the Fort Calhoun site all next week and Brian will handle the meeting for me. I will also provide him with a copy of the draft se. Alan

**CC:** Benney, Brian; Caruso, Ralph; Dembek, Stephen; Ruland, William

AW

**Mail Envelope Properties** (3E28163B.451 : 3 : 19712)

**Subject:** Re: 1/22 meeting on GE Revised Chapter 1 of CPPU Topical  
**Creation Date:** Fri, Jan 17, 2003 9:42 AM  
**From:** Jared Wermiel

**Created By:** JSWI@nrc.gov

**Recipients**

owf4\_po.OWFN\_DO  
BJB CC (Brian Benney)

nrc.gov  
owf2\_po.OWFN\_DO  
RXC CC (Ralph Caruso)

nrc.gov  
owf4\_po.OWFN\_DO  
ABW (Alan Wang)  
SXD CC (Stephen Dembek)  
WHR CC (William Ruland)

<b>Post Office</b>	<b>Route</b>
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	
owf2_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov
owf4_po.OWFN_DO	nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	3013	Friday, January 17, 2003 9:42 AM

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard