NRC F	ORM	408
/		

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

(5-2004)			
ASSESSMENT OF PROPOSE	D INFORMATION COLLECTION		
TO: INFORMATION MANAGEMENT COORDINATOR Carrie Brown, NMSS TITLE OF INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUIREM NRC Forms 540 and 540A; NRC Forms 541 a			A: and
·	NRC Forms 542 and 542A (Final OCP)		,
THE FOLLOWING FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED IN EVINFORMATION COLLECTION REQUIREMENT. (If the res	/ALUATING THE PROPOSED sponse is not so, check "NO" and explain	YES	NO
The requirement is needed. (It is the best means to achieve a necessary regulatory objective.)		√	
2. The requirement has practical utility, i.e., the NRC has the capability to use the information in a timely and useful fashion.		J	
3. The schedule for imposing the requirement is reasonable.			
 The requirement selected is the least burdensome method of achieving a necessary regulator objective. 			
5. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by the NRC.			
The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by other Government agencies.			
7. The method used to estimate the burden is adequate.			
The burden estimates are reasonable when compared with similar requirements previously submitted.			
The methods proposed for collecting or keeping the information are consistent with sound record management practices.			
10. The records retention period is sufficiently definitive and reasonable.			
 The requirement adequately identifies the records to be maintained and the information to be reported. 			
12. NRC administrative support requirements are sufficient to manage the information collection.		✓	
13. The information collection will not cause NRC to exceed its Information Collection Budget.			
REMARKS			
OCIO REVIEWER - (Assigned Analyst) Tremaine U. Donnell Records and FOIA/Privacy Service Branch/IRSD/OCIO	une Chillernell DATE 05/2	24 /2004	

OCIO APPROVAL - (Branch Level)

Brenda Jo. Shelton NRC Clearance Officer/OCIO 05/24/2004

DATE