

June 15, 2004

Mr. Bill Eaton, BWRVIP Chairman  
Entergy Operations, Inc.  
Echelon One  
1340 Echelon Parkway  
Jackson, MS 39213-8202

SUBJECT: GUIDANCE ON PRE-SUBMITTAL MEETING ON TOPICAL REPORTS

Dear Mr. Eaton:

The topical report (TR) review process provides for a pre-submittal meeting between the staff and the vendor or owners group, when appropriate, preferably during the formative stage and before finalization of the TR. Based on our recent experience with these meetings, we believe their effectiveness and value, both to you and us, can be improved in line with our continuing efforts to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the overall TR review process. This letter explains and clarifies the purpose of the pre-submittal meetings and their scope, content, expectations, and conduct.

The principal objectives of the pre-submittal meeting are to: (1) identify, at an early stage and based on the information exchanged at the meeting, proposed TRs that are not likely to satisfy our acceptance criteria, and/or aspects of a proposed TR that appear to be incomplete, deficient, or not addressed at all and which should be corrected before the submittal of the TR for our acceptance review, and (2) identify resource needs to support reviews of planned submittals. These objectives will require additional focus and effort by you and us but should minimize wasted effort and save subsequent schedule time and resources.

The following cooperative actions are necessary to maximize the benefits of this effort.

1. Pre-submittal meetings should be held unless you and your TR project manager (PM), in consultation with the cognizant technical staff, are satisfied that a meeting is not needed.
2. Meetings should be scheduled as early as possible once the proposed TR concept and supporting bases are finalized. You should engage your PM sufficiently well in advance of the meeting to enable him/her to conduct a proprietary review and finding, if needed, arrange participation of appropriate staff, and have enough time for noticing the meeting. A draft of the TR, if available, should be submitted prior to the meeting. If a draft is not yet available, provide as much information as possible about the proposed TR when requesting the meeting. You can also assist your PM by identifying needed staff disciplines.
3. At the meeting, you should be prepared to present as much information as possible on the concept, purpose, scope, supporting bases, relationship to other ongoing or planned

industry activities, and coordination with other industry groups and activities, as appropriate. You should identify any issues you believe could affect our acceptance (or subsequent approval) of the proposed TR and how you would have addressed and resolved such issues. To the extent possible, based on this information and our experience, we will identify any concerns we have about the viability or completeness of the report for you to consider before submitting the TR. However, the pre-submittal meeting is not intended to replace our subsequent formal acceptance review, and no decision about the acceptability of the proposed TR will be made at this stage. You should also tell us your planned submittal date and your desired approval date.

Recognize that, notwithstanding this additional effort prior to submittal, technical issues can emerge during the staff acceptance and technical reviews that were not apparent at the pre-submittal stage which could result in the TR either not being accepted or not being approved.

4. The PM will assure that all issues are adequately addressed and that the success criteria for the meeting are satisfied. At the conclusion of the meeting and after a staff caucus, if necessary, the PM will summarize any identified concerns about the acceptability or completeness of the TR and these will be documented in the meeting summary.

Section 170.21 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* requires that TRs be subject to fees based on the full cost of the review. Therefore, staff time spent on pre-submittal meetings will be billed accordingly.

If you have any questions about what is outlined in this letter, please discuss them with your PM, Meena Khanna, or call me at 301-415-1838. I also welcome your feedback on the conduct and effectiveness of future pre-submittal meetings, and your suggestions for further improvement.

Sincerely,

**/RA/**

Herbert N. Berkow, Director  
Project Directorate IV  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

June 15, 2004

appropriate. You should identify any issues you believe could affect our acceptance (or subsequent approval) of the proposed TR and how you would have addressed and resolved such issues. To the extent possible, based on this information and our experience, we will identify any concerns we have about the viability or completeness of the report for you to consider before submitting the TR. However, the pre-submittal meeting is not intended to replace our subsequent formal acceptance review, and no decision about the acceptability of the proposed TR will be made at this stage. You should also tell us your planned submittal date and your desired approval date.

Recognize that, notwithstanding this additional effort prior to submittal, technical issues can emerge during the staff acceptance and technical reviews that were not apparent at the pre-submittal stage which could result in the TR either not being accepted or not being approved.

- 4. The PM will assure that all issues are adequately addressed and that the success criteria for the meeting are satisfied. At the conclusion of the meeting and after a staff caucus, if necessary, the PM will summarize any identified concerns about the acceptability or completeness of the TR and these will be documented in the meeting summary.

Section 170.21 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* requires that TRs be subject to fees based on the full cost of the review. Therefore, staff time spent on pre-submittal meetings will be billed accordingly.

If you have any questions about what is outlined in this letter, please discuss them with your PM, Meena Khanna, or call me at 301-415-1838. I also welcome your feedback on the conduct and effectiveness of future pre-submittal meetings, and your suggestions for further improvement.

Sincerely,  
**/RA/**  
 Herbert N. Berkow, Director  
 Project Directorate IV  
 Division of Licensing Project Management  
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC  
 PDIV-2 Reading  
 RidsNrrOd (JDyer)  
 RidsNrrAdpt (BSheron)  
 RidsNrrDlpm (TMarsh)  
 RidsNrrDlpmPdiv (HBerkow)  
 RidsNrrPMMKhanna  
 RidsNrrLAE Peyton  
 RidsOgcRp  
 RidsAcrcAcnwMailCenter  
 SBlack/MJohnson  
 JHannon/JYerokun/SWeerakkody  
 JWermiel/FAkstulewicz/JUhle  
 MTschiltz/MRubin/PHabighorst/RDennig

RBarrett/CGrimes  
 WBateman/MMitchell/TChan/LLund  
 Gimbro/DTerao/KManoly  
 JCalvo/EMarinos/RJenkins

NRR-106

**ACCESSION NO.: ML041690110**

OFFICE	PDIV-2/PM	PDIV-2/LA	PDIV-2/SC	PDIV/D
NAME	MKhanna:esp	EPeyton	SDembek	HBerkow
DATE	6/14/04	6/10/04	6/15/04	6/15/04

## BWR Vessel and Internals Program

cc:

Mr. J. Meister, BWRVIP Vice Chairman  
Exelon Corporation  
Cornerstone II at Cantera  
4300 Winfield Road  
Warrenville, IL 60555-4012

Mr. W. C. Holston, Executive Chairman  
BWRVIP Integration Committee  
Constellation Generation Group  
Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station  
P.O. Box 63  
Lycoming, NY 13093

Mr. T. Mulford, EPRI BWRVIP  
Integration Manager  
Electric Power Research Institute  
P.O. Box 10412  
3412 Hillview Avenue  
Palo Alto, CA 94303

Mr. R. Pathania, EPRI BWRVIP  
Mitigation Manager  
Electric Power Research Institute  
P.O. Box 10412  
3412 Hillview Avenue  
Palo Alto, CA 94303

Mr. K. Wolfe, EPRI BWRVIP  
Repair Manager  
Electric Power Research Institute  
P.O. Box 10412  
3412 Hillview Avenue  
Palo Alto, CA 94303

Mr. L. Steinert, EPRI BWRVIP  
Electric Power Research Institute  
P.O. Box 10412  
3412 Hillview Avenue  
Palo Alto, CA 94303

Mr. A. Wrape, Executive Chairman  
BWRVIP Assessment Committee  
PPL Susquehanna, LLC  
2 N. 9<sup>th</sup> Street  
Allentown, PA 18101-1139

Mr. H. Lewis Sumner, Executive Chairman  
BWRVIP Mitigation Committee  
Vice President, Hatch Project  
Southern Nuclear Operating Company  
M/S BIN B051, P. O. Box 1295  
40 Inverness Center Parkway  
Birmingham, AL 35242-4809

Mr. R. Carter, EPRI BWRVIP  
Assessment Manager  
Mr. G. Selby, EPRI BWRVIP  
Inspection Manager  
EPRI NDE Center  
P. O. Box 217097  
1300 W. T. Harris Boulevard  
Charlotte, NC 28221

Mr. D. Atwood, Technical Chairman  
BWRVIP Repair Focus Group  
Southern Nuclear Operating Company  
Post Office Box 1295  
40 Inverness Center Parkway (M/S B031)  
Birmingham, AL 35242-4809

Ms. Robin Dyle, Technical Chairman  
BWRVIP Integration Committee  
Southern Nuclear Operating Company  
42 Inverness Center Parkway (M/S B234)  
Birmingham, AL 35242-4809

Mr. J. Goldstein, Technical Chairman  
BWRVIP Mitigation Committee  
Entergy Nuclear NE  
440 Hamilton Avenue (M/S K-WPO-11c)  
White Plains, NY 10601

Mr. D. Atkinson, BWRVIP Liaison to  
EPRI Nuclear Power Council  
Energy Northwest  
Columbia Generating Station (M/S PE08)  
Snake River Complex  
North Power Plant Loop  
Richland, WA 99352-0968

## BWR Vessel and Internals Program

cc:

Mr. R. Ciemiewicz, Technical Vice  
Chairman  
BWRVIP Assessment Committee  
Exelon Corporation  
Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station  
M/S SMB3-6  
1848 Lay Road  
Delta, PA 17314-9032

Mr. G. Park, Chairman  
BWRVIP Inspection Focus Group  
Nuclear Management Company  
Monticello Nuclear Plant  
2807 W. Country Road 75  
Monticello, MN 55362-9635

Mr. G. Inch, Technical Chairman  
BWRVIP Assessment Committee  
Constellation Nuclear  
Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station  
(M/S ESB-1)  
348 Lake Road  
Lycoming, NY 13093