June 18, 2004

MEMORANDUM TO: John N. Hannon, Chief

Plant Systems Branch

Division of Systems Safety and Analysis Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

FROM: Mark G. Kowal, Reactor Systems Engineer //RA//

Plant Systems Branch

Division of Systems Safety and Analysis Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: MEETING SUMMARY FOR MAY 25, 2004 MEETING BETWEEN THE

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION STAFF AND

STAKEHOLDERS REGARDING AN OPTIONAL, RISK-INFORMED APPROACH FOR RESOLUTION OF THE PWR SUMP BLOCKAGE

ISSUE (GSI-191) (TAC NO. MA6454)

On May 25, 2004, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff met with the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI), utility groups, and other stakeholders at NRC Headquarters concerning an alternate, risk-informed approach for resolving Generic Safety Issue (GSI) 191, "Assessment of Debris Accumulation on [Pressurized-Water Reactor] PWR Sump Performance." Attachment 1 lists the meeting attendees. A public meeting notice was issued on May 11, 2004 and was posted on the NRC's external (public) web page (ADAMS Accession No. ML041320275). Attachment 2 contains a copy of the public meeting notice.

The purpose of the meeting was to continue discussions between the staff, NEI and industry regarding a risk-informed option for resolving GSI-191, and to reach consensus on a path forward.

This was a Category 2 Meeting. At the conclusion of the business portion of the meeting, the public was invited to participate in this meeting and ask questions of the NRC staff or make comments that they feel are appropriate. The agenda contained in the published meeting notice included one designated point for stakeholder questions. However, several opportunities were offered to stakeholders for questions or comments. The agenda for the meeting consisted of (1) opening remarks and introductions, (2) NRC proposal and comments, (3) NEI/Industry proposal and comments, (4) open discussion of risk-Informed approaches, and (5) questions from stakeholders.

Attachment 3 contains the NRC staff presentation, "Public meeting with NEI and Industry - An Optional, Risk-Informed Approach For Resolution of the PWR Sump Blockage Issue (GSI-191)." Attachment 4 contains NEI's presentation, "Risk-Informed GSI-191 Resolution."

The NRC staff stated that they would consider an approach involving risk-informed exemption requests in accordance with current regulations. Licensee's could request, on a plant specific basis, exemptions from the requirement to consider the design-basis loss of coolant accident (LOCA) equivalent in size to a double ended rupture of the largest pipe in the reactor coolant

system for demonstrating long-term core cooling capability (10 CFR 50.46 (b)(5)). For such an approach, licensee's would need to perform a design basis long-term cooling evaluation and satisfy the design basis criteria for all breaks up to a new break size ("debris generation break size") that may be less than a double ended guillotine break (DEGB) of the largest pipe in the reactor coolant system. Long-term cooling must be assured for breaks between the debris generation break size and the DEGB, but the evaluation may be more realistic than a design basis evaluation, consistent with the small likelihood of the break occurring. The assurance of long-term cooling coupled with the likelihood of the break would be addressed in a risk-informed exemption request that fully meets the requirements of Regulatory Guide 1.174 (risk-informed licensing submittals) and NUREG-0800 - Standard Review Plan Sections 19 and 19.1.

NEI suggested an approach that differs from the staff's proposal in several aspects. NEI's proposal would (1) risk-inform the break location in addition the break size, (2) would not call for risk calculations (change in CDF and change in LERF), as recommended in Regulatory Guide 1.174, (3) would exclude certain large break sizes from demonstrated mitigative capability requirements, and (4) might be possible without submitting exemption requests from 10 CFR 50.46. NEI committed to providing the staff additional information, and the staff agreed to consider the NEI proposal and schedule a follow-up public meeting to continue discussions on a risk-informed approach.

Action items identified during the meeting were as follows:

NEI - Will include a discussion on their proposed risk-informed approach as part of the NEI Evaluation Guidelines submittal currently scheduled to be submitted this week (May 28). NEI - Will provide the staff with a short write-up discussing an approach which they feel would not require use of exemption requests.

NRC - Will review and consider the NEI proposals offered in the meeting.

NRC - Will pursue another public meeting to continue discussions with NEI.

NRC - Will try to better define a schedule for the risk-informed approach, consistent with the overall GSI-191 schedule.

In closing the meeting, the NRC staff notified the participants of the NRC Public Meeting Feedback form and encouraged them to complete the form and mail it into the NRC.

- Attachments: 1. Meeting Attendees
  - 2. Meeting Agenda
  - 3. NRC Staff presentation, "Public meeting with NEI and Industry An Optional, Risk-Informed Approach For Resolution of the PWR Sump Blockage Issue (GSI-191)."
  - 4. NEI Presentation, "Risk-Informed GSI-191 Resolution."

system for demonstrating long-term core cooling capability (10 CFR 50.46 (b)(5)). For such an approach, licensee's would need to perform a design basis long-term cooling evaluation and satisfy the design basis criteria for all breaks up to a new break size ("debris generation break size") that may be less than a double ended guillotine break (DEGB) of the largest pipe in the reactor coolant system. Long-term cooling must be assured for breaks between the debris generation break size and the DEGB, but the evaluation may be more realistic than a design basis evaluation, consistent with the small likelihood of the break occurring. The assurance of long-term cooling coupled with the likelihood of the break would be addressed in a risk-informed exemption request that fully meets the requirements of Regulatory Guide 1.174 (risk-informed licensing submittals) and NUREG-0800 - Standard Review Plan Sections 19 and 19.1.

NEI suggested an approach that differs from the staff's proposal in several aspects. NEI's proposal would (1) risk-inform the break location in addition the break size, (2) would not call for risk calculations (ΔCDF and ΔLERF), as recommended in Regulatory Guide 1.174, (3) would exclude certain large break sizes from demonstrated mitigative capability requirements, and (4) might be possible without submitting exemption requests from 10 CFR 50.46. NEI committed to providing the staff additional information, and the staff agreed to consider the NEI proposal and schedule a follow-up public meeting to continue discussions on a risk-informed approach.

Action items identified during the meeting were as follows:

NEI - Will include a discussion on their proposed risk-informed approach as part of the NEI Evaluation Guidelines submittal currently scheduled to be submitted this week (May 28). NEI - Will provide the staff with a short write-up discussing an approach which they feel would not require use of exemption requests.

NRC - Will review and consider the NEI proposals offered in the meeting.

NRC - Will pursue another public meeting to continue discussions with NEI.

NRC - Will try to better define a schedule for the risk-informed approach, consistent with the overall GSI-191 schedule.

In closing the meeting, the NRC staff notified the participants of the NRC Public Meeting Feedback form and encouraged them to complete the form and mail it into the NRC.

- Attachments: 1. Meeting Attendees
  - 2. Meeting Agenda
  - 3. NRC Staff presentation, "Public meeting with NEI and Industry An Optional, Risk-Informed Approach For Resolution of the PWR Sump Blockage Issue
  - 4. NEI Presentation, "Risk-Informed GSI-191 Resolution."

### DISTRIBUTION: See next page

OFFICE	DSSA/SPLB	DSSA/SPLB	DSSA/SPLB
NAME	MKowal	DSolorio	JHannon
DATE	6/16/04	6/17/04	6/18/04

ADAMS Accession Nos. ML041670606 (Package)

ML041590164 (05/25/04 Public Meeting Summary)

ML041670625 (NEI RI GSI-191 Proposal)

ML041670638 (Presentation Slides for 05/25/04 Public Meeting)

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

## **DISTRIBUTION**:

**PUBLIC** 

SPLB Reading\*

**BSheron** 

LMarsh

**EHackett** 

WRuland

**AHiser WBurton** 

**JLamb** 

JJolicoeur

SBlack

**MJohnson** 

Jhannon

Dsolorio

HHamzehee

**GMizuno** 

AFernandez

MMarshall

JArroyo

**WBurton** 

RArchitzel

MKowal

ALavretta

MTschiltz

MRubin

**DHarrison** 

**SDinsmore** 

**JWermiel** 

JUhle

**CGrimes** 

WBateman

MMitchell

**TChan** 

SBurnell

OPA

**RCaruso** 

**BPJain** 

**ACRS** 

**PMNS** 

OGC

OIG

WKemper

Tmensah

BJasinski

**LReyes** 

**EMerschoff** 

JDyer

WBorchardt

**JCraig** 

JZimmerman

JBoska

JBeall

CJackson

MMayfield

AHsia

**TYChang** 

RTregoning

**DCullison** 

## LIST OF ATTENDEES

# MEETING REGARDING AN OPTIONAL, RISK-INFORMED APPROACH FOR RESOLUTION OF THE PWR SUMP BLOCKAGE ISSUE (GSI-191, "ASSESSMENT OF DEBRIS ACCUMULATION ON PWR SUMP PERFORMANCE"

TUESDAY, MAY 25, 2004

**ORGANIZATION** NAME M. Kowal NRC/NRR/DSSA M. Marshall NRC/NRR/DLPM M. Johnson NRC/NRR/DSSA S. Black NRC/NRR/DSSA C. Grimes NRC/NRR/DSSA R. Architzel NRC/NRR/DSSA D. Solorio NRC/NRR/DSSA D. Harrison NRC/NRR/DSSA A. Lavretta NRC/NRR/DSSA W. Kemper NRC/OIG T. Y. Chang NRC/RES/DET R. Caruso NRC/ACRS M. Rubin NRC/NRR/DSSA J. Boska NRC/OCM/NJD

J. Hannon NRC/NRR/DSSA B. Jasinski NRC/NRR R. Tregoning NRC/RES/DET T. Chan NRC/DE/EMCB B. Bateman NRC/NRR/EMCB A. Hiser NRC/RES/MEB M Mitchell NRC/DE/EMCB J. Uhle NRC/NRR/DSSA M. Tschiltz NRC/NRR/DSSA S. Dinsmore NRC/NRR/DSSA

A. Fernandez
D. Cullison
S. Dolley
NRC/OGC
NRC/NRR/DSSA
McGraw Hill

D. Lochbaum

J. Butler

A. Pietrangelo

M. Schoppman

Union of Concerned Scientists

Nuclear Energy Institute

Nuclear Energy Institute

Nuclear Energy Institute LATF

McGraw Hill

B. Jaquith Westinghouse

B. Bryan TVA
P. Salas TVA

M. Knapik

M. Kostelnik Constellation Energy Group

M. Dingler WCNOC/WOG
A. Ricker Proto-Power

W. Harrison South Texas Project

S. Levinson AREVA

J. Walker AREVA/Framatome ANP

B. Lutz Westinghouse

J. Koelder Constellation Energy Goup/CCNPP

M. Kai Dominion Resources
A. Wyche SERCH Licensing/Bechtel

B. Dolan Progress Energy

D. Raleigh Scientech

NRR = Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

DLPM = Division of Licensing Project Management DSSA = Division of Systems Safety and Analysis DRIP = Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs

RES = Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research DET = Division of Engineering Technology

ACRS = Advisory Committee for Reactor Safeguards

OIG = Office of the Inspector General

# AGENDA FOR MAY 25, 2004, PUBLIC MEETING WITH THE NUCLEAR ENERGY INSTITUTE (NEI) REGARDING AN OPTIONAL, RISK-INFORMED APPROACH FOR RESOLUTION OF GSI-191

TOPIC	PRESENTER	<u>TIME</u>
Opening Remarks, Introductions	NRC and NEI	1:00 P.M.
NRC Proposal/Comments	NRC	1:15 P.M.
NEI Proposal/Comments	NEI	2:00 P.M.
Open Discussion	NRC and NEI	2:45 P.M.
Questions to NRC from Stakeholders	Stakeholders and NRC	3:30 P.M.
Adjourn		4:00 P.M.

The purpose of the meeting is to continue discussions between the staff, NEI and industry regarding a risk-informed option for resolving GSI-191, and Meeting Purpose:

to reach consensus on a path forward.