

Date/Time

Received: \* May 21, 1997 (after panel 5/21/97)

Allegation No. RI-97-A-0126  
(See also RI-97-A-0033)

Employee Receiving Allegation or suspecting wrongdoing  
(first two initials and last name): RBarkley

Information in this record was deleted  
in accordance with the Freedom of Information  
Act, exemptions 7C  
FOIA-2004-0109

Alleger Name: \*

Home Address:

Home Phone:

City/State/Zip:

Alleger's Employer:

Alleger's Position/Title:

Facility: FitzPatrick

Docket or Mtls. License No.: 50-333

Was alleger informed of NRC identity protection policy? Yes X No \_\_\_  
If H&I was alleged, was alleger informed of DOL rights? Yes X No \_\_\_ N/A \_\_\_  
If a licensee employee or contractor,  
did they raise the issue to their management? Yes X No \_\_\_ N/A \_\_\_  
Does the alleger object to referral of issues to the licensee? Yes X No \_\_\_  
Provide alleger's direct response to this question verbatim on the line below:

"Licensee has not been responsive to these issues in the past" (based on response to this question under RI-97-A-0033)

Was confidentiality requested? Yes \_\_\_ No X  
Was confidentiality initially granted? Yes \_\_\_ No X N/A \_\_\_  
Individual Granting Confidentiality: \_\_\_\_\_

Criteria for determining whether the issue is an allegation:

Is it a declaration, statement, or assertion of impropriety or inadequacy? Yes / No  
Is the impropriety or inadequacy associated with NRC regulated activities? Yes / No  
Is the validity of the issue unknown? Yes / No

If No to any of the above questions, the issue is not an allegation and should be handled by other appropriate methods (e.g. as a request for information or an OSHA referral).

Allegation Summary or staff suspected wrongdoing: (Recipient of the allegation shall summarize each concern here - provide additional detail on reverse side of form, if necessary)

1. Inadequacies in the response to Generic Letter 88-14 (NIMO)
2. NYPA knew that snubbers were past their rebuild date at the end of an outage in 1989
3. Concern with the handling of issues raised to the Speakout program by another individual
4. Examples of DERs returned to you as "punishment"
5. System 052 buildings not on the SCC list
6. The reactor building roof has been leaking and the roof decking may be rusted, impacting on the operation of the SGT system
7. Concern with the tornado missile protection for the new control room door to the S&A building
8. Concern with inadvertent actuation of the ESW pump room ventilation fire dampers
9. Concern with the design of the drain line from the S&A contaminated area
10. Concern with DER 97-45 involving seismic class II over I piping
11. AQCR's 92-289, 92-290 and 92-291 were never entered into a tracking system and resolved
12. DER issues related to CAD steam line/condensate thermosiphon heat exchanger modification

E14

Number of Concerns: 12

