

J. Carrasco

From: Douglas Dempsey
To: JEC1
Date: 10/23/97 2:34pm
Subject: More on Fitz allegation

Concern 9: Subject is snubbers

Did not inspect -- Residents had lead on this one

Concern 10: Speakout program concerns

Did not inspect. Not a technical/DRS issue

Concern 11: DERs turned over to allegor as "punishment"

Did not inspect. The technical issues involved don't appear to have much radiological health and safety impact, except for DER-0997 on the aux boiler oil water separator being contaminated. Did not inspect.

Concern 12: See concern 4

Concern 13: Reactor building roof leaked in 1995 and is at the end of its useful life, potentially affecting SGTS operability.

I verified that the roof was fixed when the leak was identified in 1995. I also reviewed and verified the last reactor building integrity test. Finally, I did a quick review of the FSAR for RB integrity and requested the original drawdown calculations from the licensee in order to match original DB assumptions with current surveillance procedures and accident analyses. The licensee did not have the calculations. As of two months ago, the licensee had obtained the calcs from the original vendor and they are being held for me. This will be factored into the Engineering inspection this Spring.

Concern 14: (See Concern 2) Tornado missile protection for the control room door.

I didn't see any problem with the licensee's original determinations on this issue.

Concern 15: Concern expressed with ESW pump room ventilation isolation due to a possible fire damper isolation.

We walked down the ESW pump rooms and could not identify any single failure problems as the dampers currently are configured. We were curious about the possibility of a fire in the screen well area closing all of the fire dampers to both rooms. This might be worth following up with the licensee's fire protection staff.

Concern 16: Concern expressed with the design of a contaminated drain line from the

D/8

administrative building RCA since it is a standard line buried in gravel without a guard pipe.

Not inspected. Suggest McFadden look at this one.

*A. Blamey Reviewed with McFadden
and Reg Guide 1.143 - No issues.*

Concern 17: See Concern 4

Concern 18: 3 ACQRs were never entered into the correction action system and resolved.

Did not inspect.

Concern 19: The resolution of DERs related to the CAD steam line modification/condensate thermosiphon heat exchanger modification provide an example of the overall safety culture at Fitzpatrick.

Not inspected. Recommend looking at the licensee's alternate methods of ensuring minimum CST temperature requirement is met during the winter.

That's all I know.

dd

CC: JFR