

May 21, 2004

MEMORANDUM TO: Davis-Besse Oversight Panel
FROM: John A. Grobe, Chairman, Davis-Besse Oversight Panel */RA/*
SUBJECT: MINUTES OF INTERNAL MEETING OF THE DAVIS-BESSE
OVERSIGHT PANEL

The implementation of the IMC 0350 process for the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station was announced on April 29, 2002. An internal panel meeting was held on November 12, 2003. Attached for your information are the minutes from the internal meeting of the Davis-Besse Oversight Panel.

Attachments: As stated

cc w/att: D. Weaver, OEDO
J. Caldwell, RIII
G. Grant, RIII
S. Reynolds, DRP
B. Clayton, EICS
DB0350

DOCUMENT NAME: C:\ORPCheckout\FileNET\ML041460544.wpd

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	RIII	RIII	RIII	RIII
NAME	RBaker/dtp	DPassehl	JGrobe for CLipa	JGrobe
DATE	05/17/04	05/21/04	05/21/04	05/21/04

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

MEETING MINUTES: Internal IMC 0350 Oversight Panel Meeting
Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station

DATE: November 12, 2003

TIME: 8:00 a.m. Central

ATTENDEES:

J. Grobe	W. Ruland	J. Stang
C. Lipa	A. Mendiola	F. Lyon
D. Passehl	J. Hopkins	

Agenda Items:

1. Discuss/Approve Today's Agenda

The Panel approved the agenda, but modified the order of presentations. **THE APPROVED AGENDA REFLECTS THE ORDER LISTED IN THESE MINUTES.**

2. Discuss New/Potential Licensing Issues

J. Hopkins led a discussion on the licensee's new Boron Precipitation Control (BPC) method and that while licensee desired to list new method as first choice in their procedures, the NRR Project Manager (J. Hopkins) informed licensee that until the needed exemption for that method is granted, the licensee should list the two existing BPC methods first in their procedures. The Panel also discussed the licensee's identification of additional electrical breakers that they intend to modify, prior to restart, due to Appendix R considerations.

3. Discuss Regulatory Footprint Development Status

D. Passehl led a discussion on development of the Regulatory Footprint. The Panel discussed the timeline for developing the footprint. The current plan is for the Regional Administrator to transmit the Confirmatory Order to the licensee. The Region will draft the transmittal letter. At a high level, the Panel discussed the content of the Confirmatory Order. The Panel suggested the Order contain separate sections to address licensee improvement initiatives, independent assessments, and certain inspection requirements for the mid-cycle outage. There were three followup items resulting from this discussion:

- 1) The Panel would ask the licensee what their plans are for a mid-cycle outage,
- 2) J. Hopkins would obtain information clarifying when the licensee intends to submit its steam generator tube inspection surveillance extension license amendment, and
- 3) J. Grobe would discuss with Regional Administrator his thoughts on restart considering licensee's planned submittal of steam generator tube inspection extension license amendment.

4. Discuss ASP Slides for Use at Prebrief this Week Prior to Kucinich Brief

C. Lipa led a review of the ASP presentation slides. J. Grobe will discuss the slides with appropriate personnel. The Panel discussed the phone call last Friday with the EDO on the ASP results.

5. Discuss Plant Status and Inspector Insights and Emergent Issues List

D. Passehl updated the Panel on Plant Status. He informed panel that HPI Pump #2 installation was complete and that HPI Pump #1 would be on site tomorrow.

6. Discuss Observations/Impressions from Licensee's November 10 ROP Meeting

C. Lipa briefed the Panel on observations/impressions from licensee's Restart Overview Panel meeting on November 10. The following major topics were discussed:

- 1) Safety-related motors would be classified as red by licensee for restart and we should consider that in our backlog inspection,
- 2) A number of systems are now classified as red by licensee with most to be non-red by restart,
- 3) NRC needs to understand better the operator requalification due to recent events,
- 4) Lew Myers said that only 1 or 2 operability evaluations would be in existence for restart, whereas, NRC thinks that there will be five or six,
- 5) The licensee's work schedule does not include several work items such as CAC piping and additional breakers,
- 6) The ROP discussed ready to operate versus ready to restart,
- 7) The ROP discussed the engineering evaluation backlog and Corporate commitment for resources,
- 8) Rick Dame made a presentation concerning plant operators' response to events, and
- 9) The NRC Corrective Action Team Inspection results were not really explained to the ROP.

7. Discuss Future Meetings Schedule

Discussed moving the December 9 Panel meeting from the afternoon to the morning due to the planned EEO brief to the Commission in the afternoon.

8. Discuss Communication Status

C. Lipa informed the Panel that the Region had started issuing, on Monday of this week, the response letter to the thousands of letters. The plan is to issue 250 to 500 response letters per day until complete. C. Lipa discussed the Perry October 30 Inspection report which contains 2 preliminary White findings.

J. Stang discussed assigning the Q & As to different organizations/people. Question RG2 on page 12 of the Q & As should probably be handled by NRR and not the Region.

A. Mendiola discussed changes made to the NRC response to the existing 2.206 petition and that he would speak with W. Borchardt about signing for J. Dyer.
A. Mendiola asked whether the electrical bucket modifications were on schedule.

9. Discuss Punch List

C. Lipa led a review of the Punchlist. D. Passehl took the action to update with comments from the Panel.

10. Discuss Update Milestones and Commitments

The Panel reviewed and discussed upcoming milestones and commitments. Panel discussed whether Tad Marsh and Brian Sheron should sit at the table for afternoon Oversight Panel meeting with licensee. Panel decided that they should sit in the audience. Panel discussed the Completeness and Accuracy inspection results and who would present which inspection results during afternoon meeting.