

9/24 change made

From: Ann Norris
To: Jacobs-Baynard, E.
Date: 3/24/04 7:40AM
Subject: Response: Re: Draft FY 2004 Final Fee Rule

Liz - thanks for your comments. I made all of your suggested changes. Please note that for Item #2 (page 11), I left the comment as, but explained in the response that we have 104 reactors licensed to operate that pay annual fees. For item #8 (page 42), it is a rounding issue, but for clarity I changed the \$5.5 for \$5.4. Thanks again, Ann

>>> E. Jacobs-Baynard 03/23/04 04:36PM >>>
Ann:

Attached are NMSS' comments on the draft FY 2004 Final Fee Rule. Let me know if you have any questions.

Liz

>>> Ann Norris 03/16/04 07:38AM >>>
Attached is a draft version of the FY 2004 Final Fee Rule. Please review and provide comments to me by March 23, 2004. I will incorporate the comments and send a final version of the rule to the offices for concurrence. The tentative date for the final version to be sent to the offices for concurrence is March 24, 2004.

If you have any questions, please let me know. If I am not available, please contact Tammy Croote on 415-6041.

CC: Seelig, Claudia

Comments Draft FY 2004 Final License Fee Rule

Page 1 - SUMMARY: ...Commission (NRC) is amending the licensing...

Page 11 - Comment. Last sentencethermal power of all 103 reactors...

Page 74 - (d) (2) Last sentence ...number of operating power reactors (104). Should the numbers be the same for power reactors on pages 11 and 74, unless OCFO is leaving the comment as received from the commenter?

Page 23 - Fee Adjustments - Last paragraph, second sentence, ...licensing "flat" fees were rounded to so that the amounts will be "de minimis and the resulting flat fee will be convenient to the user. (The sentence is referring to the flat fees, when I believe the de minimis is referring to the rounding, however, it reads as though the flat fees are "de minimis" which they are not.)

Page 27 - Second paragraph, last sentence, ...approximately 77.2 percent for the conventional...

Page 30 - d. Site Complex materials site decommissioning management plan activities...

Page 32 - Fuel Facilities - first paragraph, ...due to an increase in the mixed-oxide fuel fabrication facility licensing effort. ...costs associated with the review of the Duke-Cogema Stone and Webster application.

Page 42 - first line, ...\$5.5 million in FY 2004...., approximately \$1.5 million will be recovered.... ..remaining \$3.9 million (is this rounding? Or should it be \$4.0 million?)

Page 52 - 170.21 -special projects, inspections, and...

Page 74 - (d)(1) (ii) ...Agreement State program, and Complex materials site decommissioning not covered under Part 170 management plan (SDMP activities); and

Page 75 - 171.16 -Quality Assurance Program Approvals, and...